

Bressoud's Conjecture on the Rogers-Ramanujan Identities

Kathy Qing Ji (季 青)

Center for Applied Mathematics, Tianjin University, P.R. China

Joint with Thomas Y. He (何 垚) and Alice X.H. Zhao (赵晓华)

第三届离散数学与优化国际会议 · 福州

December 1, 2023

The outline of this talk

Number 227



- What's Bressoud's conjecture?
- Our Proof: Unraveling Bressoud's Conjecture.
- Discoveries in the Proof of this Conjecture

David M. Bressoud

Analytic and combinatorial
generalizations of the
Rogers-Ramanujan identities

Memoirs
of the American Mathematical Society

Providence - Rhode Island - USA

March 1989 • Volume 21 • Number 227 (first of 3 numbers) • ISSN 0065-9266

The Rogers-Ramanujan identities

Theorem (Rogers-Ramanujan)

For $|q| < 1$,

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{q^{n^2}}{(1-q)(1-q^2) \cdots (1-q^n)} = \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(1-q^{5j+1})(1-q^{5j+4})} \quad (RR1)$$

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{q^{n^2+n}}{(1-q)(1-q^2) \cdots (1-q^n)} = \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(1-q^{5j+2})(1-q^{5j+3})} \quad (RR2)$$

These two identities were first proved by [Rogers](#) in 1894 and rediscovered by [Ramanujan](#) a few years later.

- [Ramanujan's comment](#): It would be difficult to find more beautiful formulas than the Rogers-Ramanujan' identities.
- [Hans Rademacher](#) clearly was in agreement with Ramanujan's comments.

Conference Board of the Mathematical Sciences

CBMS

Regional Conference Series in Mathematics

Number 66

q-Series: Their Development
and Application in Analysis,
Number Theory, Combinatorics,
Physics, and Computer Algebra

George E. Andrews



American Mathematical Society
with support from the
National Science Foundation



- There is a beautiful story about the discovery of the Rogers-Ramanujan identities.
- Rogers' reputation as a mathematician rests almost entirely on the discovery of these two identities.
- how they found these two identities have appeared in Baxter's exact solution to the hard hexagon model in statistical mechanics.

The connection with representation theory of Lie algebras

- The connection between RR identities and the representation theory of Lie algebras was initiated by J. Lepowsky, R.L. Wilson, S. Milne.



J. Lepowsky and S. Milne, Lie algebraic approaches to classical partition identities, Adv. Math. 29 (1978), 15–59



J. Lepowsky and R. L. Wilson, A new family of algebras underlying the Rogers-Ramanujan identities, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA 78 (1981) 7254–7258.



J. Lepowsky and R. L. Wilson, The structure of standard modules I: universal algebras and the Rogers–Ramanujan identities, Invent. Math. 77 (1984), 199–290.



J. Lepowsky and R. L. Wilson, The structure of standard modules II: the case $A_1^{(1)}$, principal gradation, Invent. Math. 79 (1985), 417–442.

An Invitation to the ROGERS-RAMANUJAN IDENTITIES



Andrew V. Sills

 **CRC Press**
Taylor & Francis Group
A CHAPMAN & HALL BOOK



Connection with modular forms

- **Modular forms:** If we look at these two identities from the perspective of modular forms, the product sides of them are modular forms, but it is not clear from the sum sides.

$$\underbrace{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{n^2+in}}{(q; q)_n}}_{???} = \frac{1}{\underbrace{(q^i, q^{5-i}; q^5)_{\infty}}_{\text{modular forms}}}, \quad i = 0, 1$$

- **Question:** What kind of the basic hypergeometric series is a modular form?

Nahm's problem

- Suppose $r \geq 1$ is a positive integer, A is a real positive definite symmetric $r \times r$ matrix, B is a vector of length r , and C is a scalar. Define

$$f_{A,B,C}(q) := \sum_{\vec{n}=(n_1,\dots,n_r) \in (Z_{\geq 0})^r} \frac{q^{\frac{1}{2} \vec{n}^T \cdot A \cdot \vec{n} + \vec{n}^T \cdot B + C}}{(q; q)_{n_1} \cdots (q; q)_{n_r}}$$

- **Nahm's Problem 1994, 2007** Describe all such A, B and C with rational entries for which $f_{A,B,C}(q)$ is a modular form.
- The motivation of Nahm's problem comes from physics, and the modular forms $f_{A,B,C}(q)$ are expected to be characters of rational conformal field theories (共形场论).



W. Nahm, Conformal field theory and torsion elements of the Bloch group, in "Frontiers in Number Theory, Physics and Geometry" , II, Springer, 2007, 67–132.

Nahm's conjecture

- Nahm (2007) made a conjecture providing a criterion on the matrix A such that there exist B and C with $f_{A,B,C}(q)$ being modular forms. The conjecture is formulated in terms of Bloch group and a system of polynomial equations induced by A .
- Zagier (2007) studied Nahm's problem and found many possible modular triples. He confirmed Nahm's conjecture when $r = 1$.



Werner Nahm



Don Zagier

Zagier's result

- Zagier (2007) showed that when the rank $r = 1$, there are exactly seven modular triples (A, B, C)

$$(1/2, 0, -1/40), \quad (1/2, 1/2, 1/40), \quad (1, 0, -1/48), \quad (1, 1/2, 1/24), \\ (1, -1/2, 1/24), \quad (2, 0, -1/60), \quad (2, 1, 11/60).$$

- When the rank $r \geq 2$, Nahm's conjecture is known to be false in general.
- Zagier (2007) provided a number of possible rank two and rank three modular triples. They have all been confirmed by several researchers.



D. Zagier, The dilogarithm function, in *Frontiers in Number Theory, Physics and Geometry, II*, Springer, 2007, 3–65.

Definition

A partition of a positive integer n is a finite nonincreasing sequence of positive integers $(\pi_1, \pi_2, \dots, \pi_\ell)$ such that

$$\pi_1 + \pi_2 + \dots + \pi_\ell = n.$$

Example: There are five partitions of 4, which are

$$(4), (3, 1), (2, 2), (2, 1, 1), (1, 1, 1, 1).$$

Let $p(n)$ denote the number of partitions of n , we see that $p(4) = 5$.

