

ON THE BLOW-UP FORMULA OF TWISTED DE RHAM COHOMOLOGY

YOUMING CHEN AND SONG YANG

ABSTRACT. We derive a blow-up formula for the de Rham cohomology of a local system of complex vector spaces on a compact complex manifold. As an application, we obtain the blow-up invariance of E_1 -degeneracy of the Hodge-de Rham spectral sequence associated with a local system of complex vector spaces.

1. INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this note is to give a blow-up formula for the twisted de Rham cohomology of a local system of complex vector spaces on a compact complex manifold by the sheaf-theoretical approach. It is worth to notice that the notion of local system has been extensively studied in complex geometry, especially in Hodge theory.

In this note, we are mainly interested in the twisted de Rham cohomologies of local systems of complex vector spaces on complex manifolds. Recall that a *local system* of complex vector spaces of rank r on a complex manifold X is an abelian sheaf which is locally isomorphic to the constant sheaf of stalk \mathbb{C}^r . Naturally, the constant sheaf \mathbb{C} is a local system of rank 1 who admits two important resolutions: the *de Rham resolution* and the *holomorphic de Rham resolution*. Then, the famous *de Rham theorem* asserts that there exist canonical isomorphisms

$$(1.1) \quad H_{dR}^l(X; \mathbb{C}) \cong H^l(X, \mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{H}^l(X, \Omega_X^\bullet)$$

for any $l \in \mathbb{N}$. Moreover, there is a twisted version for the de Rham cohomologies of general local systems (cf. Proposition 2.3).

It is well known that the blow-up transformation plays a significant role in complex geometry. A natural question is to study the blow-up (or more general birational) invariance of the invariants on complex manifolds. However, the Betti numbers generally are not invariants under the blow up transformations since the blow up centers also have some contributions. Fortunately, the Betti numbers satisfy the so-called *blow-up formula*. More precisely, suppose X is a compact complex manifold and $Z \subset X$ is a compact complex submanifold of codimension $c \geq 2$, and let \tilde{X} be the blow-up of X with the center Z . Then the *de Rham blow-up formula* says that there is an isomorphism

$$(1.2) \quad H^l(\tilde{X}, \mathbb{C}) \cong H^l(X, \mathbb{C}) \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^{c-1} H^{l-2i}(Z, \mathbb{C}).$$

It is of importance to notice that many important invariants of complex manifolds hold such a similar formula, for example, the Deligne cohomology [2], the Chern classes [6] and the Dolbeault cohomology of holomorphic vector bundles [10, 11], etc.

In the traditional method, the Thom isomorphism plays an important role in the proof of the de Rham blow-up formula of complex manifolds (cf. [13, I, Theorem 7.31]); see also [10, Appendix A] for another interpretation by using the relative de Rham cohomology. Based on the twisted version of the second isomorphism in (1.1), we derive the following result without using the Thom isomorphism.

Date: June 13, 2019.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 32S45, 14F40, 32C35.

Key words and phrases. local system, twisted de Rham cohomology, blow-up, Hodge-de Rham spectral sequence.

Theorem 1.1. *Let X be a compact complex manifold, and let $\iota : Z \hookrightarrow X$ be a closed complex submanifold of codimension $c \geq 2$. If H is a local system of complex vector spaces on X , then there is an isomorphism*

$$(1.3) \quad H^l(\tilde{X}, \pi^{-1}H) \cong H^l(X, H) \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^{c-1} H^{l-2i}(Z, \iota^{-1}H)$$

where $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ is the blow-up of X along Z .

For one thing, this result is inspired by the recent work on the blow-up formulae of Dolbeault cohomology and Bott-Chern cohomology [10, 11, 15] and also [1, 9, 12] for different discussions on the Dolbeault blow-up formula. For another, it is a natural non-trivial generalization of the de Rham blow-up formula since the topological inverse images $\pi^{-1}\mathbb{C} \cong \mathbb{C}$ and $\iota^{-1}\mathbb{C} \cong \mathbb{C}$, and it may also be viewed as a generalization of the blow-up formula for the Morse-Novikov cohomology [16, 8].

Moreover, for the pair (X, H) , we also have the (twisted) Hodge-de Rham (or Frölicher) spectral sequence

$$(1.4) \quad E_1^{p,q} = H^q(X, \Omega_X^p \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{H}) \implies H^{p+q}(X, H),$$

where $\mathcal{H} := H \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{O}_X$ is the associated locally free sheaf \mathcal{O}_X -module with the natural holomorphic flat connection. If the local system H is the constant sheaf \mathbb{C} or more general a unitary local system on a compact Kähler manifold, then the Hodge-de Rham spectral sequence (1.4) degenerates at E_1 . However, if not, the E_1 -degeneracy of the Hodge-de Rham spectral sequence generally does not hold even for a compact Kähler manifold. This also means that Theorem 1.1 is non-trivial even for compact Kähler manifolds.

As an application of Theorem 1.1, we have the following.

Corollary 1.2. *Under the hypotheses of Theorem 1.1. The Hodge-de Rham spectral sequence degenerates at E_1 for (X, H) and $(Z, \iota^{-1}H)$ if and only if it degenerates at E_1 for $(\tilde{X}, \pi^{-1}H)$.*

This result is a natural generalization of [10, Theorem 1.6] where the birational invariance of the non-twisted E_1