Generating functions

Theorem (Euler)

For $|q| < 1$,

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p(n)q^n = \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 - q^j}$$

The sketch of the proof: Consider the following expansion

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 - q^j} &= (1 + q^1 + q^{1+1} + q^{1+1+1} + \dots) \left(\frac{1}{1 - q} \right) \\ &\quad \times (1 + q^2 + q^{2+2} + q^{2+2+2} + \dots) \left(\frac{1}{1 - q^2} \right) \\ &\quad \times (1 + q^3 + q^{3+3} + q^{3+3+3} + \dots) \left(\frac{1}{1 - q^3} \right) \\ &\quad \times \dots \\ &= \sum_{a_1 \geq 0} \sum_{a_2 \geq 0} \sum_{a_3 \geq 0} \dots q^{1 \cdot a_1 + 2 \cdot a_2 + 3 \cdot a_3 + \dots}, \end{aligned}$$

Observe that the exponent of q is just the partition $(1^{a_1} 2^{a_2} 3^{a_3} \dots)$.

Euler's partition identity

Theorem (Euler)

For $n \geq 1$, the number of partitions of n into *parts* $\equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ equals the number of $\pi = (\pi_1, \pi_2, \dots, \pi_\ell)$ of n with $\pi_i - \pi_{i+1} \geq 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq \ell - 1$.

Example: There are five partitions of 4, which are

$$(4), (3, 1), (2, 2), (2, 1, 1), (1, 1, 1, 1).$$

where $(3, 1), (1, 1, 1, 1)$ are partitions into odd parts, and $(4), (3, 1)$ are partitions with distinct parts.

$$\prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(1 - q^{2j-1})} = \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1 - q^{2j})}{(1 - q^j)} = \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1 + q^j)(1 - q^j)}{(1 - q^j)} = \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} (1 + q^j).$$

MacMahon's combinatorial interpretation

Theorem (MacMahon)

- Let $A_1(-; 1, 2, 2; n)$ denote the number of partitions of n into *parts* $\equiv 1, 4 \pmod{5}$ (equivalently, $\not\equiv 0, \pm 2 \pmod{5}$);
- Let $B_1(-; 1, 2, 2; n)$ denote the number of partitions $\pi = (\pi_1, \pi_2, \dots, \pi_\ell)$ of n with $\pi_i - \pi_{i+1} \geq 2$ for $1 \leq i \leq \ell - 1$.

Then for $n \geq 0$,

$$A_1(-; 1, 2, 2; n) = B_1(-; 1, 2, 2; n).$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \geq 0} A_1(-; 1, 2, 2; n) q^n &= \frac{1}{(q, q^4; q^5)_\infty} \\ &\stackrel{(RR1)}{=} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{n^2} (=1+3+\dots+2n-1)}{(q; q)_n} = \sum_{n \geq 0} B_1(-; 1, 2, 2; n) q^n. \end{aligned}$$

Notation:

$$(a; q)_n = (1-a)(1-aq) \cdots (1-aq^{n-1}) \quad (a; q)_\infty = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (a; q)_n,$$

$$(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k; q)_n = (a_1; q)_n (a_2; q)_n \cdots (a_k; q)_n.$$

MacMahon's combinatorial interpretation

Theorem (MacMahon)

- Let $A_1(-; 1, 2, 1; n)$ denote the number of partitions of n into *parts* $\equiv 2, 3 \pmod{5}$ (equivalently, $\not\equiv 0, \pm 1 \pmod{5}$);
- Let $B_1(-; 1, 2, 1; n)$ denote the number of partitions $\pi = (\pi_1, \pi_2, \dots, \pi_\ell)$ of n with $\pi_i - \pi_{i+1} \geq 2$ for $1 \leq i \leq \ell - 1$ and $\pi_\ell \geq 2$.

Then for $n \geq 0$,

$$A_1(-; 1, 2, 1; n) = B_1(-; 1, 2, 1; n).$$

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} A_1(-; 1, 2, 1; n) q^n = \frac{1}{(q^2, q^3; q^5)_\infty}$$

$$\stackrel{(RR2)}{=} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{n^2+n} \quad (=2+4+\dots+2n)}{(q; q)_n} = \sum_{n \geq 0} B_1(-; 1, 2, 1; n) q^n.$$

Gordon's combinatorial generalization

Theorem (Rogers-Ramanujan-Gordon, 1961)

- For $k \geq r \geq 1$, let $A_1(-; 1, k, r; n)$ denote the number of partitions of n into parts $\not\equiv 0, \pm r \pmod{2k+1}$.
- For $k \geq r \geq 1$, let $B_1(-; 1, k, r; n)$ denote the number of partitions of n of the form $(\pi_1, \dots, \pi_s)$, where $\pi_j - \pi_{j+k-1} \geq 2$, and at most $r-1$ of the π_j are equal to 1.

Then, for $k \geq r \geq 1$ and $n \geq 0$,

$$A_1(-; 1, k, r; n) = B_1(-; 1, k, r; n).$$

When $k = 2$ and $r = 2, 1$, this identity reduces to (RR1) or (RR2).

Note: Gordon's theorem was independently discovered by G.E. Andrews, see G.E. Andrews, Some debts I owe.



B. Gordon, A combinatorial generalization of the Rogers-Ramanujan identities, Amer. J. Math 83 (1961) 393–399.

The corresponding q -identity due to Andrews

Andrews found the following q -identity, which can be viewed as a companion to Gordon's partition identity.

Theorem (Andrews, 1974)

For $k \geq r \geq 1$,

$$\sum_{N_1 \geq \dots \geq N_{k-1} \geq 0} \frac{q^{N_1^2 + \dots + N_{k-1}^2 + N_r + \dots + N_{k-1}}}{(q; q)_{N_1 - N_2} \cdots (q; q)_{N_{k-2} - N_{k-1}} (q; q)_{N_{k-1}}}$$
$$= \prod_{\substack{n=1 \\ n \not\equiv 0, \pm r \pmod{2k+1}}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 - q^n}.$$

When $k = 2$ and $r = 2, 1$ this identity reduces to (RR1) and (RR1).



G.E. Andrews, An analytic generalization of the Rogers-Ramanujan identities for odd moduli, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA 71 (1974) 4082–4085.

Bressoud's combinatorial generalization

Bressoud observed that Gordon's partition identity only focused on the parts modular the odd numbers. He addressed the case involving the even numbers and derived the following partition identity.

Theorem (Bressoud-Rogers-Ramanujan, 1979)

- For $k \geq r \geq 1$, let $A_0(-; 1, k, r; n)$ denote the number of partitions of n into parts $\not\equiv 0, \pm r \pmod{2k}$.
- For $k \geq r \geq 1$, let $B_0(-; 1, k, r; n)$ denote the number of partitions of n of the form $(\pi_1, \dots, \pi_s)$, where $\pi_j - \pi_{j+k-1} \geq 2$, at most $r-1$ of the π_j are equal to 1.

If $\pi_j - \pi_{j+k-2} \leq 1$, then $\pi_j + \dots + \pi_{j+k-2} \equiv r-1 \pmod{2}$.

Then, for $k \geq r \geq 1$ and $n \geq 0$,

$$A_0(-; 1, k, r; n) = B_0(-; 1, k, r; n).$$



D.M. Bressoud, A generalization of the Rogers-Ramanujan identities for all moduli, J. Combin. Theory, Ser. A 27 (1979) 64–68.

The corresponding q -identity

Bressoud obtained the following q -identity, which can be viewed as a companion to his partition identity.

Theorem (Bressoud, 1980)

For $k \geq r \geq 1$,

$$\sum_{N_1 \geq \dots \geq N_{k-1} \geq 0} \frac{q^{N_1^2 + \dots + N_{k-1}^2 + N_r + \dots + N_{k-1}}}{(q; q)_{N_1 - N_2} \cdots (q; q)_{N_{k-2} - N_{k-1}} (q^2; q^2)_{N_{k-1}}}$$
$$= \prod_{\substack{n=1 \\ n \not\equiv 0, \pm r \pmod{2k}}}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 - q^n}.$$



D.M. Bressoud, Analytic and combinatorial generalizations of Rogers-Ramanujan identities, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 24(227) (1980) 54pp.

$A(n; \text{congruence conditions on parts})$



$B(n; \text{gap conditions on parts})$

Some classical partition identities

- **Euler's partition theorem:** The number of partitions of n into parts $\equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ is equal to the number of partitions $\pi = (\pi_1, \pi_2, \dots, \pi_\ell)$ of n with $\pi_i - \pi_{i+1} \geq 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq \ell - 1$.

$$(-q; q)_\infty = \frac{1}{(q; q^2)_\infty}.$$

- **Schur's theorem:** The number of partitions of n into parts $\equiv \pm 1 \pmod{6}$ is equal to the number of partitions $\pi = (\pi_1, \pi_2, \dots, \pi_\ell)$ of n with $\pi_i - \pi_{i+1} \geq 3$ for $1 \leq i \leq \ell - 1$ with strict inequality if $\pi_i \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$.

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{q^{3n^2}}{(q^3; q^3)_n} (-q^{2-3n}; q^3)_n (-q^{1+3n}; q^3)_\infty = \frac{1}{(q, q^5; q^6)_\infty}.$$

Some classical partition identities

- **The Göllnitz-Gordon theorem I:** The number of partitions of n into parts $\equiv 1, 4, \text{ or } 7 \pmod{8}$ is equal to the number of partitions $\pi = (\pi_1, \pi_2, \dots, \pi_\ell)$ of n with $\pi_i - \pi_{i+1} \geq 2$ for $1 \leq i \leq \ell - 1$ with strict inequality if $\pi_i \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$.

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{q^{n^2}(-q; q^2)_n}{(q^2; q^2)_n} = \frac{1}{(q, q^4, q^7; q^8)_\infty}.$$

- **The Göllnitz-Gordon theorem II:** The number of partitions of n into parts $\equiv 3, 4, \text{ or } 5 \pmod{8}$ is equal to the number of partitions $\pi = (\pi_1, \pi_2, \dots, \pi_\ell)$ of n with $\pi_i - \pi_{i+1} \geq 2$ for $1 \leq i \leq \ell - 1$ with strict inequality if $\pi_i \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$. Furthermore, $\pi_\ell \geq 3$.

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{q^{n^2+2n}(-q; q^2)_n}{(q^2; q^2)_n} = \frac{1}{(q^3, q^4, q^5; q^8)_\infty}.$$

Andrews' combinatorial generalization

Theorem (Andrews-Göllnitz-Gordon, 1967)

- For $k \geq r \geq 1$, let $A_1(1; 2, k, r; n)$ denote the number of partitions of n into *parts* $\not\equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ and $\not\equiv 0, \pm(2r-1) \pmod{4k}$.
- For $k \geq r \geq 1$, let $B_1(1; 2, k, r; n)$ denote the number of partitions of n of the form $(\pi_1, \dots, \pi_s)$ such that *no odd part is repeated*, $\pi_j - \pi_{j+k-1} \geq 2$ with strict inequality if π_j is even, and at most $r-1$ of the π_j are less than or equal to 2.

Then, for $k \geq r \geq 1$ and $n \geq 0$,

$$A_1(1; 2, k, r; n) = B_1(1; 2, k, r; n).$$

When $k = 2$ and $r = 1$, this theorem reduces to GGT-II. When $k = 2$ and $r = 2$, this theorem reduces to GGT-I.



G.E. Andrews, A generalization of the Göllnitz-Gordon partition theorems, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 18 (1967) 945–952.

The corresponding q -identity

Theorem (Bressoud, equation (3.8))

For $k \geq r \geq 1$,

$$\sum_{N_1 \geq \dots \geq N_{k-1} \geq 0} \frac{(-q^{1-2N_1}; q^2)_{N_1} q^{2(N_1^2 + \dots + N_{k-1}^2 + N_r + \dots + N_{k-1})}}{(q^2; q^2)_{N_1 - N_2} \cdots (q^2; q^2)_{N_{k-2} - N_{k-1}} (q^2; q^2)_{N_{k-1}}} \\ = \frac{(q^2; q^4)_\infty (q^{2r-1}, q^{4k-2r+1}, q^{4k}; q^{4k})_\infty}{(q; q)_\infty}.$$



D.M. Bressoud, Analytic and combinatorial generalizations of Rogers-Ramanujan identities, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 24(227) (1980) 54pp.

A further generalization

Assume that $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_\lambda$ and η are integers such that for $1 \leq i \leq \lambda$, $0 < \alpha_1 < \dots < \alpha_\lambda < \eta$, and $\alpha_i = \eta - \alpha_{\lambda+1-i}$.

Theorem (Bressoud, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., 1980)

Let λ , k , r and $j = 0$ or 1 be the integers such that $(2k + j)/2 > r \geq \lambda \geq 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{N_1 \geq \dots \geq N_{k-1} \geq 0} \frac{q^{\eta(N_1^2 + \dots + N_{k-1}^2 + N_r + \dots + N_{k-1})}}{(q^\eta; q^\eta)_{N_1 - N_2} \cdots (q^\eta; q^\eta)_{N_{k-2} - N_{k-1}} (q^{(2-j)\eta}; q^{(2-j)\eta})_{N_{k-1}}} \\ & \quad \times \prod_{s=1}^{\lambda} (-q^{\eta - \alpha_s - \eta N_s}; q^\eta)_{N_s} \prod_{s=2}^{\lambda} (-q^{\eta - \alpha_s + \eta N_{s-1}}; q^\eta)_{\infty} \\ & = \frac{(-q^{\alpha_1}, \dots, -q^{\alpha_\lambda}; q^\eta)_{\infty} (q^{\eta(r - \frac{\lambda}{2})}, q^{\eta(2k - r - \frac{\lambda}{2} + j)}, q^{\eta(2k - \lambda + j)}; q^{\eta(2k - \lambda + j)})_{\infty}}{(q^\eta; q^\eta)_{\infty}}. \end{aligned}$$

The A_j -partition function

Definition

Let λ , k , r and $j = 0$ or 1 be the integers such that $(2k + j)/2 > r \geq \lambda \geq 0$. Define the partition function $A_j(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\lambda; \eta, k, r, n)$ to be the number of partitions of n into parts congruent to $0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\lambda \pmod{\eta}$ such that

- If λ is even, then only multiples of η may be repeated and no part is congruent to $0, \pm\eta(r - \lambda/2) \pmod{\eta(2k - \lambda + j)}$;
- If λ is odd and $j = 1$, then only multiples of $\eta/2$ may be repeated, no part is congruent to $\eta \pmod{2\eta}$, and no part is congruent to $0, \pm\eta(2r - \lambda)/2 \pmod{\eta(2k - \lambda + 1)}$;
- If λ is odd and $j = 0$, then only multiples of $\eta/2$ which are not congruent to $\eta(2k - \lambda)/2 \pmod{\eta(2k - \lambda)}$ may be repeated, no part is congruent to $\eta \pmod{2\eta}$, no part is congruent to $0 \pmod{2\eta(2k - \lambda)}$, and no part is congruent to $\pm\eta(2r - \lambda)/2 \pmod{\eta(2k - \lambda)}$.

Remark: Recall that $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_\lambda$ and η are integers such that for $1 \leq i \leq \lambda$, $0 < \alpha_1 < \dots < \alpha_\lambda < \eta$, and $\alpha_i = \eta - \alpha_{\lambda+1-i}$. When λ is odd, observing that

$$\eta = \alpha_{(\lambda+1)/2} + \alpha_{\lambda+1-(\lambda+1)/2} = 2\alpha_{(\lambda+1)/2},$$

we see that η must be even in such case.

The generating function of A_j -partition function

By the definition, it's not difficult to see that for $k \geq r \geq \lambda \geq 0$, $k + j - 1 \geq \lambda$ and $j = 0$ or 1 ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n \geq 0} A_j(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\lambda; \eta, k, r, n) q^n \\ &= (-q^{\alpha_1}, \dots, -q^{\alpha_\lambda}; q^\eta)_\infty \\ & \quad \times \frac{(q^{\eta(r - \frac{\lambda}{2})}, q^{\eta(2k - r - \frac{\lambda}{2} + j)}, q^{\eta(2k - \lambda + j)}; q^{\eta(2k - \lambda + j)})_\infty}{(q^\eta; q^\eta)_\infty}. \end{aligned}$$

The B_j -partition function

Definition

Let λ , k , r and $j = 0$ or 1 be the integers such that $(2k + j)/2 > r \geq \lambda \geq 0$. Define $B_j(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\lambda; \eta, k, r, n)$ to be the number of partitions of n of the form $(\pi_1, \dots, \pi_s)$ where $\pi_i \geq \pi_{i+1}$ satisfying the following conditions:

- (1) $\pi_i \equiv 0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\lambda \pmod{\eta}$;
- (2) Only multiples of η may be repeated;
- (3) $\pi_i - \pi_{i+k-1} \geq \eta$ with strict inequality if $\eta \mid \pi_i$;
- (4) At most $r - 1$ of the π_i are less than or equal to η ;
- (5) If $\pi_i \leq \pi_{i+k-2} + \eta$ with strict inequality if $\eta \nmid \pi_i$, then

$$[\pi_i/\eta] + \dots + [\pi_{i+k-2}/\eta] \equiv r - 1 + V_\pi(\pi_i) \pmod{2 - j},$$

where $V_\pi(N)$ (or $V(N)$ for short) denotes the number of parts not exceeding N which are not divided by η in π and $[]$ denotes the greatest integer function.

Bressoud's conjecture

Conjecture (Bressoud)

Let λ , k , r and $j = 0$ or 1 be the integers such that $(2k + j)/2 > r \geq \lambda \geq 0$.
Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n \geq 0} B_j(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\lambda; \eta, k, r, n) q^n \\ &= \sum_{N_1 \geq \dots \geq N_{k-1} \geq 0} \frac{q^{\eta(N_1^2 + \dots + N_{k-1}^2 + N_r + \dots + N_{k-1})}}{(q^\eta; q^\eta)_{N_1 - N_2} \cdots (q^\eta; q^\eta)_{N_{k-2} - N_{k-1}} (q^{(2-j)\eta}; q^{(2-j)\eta})_{N_{k-1}}} \\ & \quad \times \prod_{s=1}^{\lambda} (-q^{\eta - \alpha_s - \eta N_s}; q^\eta)_{N_s} \prod_{s=2}^{\lambda} (-q^{\eta - \alpha_s + \eta N_{s-1}}; q^\eta)_{\infty}. \end{aligned}$$



D.M. Bressoud, Analytic and combinatorial generalizations of Rogers-Ramanujan identities, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 24(227) (1980) 54pp.

Conjecture (Bressoud)

Let λ , k , r and $j = 0$ or 1 be the integers such that $(2k + j)/2 > r \geq \lambda \geq 0$. Then for $n \geq 0$,

$$A_j(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\lambda; \eta, k, r, n) = B_j(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\lambda; \eta, k, r, n).$$



D.M. Bressoud, Analytic and combinatorial generalizations of Rogers-Ramanujan identities, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 24(227) (1980) 54pp.

- Euler's partition theorem: $\lambda = 0, \eta = 1, j = 0, k = 3, r = 2$.
- Shur's theorem: $\lambda = k = r = 2, \alpha_1 = 1, \alpha_2 = 2, \eta = 3, j = 1$.
- Rogers-Ramanujan identities: $\lambda = 0, \eta = 1, j = 1, k = 2, r = 1$ or 2 .
- Rogers-Ramanujan-Gordon identity: $\lambda = 0, \eta = 1, j = 1$.
- Rogers-Ramanujan-Bressoud identity: $\lambda = 0, \eta = 1, j = 0$.
- The Göllnitz-Gordon identity I, II:
 $\lambda = 1, \alpha_1 = 1, \eta = 2, j = 1, k = 2, r = 1$ or 2 .
- Andrews' generalization of the Göllnitz-Gordon identity:
 $\lambda = 1, \alpha_1 = 1, \eta = 2, j = 1$.
- Bressoud's generalization of the Göllnitz-Gordon identity:
 $\lambda = 1, \alpha_1 = 1, \eta = 2, j = 0$.

Bressoud-Göllnitz-Gordon theorem

Theorem (Bressoud-Göllnitz-Gordon)

- For $k \geq r \geq 1$, let $A_0(1; 2, k, r; n)$ denote the number of partitions of n into parts $\not\equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, $\not\equiv 2k-1 \pmod{4k-2}$ may be repeated, no part is multiples of $8k-4$, and $\not\equiv \pm(2r-1) \pmod{4k-2}$.
- For $k \geq r \geq 1$, let $B_0(1; 2, k, r; n)$ denote the number of partitions of n of the form $(\pi_1, \dots, \pi_s)$ such that **no odd part is repeated**, $\pi_j - \pi_{j+k-1} \geq 2$ with strict inequality if π_j is even, at most $r-1$ of the π_j are less than or equal to 2, and if $\pi_j - \pi_{j+k-2} \leq 2$ with strict inequality if π_j is odd, then

$$\pi_j + \dots + \pi_{j+k-2} \equiv r-1 + V_\pi(\pi_j) \pmod{2},$$

where $V_\pi(N)$ (or $V(N)$ for short) denotes the number of odd parts not exceeding N in π .

For $k \geq r \geq 1$ and $n \geq 0$,

$$A_0(1; 2, k, r; n) = B_0(1; 2, k, r; n).$$



D.M. Bressoud, Analytic and combinatorial generalizations of Rogers-Ramanujan identities, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 24(227) (1980) 54pp.

The corresponding q -identities

Theorem

For $k \geq r \geq 1$,

$$\sum_{N_1 \geq \dots \geq N_{k-1} \geq 0} \frac{(-q^{1-2N_1}; q^2)_{N_1} q^{2(N_1^2 + \dots + N_{k-1}^2 + N_r + \dots + N_{k-1})}}{(q^2; q^2)_{N_1 - N_2} \cdots (q^2; q^2)_{N_{k-2} - N_{k-1}} (q^4; q^4)_{N_{k-1}}} \\ = \frac{(q^2; q^4)_\infty (q^{2r-1}, q^{4k-2r-1}, q^{4k-2}; q^{4k-2})_\infty}{(q; q)_\infty}.$$



D.M. Bressoud, Analytic and combinatorial generalizations of Rogers-Ramanujan identities, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 24(227) (1980) 54pp.

Some progress on Bressoud's conjecture

- S. Kim and A.J. Yee (2014) gave a proof of Bressoud's conjecture for $j = 1$ and $\lambda = 2$.



S. Kim and A.J. Yee, Partitions with part difference conditions and Bressoud's conjecture, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 126 (2014) 35–69.

Let λ, k, r be the integers such that $k \geq r \geq \lambda \geq 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n \geq 0} B_1(\alpha_1, \alpha_2; \eta, k, r, n) q^n \\ &= \sum_{N_1 \geq \dots \geq N_{k-1} \geq 0} \frac{q^{\eta(N_1^2 + \dots + N_{k-1}^2 + N_r + \dots + N_{k-1})}}{(q^\eta; q^\eta)_{N_1 - N_2} \cdots (q^\eta; q^\eta)_{N_{k-2} - N_{k-1}} (q^\eta; q^\eta)_{N_{k-1}}} \\ & \quad \times (-q^{\eta - \alpha_1 - \eta N_1}; q^\eta)_{N_1} (-q^{\eta - \alpha_2 - \eta N_2}; q^\eta)_{N_2} (-q^{\eta - \alpha_2 + \eta N_1}; q^\eta)_\infty \end{aligned}$$

Some progress on Bressoud's conjecture

- S. Kim (2018) proved Bressoud's conjecture holds for $j = 1$.



S. Kim, Bressoud's conjecture, Adv. Math. 325 (2018) 770–813.

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n \geq 0} B_1(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\lambda; \eta, k, r; n) q^n \\ &= (-q^{\alpha_1}, \dots, -q^{\alpha_\lambda}; q^\eta)_\infty \\ & \quad \times \frac{(q^{\eta(r - \frac{\lambda}{2})}, q^{\eta(2k - r - \frac{\lambda}{2} + 1)}, q^{\eta(2k - \lambda + 1)}; q^{\eta(2k - \lambda + 1)})_\infty}{(q^\eta; q^\eta)_\infty} \\ &= \sum_{n \geq 0} A_1(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\lambda; \eta, k, r; n) q^n. \end{aligned}$$

- Recently, we proved Bressoud's conjecture holds for $j = 0$.



Thomas Y. He, Kathy Q. Ji and Alice X.H. Zhao, Overpartitions and Bressoud's conjecture, I, Adv. Math. 404 (2022), Paper No. 108449, 81 pp.



Thomas Y. He, Kathy Q. Ji and Alice X.H. Zhao, Overpartitions and Bressoud's conjecture, II, submitted. pp.53.

Overpartition

Definition (Corteel and Lovejoy, 2004)

An overpartition π of n is a partition of n in which the first occurrence of a number can be overlined.

For example: There are fourteen overpartitions of 4.

(4)	($\overline{4}$)		
(3, 1)	($\overline{3}$, 1)	(3, $\overline{1}$)	($\overline{3}$, $\overline{1}$)
(2, 2)	($\overline{2}$, 2)		
(2, 1, 1)	($\overline{2}$, 1, 1)	(2, $\overline{1}$, 1)	($\overline{2}$, $\overline{1}$, 1)
(1, 1, 1, 1)	($\overline{1}$, 1, 1, 1)		

The overpartition $(\overline{2}, \overline{1}, 1)$ can be viewed as $((\overline{2}, \overline{1}), (1))$

Let $\bar{p}(n)$ denote the number of overpartitions of n . Then

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} \bar{p}(n) q^n = \frac{(-q; q)_{\infty}}{(q; q)_{\infty}}.$$



Except appearing in the theory of partitions and q -series, overpartitions arise in the following areas:

- Symmetric functions



F. Brenti, Determinants of super-Schur functions, lattice paths, and dotted plane partitions, Adv. Math. 98 (1999) 27–64. (dotted partitions)



P. Desrosiers, L. Lapointe and P. Mathieu, Classical symmetric functions in superspace, J. Algebraic Combin 24 (2006) 209–238. (superpartitions)

- Representation theory



S.-J. Kang and J.-H. Kwon, Crystal bases of the Fock space representations and string functions, J. Algebra 280 (2004) 313–349.

- Mathematical physics (**jagged partitions**)



J.-F. Fortin, P. Jacob and P. Mathieu, Jagged partitions, Ramanujan J. 10 (2005) 215–235.



J.-F. Fortin, P. Jacob and P. Mathieu, Generating function for K -restricted jagged partitions, Electron. J. Comb. 12 (2005) 17 p.

- Combinatorics



C. Bessenrodt and I. Pak, Partition congruences by involutions, European J. Comb. 25 (2004) 1139–1149. **Joint partitions**



I. Pak, Partition Bijections, a Survey, Ramanujan J. 12 (2006) 5–75.
(**Standard MacMahon diagrams (Pak, Section 2.1.3)**)

An overpartition analogue of Rogers-Ramanujan-Gordon

Theorem (Chen-Shi-Sang, 2013)

- For $k > r \geq 1$, let $\overline{A}_1(-; 1, k, r; n)$ denote the number of overpartitions of n such that non-overlined parts $\not\equiv 0, \pm r \pmod{2k}$, and for $k = r$, let $\overline{A}_1(-; 1, k, k; n)$ denote the number of overpartitions of n into parts not divided by k .
- For $k \geq r \geq 1$, let $\overline{B}_1(-; 1, k, r; n)$ denote the number of overpartitions π of n of the form $(\pi_1, \dots, \pi_s)$, where $\pi_i - \pi_{i+k-1} \geq 1$ with strict inequality if π_i is non-overlined, and at most $r-1$ of the π_i are equal to 1.

Then for $k \geq r \geq 1$ and $n \geq 0$,

$$\overline{A}_1(-; 1, k, r; n) = \overline{B}_1(-; 1, k, r; n).$$



W.Y.C. Chen, D.D.M. Sang and D.Y.H. Shi, The Rogers-Ramanujan-Gordon theorem for overpartitions, Proc. London Math. Soc. 106 (3) (2013) 1371–1393.

The corresponding q -identities

Theorem (Chen-Shi-Sang, 2013)

$$\sum_{N_1 \geq \dots \geq N_{k-1}} \frac{(-q^{1-N_1}; q)_{N_1-1} (1 + q^{N_r}) q^{N_1^2 + \dots + N_{k-1}^2 + N_{r+1} + \dots + N_{k-1}}{(q; q)_{N_1-N_2} \cdots (q; q)_{N_{k-2}-N_{k-1}} (q; q)_{N_{k-1}}}$$
$$= \frac{(-q; q)_\infty (q^r, q^{2k-r}, q^{2k}; q^{2k})_\infty}{(q; q)_\infty}.$$



W.Y.C. Chen, D.D.M. Sang and D.Y.H. Shi, The Rogers-Ramanujan-Gordon theorem for overpartitions, Proc. London Math. Soc. 106 (3) (2013) 1371–1393.

An overpartition analogue of Bressoud-Gordon-identities

Theorem (Chen-Sang-Shi)

- For $k \geq r \geq 1$, let $\overline{A}_0(-; 1, k, r; n)$ denote the number of overpartitions of n such that non-overlined parts $\not\equiv 0, \pm r \pmod{2k-1}$;
- For $k \geq r \geq 1$, let $\overline{B}_0(-; 1, k, r; n)$ denote the number of overpartitions $\pi = (\pi_1, \pi_2, \dots, \pi_\ell)$ of n , where $\pi_i \geq \pi_{i+k-1} + 1$ with strict inequality if π_i is non-overlined for $1 \leq i \leq \ell - k + 1$, at most $r - 1$ of the π_i are equal to 1, and for $1 \leq i \leq \ell - k + 2$, if $\pi_i \leq \pi_{i+k-2} + 1$ with strict inequality if π_i is overlined, then $\pi_i + \dots + \pi_{i+k-2} \equiv r - 1 + \overline{V}_\pi(\pi_i) \pmod{2}$,

where $\overline{V}_\pi(N)$ (or $\overline{V}_\pi(N)$ for short) denotes the number of overlined parts not exceeding N in π .

Then, for $k \geq r \geq 1$ and $n \geq 0$,

$$\overline{A}_0(-; 1, k, r; n) = \overline{B}_0(-; 1, k, r; n).$$



W.Y.C. Chen, D.D.M. Sang and D.Y.H. Shi, An overpartition analogue of Bressoud's theorem of Rogers-Ramanujan-Gordon type, Ramanujan J. 37 (2015) 653–679.

The corresponding analytic identities

Theorem (Sang-Shi, 2015)

$$\sum_{N_1 \geq \dots \geq N_{k-1} \geq 0} \frac{q^{N_1^2 + \dots + N_{k-1}^2 + N_r + \dots + N_{k-1}} (1 + q^{-N_r}) (-q^{1-N_1}; q)_{N_1-1}}{(q; q)_{N_1-N_2} \cdots (q; q)_{N_{k-2}-N_{k-1}} (q^2; q^2)_{N_{k-1}}}$$
$$= \frac{(-q; q)_\infty (q^r, q^{2k-r-1}, q^{2k-1}; q^{2k-1})_\infty}{(q; q)_\infty}.$$



D.D.M. Sang and D.Y.H. Shi, An Andrews-Gordon type identity for overpartitions, Ramanujan J. 37 (2015) 653–679.

The overpartition analogue of A_j -partition function

Definition ($\overline{A}_j$ -partition function)

Let λ , k , r and $j = 0$ or 1 be the integers such that $(2k + 1 - j)/2 > r \geq \lambda \geq 0$ and $k + j - 1 > \lambda$. Define $\overline{A}_j(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\lambda; \eta, k, r, n)$ to be the number of overpartitions of n satisfying $\pi_i \equiv 0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\lambda \pmod{\eta}$ such that

- If λ is even, then only multiples of η may be non-overlined and there is no non-overlined part congruent to $0, \pm\eta(r - \lambda/2) \pmod{\eta(2k - \lambda + j - 1)}$;
- If λ is odd and $j = 1$, then only multiples of $\eta/2$ may be non-overlined, no non-overlined part is congruent to $\eta(2k - \lambda)/2 \pmod{\eta(2k - \lambda)}$, no non-overlined part is congruent to $\eta \pmod{2\eta}$, no non-overlined part is congruent to $0 \pmod{2\eta(2k - \lambda)}$, no non-overlined part is congruent to $\pm\eta(2r - \lambda)/2 \pmod{\eta(2k - \lambda)}$, and no overlined part is congruent to $\eta/2 \pmod{\eta}$ and not congruent to $\eta(2k - \lambda)/2 \pmod{\eta(2k - \lambda)}$;
- If λ is odd and $j = 0$, then only multiples of $\eta/2$ may be non-overlined, no non-overlined part is congruent to $\eta \pmod{2\eta}$, no non-overlined part is congruent to $0, \pm\eta(2r - \lambda)/2 \pmod{\eta(2k - \lambda - 1)}$, and no overlined part is congruent to $\eta/2 \pmod{\eta}$.



Thomas Y. He, Kathy Q. Ji and Alice X.H. Zhao, Overpartitions and Bressoud's conjecture, I, Adv. Math. 404 (2022), Paper No. 108449, 81 pp.

The overpartition analogue of B_j -partition function

Definition ($\overline{B}_j$ -partition function)

Let λ , k , r and $j = 0$ or 1 be the integers such that $k \geq r \geq \lambda \geq 0$ and $k - 1 + j > \lambda$. Define $\overline{B}_j(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\lambda; \eta, k, r; n)$ to be the number of overpartitions of n of the form $(\pi_1, \dots, \pi_s)$ where $\pi_i \geq \pi_{i+1}$ satisfying the following conditions:

- (1) $\pi_i \equiv 0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\lambda \pmod{\eta}$;
- (2) Only multiples of η may be non-overlined;
- (3) $\pi_i \geq \pi_{i+k-1} + \eta$ with strict inequality if π_i is non-overlined;
- (4) At most $r - 1$ of the π_i are less than or equal to η ;
- (5) If $\pi_i \leq \pi_{i+k-2} + \eta$ with strict inequality if π_i is overlined, then
$$[\pi_i/\eta] + \dots + [\pi_{i+k-2}/\eta] \equiv r - 1 + \overline{V}(\pi_i) \pmod{2 - j}.$$

The generating function of $\overline{A}_j$

By definition, it is easy to see that for $k \geq r \geq \lambda \geq 0$, $k+j-1 > \lambda$ and $j = 0$ or 1 ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n \geq 0} \overline{A}_j(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\lambda; \eta, k, r, n) q^n \\ &= (-q^{\alpha_1}, \dots, -q^{\alpha_\lambda}; q^\eta)_\infty (-q^\eta; q^\eta)_\infty \\ & \quad \times \frac{(q^{\eta(r-\frac{\lambda}{2})}, q^{\eta(2k-r-\frac{\lambda}{2}+j-1)}, q^{\eta(2k-\lambda+j-1)}; q^{\eta(2k-\lambda+j-1)})_\infty}{(q^\eta; q^\eta)_\infty}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n \geq 0} \overline{A}_j(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\lambda; \eta, k, r, n) q^n \\ &= (-q^\eta; q^\eta)_\infty \sum_{n \geq 0} A_{1-j}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\lambda; \eta, k, r, n) q^n. \end{aligned}$$

The relation between $\overline{B}_1$ and B_0

Theorem (He-Ji-Zhao, 2022)

For $k \geq r \geq \lambda \geq 0$ and $k > \lambda \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \geq 0} \overline{B}_1(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\lambda; \eta, k, r, n) q^n \\ = (-q^\eta; q^\eta)_\infty \sum_{n \geq 0} B_0(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\lambda; \eta, k, r, n) q^n. \end{aligned}$$



Thomas Y. He, Kathy Q. Ji and Alice X.H. Zhao, Overpartitions and Bressoud's conjecture, I, Adv. Math. 404 (2022), Paper No. 108449, 81 pp.

The sketch of the proof of Bressoud's conjecture

$$\begin{aligned} & (-q^\eta; q^\eta)_\infty \sum_{n \geq 0} A_0(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\lambda; \eta, k, r; n) q^n \\ &= \sum_{n \geq 0} \bar{A}_1(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\lambda; \eta, k, r; n) q^n \\ &\stackrel{????}{=} \sum_{n \geq 0} \bar{B}_1(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\lambda; \eta, k, r; n) q^n \\ &\stackrel{M1}{=} (-q^\eta; q^\eta)_\infty \sum_{n \geq 0} B_0(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\lambda; \eta, k, r; n) q^n \end{aligned}$$

.

An overpartition analogue of Bressoud's conjecture for $j = 1$

By generalizing Kim's method, we main show that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n \geq 0} \overline{B}_1(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\lambda; \eta, k, r; n) q^n \\ &= \frac{(-q^{\alpha_1}, \dots, -q^{\alpha_\lambda}, -q^\eta; q^\eta)_\infty (q^{\eta(r-\frac{\lambda}{2})}, q^{\eta(2k-r-\frac{\lambda}{2})}, q^{\eta(2k-\lambda)}; q^{\eta(2k-\lambda)})_\infty}{(q^\eta; q^\eta)_\infty} \\ &= \sum_{n \geq 0} \overline{A}_1(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\lambda; \eta, k, r; n) q^n. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem (He-Ji-Zhao)

Let λ, k, r and η be the integers such that $k \geq r \geq \lambda \geq 0$ and $k > \lambda$. Then for $n \geq 0$,

$$\overline{A}_1(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\lambda; \eta, k, r; n) = \overline{B}_1(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\lambda; \eta, k, r; n).$$



Thomas Y. He, Kathy Q. Ji and Alice X.H. Zhao, Overpartitions and Bressoud's conjecture, II, submitted. arXiv: 2001.00162.

The sketch of the proof of Bressoud's conjecture

$$\begin{aligned} & (-q^\eta; q^\eta)_\infty \sum_{n \geq 0} A_0(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\lambda; \eta, k, r, n) q^n \\ &= \sum_{n \geq 0} \bar{A}_1(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\lambda; \eta, k, r, n) q^n \\ &\stackrel{M2}{=} \sum_{n \geq 0} \bar{B}_1(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\lambda; \eta, k, r, n) q^n \\ &\stackrel{M1}{=} (-q^\eta; q^\eta)_\infty \sum_{n \geq 0} B_0(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\lambda; \eta, k, r, n) q^n \end{aligned}$$

.

The relation between $\overline{B}_0$ and B_1

Theorem (He-Ji-Zhao, 2022)

For $k > r \geq \lambda \geq 0$ and $k - 1 > \lambda \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \geq 0} \overline{B}_0(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\lambda; \eta, k, r, n) q^n \\ = (-q^\eta; q^\eta)_\infty \sum_{n \geq 0} B_1(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\lambda; \eta, k - 1, r, n) q^n. \end{aligned}$$

For $k - 1 > \lambda \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \geq 0} \overline{B}_0(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\lambda; \eta, k, k, n) q^n \\ = (-q^\eta; q^\eta)_\infty \sum_{n \geq 0} B_1(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\lambda; \eta, k - 1, k - 1, n) q^n. \end{aligned}$$

An application of this relation

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} \bar{A}_0(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\lambda; \eta, k, r, n) q^n$$

$$= (-q^\eta; q^\eta)_\infty \sum_{n \geq 0} A_1(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\lambda; \eta, k, r, n) q^n$$

$$\stackrel{\text{Kim's result}}{=} (-q^\eta; q^\eta)_\infty \sum_{n \geq 0} B_1(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\lambda; \eta, k, r, n) q^n$$

$$\stackrel{M1}{=} \sum_{n \geq 0} \bar{B}_0(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\lambda; \eta, k, r, n) q^n$$

.

An overpartition analogue of Bressoud's conjecture for $j = 0$

Theorem (He-Ji-Zhao)

Let λ , k and r be the integers such that $k \geq r \geq \lambda \geq 0$ and $k - 1 > \lambda$. Then for $n \geq 0$,

$$\overline{A}_0(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\lambda; \eta, k, r; n) = \overline{B}_0(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\lambda; \eta, k, r; n).$$

Remark: Kim-Bressoud:

$$A_1(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\lambda; \eta, k, r; n) = B_1(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\lambda; \eta, k, r; n).$$



Thomas Y. He, Kathy Q. Ji and Alice X.H. Zhao, Overpartitions and Bressoud's conjecture, I, Adv. Math. 404 (2022), Paper No. 108449, 81 pp.

The corresponding q -identities

We also obtain the following analytic form **with the aid of Bailey's pair**. For $k \geq r > \lambda \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{N_1 \geq \dots \geq N_{k-1} \geq 0} \frac{q^{\eta(N_1^2 + \dots + N_{k-1}^2 + N_r + \dots + N_{k-1})} (1 + q^{-\eta N_r}) (-q^{\eta - \eta N_{\lambda+1}}; q^\eta)_{N_{\lambda+1}}}{(q^\eta; q^\eta)_{N_1 - N_2} \cdots (q^\eta; q^\eta)_{N_{k-2} - N_{k-1}} (q^{(2-j)\eta}; q^{(2-j)\eta})_{N_{k-1}}} \\
 & \quad \times (-q^{\eta + \eta N_\lambda}; q^\eta)_\infty \prod_{s=1}^{\lambda} (-q^{\eta - \alpha_s - \eta N_s}; q^\eta)_{N_s} \prod_{s=2}^{\lambda} (-q^{\eta - \alpha_s + \eta N_{s-1}}; q^\eta)_\infty \\
 & = (-q^{\alpha_1}, \dots, -q^{\alpha_\lambda}, -q^\eta; q^\eta)_\infty \\
 & \quad \times \frac{(q^{(r - \frac{\lambda}{2})\eta}, q^{(2k - r - \frac{\lambda}{2} + j - 1)\eta}, q^{(2k - \lambda + j - 1)\eta}; q^{(2k - \lambda + j - 1)\eta})_\infty}{(q^\eta; q^\eta)_\infty}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Overpartition analogues of some classical partition theorems

By the relation of $\overline{B}_0$ and B_1 and the relation of $\overline{B}_1$ and B_0 , we could obtain overpartition analogue of some classical partition theorems:

- Euler's partition theorem (new)
- Rogers-Ramanujan identities
- The Rogers-Ramanujan-Gordon identity
- The Rogers-Ramanujan-Bressoud identity
- The Göllnitz-Gordon identity (new)
- Andrews' generalization of Göllnitz-Gordon identity (new)
- Bressoud's generalization of Göllnitz-Gordon identity (new)

Bressoud's conjecture

Conjecture (Bressoud)

Let λ , k , r and $j = 0$ or 1 be the integers such that $(2k + j)/2 > r \geq \lambda \geq 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n \geq 0} B_j(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\lambda; \eta, k, r; n) q^n \\ &= \sum_{N_1 \geq \dots \geq N_{k-1} \geq 0} \frac{q^{\eta(N_1^2 + \dots + N_{k-1}^2 + N_r + \dots + N_{k-1})}}{(q^\eta; q^\eta)_{N_1 - N_2} \cdots (q^\eta; q^\eta)_{N_{k-2} - N_{k-1}} (q^{(2-j)\eta}; q^{(2-j)\eta})_{N_{k-1}}} \\ & \quad \times \prod_{s=1}^{\lambda} (-q^{\eta - \alpha_s - \eta N_s}; q^\eta)_{N_s} \prod_{s=2}^{\lambda} (-q^{\eta - \alpha_s + \eta N_{s-1}}; q^\eta)_{\infty}. \end{aligned}$$

Problem: How to give a direct proof of Bressoud's conjecture?

An overpartition analogue of Bressoud's conjecture

Conjecture (He-Ji-Zhao)

Let λ , k , r and $j = 0$ or 1 be the integers such that $(2k + j)/2 > r \geq \lambda \geq 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n \geq 0} \overline{B}_j(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\lambda; \eta, k, r, n) q^n \\ &= \sum_{N_1 \geq \dots \geq N_{k-1} \geq 0} \frac{q^{\eta(N_1^2 + \dots + N_{k-1}^2 + N_r + \dots + N_{k-1})}}{(q^\eta; q^\eta)_{N_1 - N_2} \cdots (q^\eta; q^\eta)_{N_{k-2} - N_{k-1}} (q^{(2-j)\eta}; q^{(2-j)\eta})_{N_{k-1}}} \\ & \quad \times (1 + q^{-\eta N_r}) (-q^{\eta - \eta N_{\lambda+1}}; q^\eta)_{N_{\lambda+1} - 1} (-q^{\eta + \eta N_\lambda}; q^\eta)_\infty \\ & \quad \times \prod_{s=1}^{\lambda} (-q^{\eta - \alpha_s - \eta N_s}; q^\eta)_{N_s} \prod_{s=2}^{\lambda} (-q^{\eta - \alpha_s + \eta N_{s-1}}; q^\eta)_\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Problem: How to give a direct proof of this conjecture?

《微积分溯源》作者分享

【美】戴维·M. 布雷苏 (David M. Bressoud)
美国玛卡莱斯特学院数学教授，数学教学委员会主任
曾担任美国数学协会 (MAA) 会长

如果你不搞懂这些大问题，你永远无法真正意识到微积分这个工具的作用



图灵社区 bilibili

MTU Seminar in Partition Theory, q -Series and Related Topics(October 19, 2023)

Kathy,

You have done wonderful work, and it is satisfying to see your description of your proof of my conjecture.

David

THANK YOU!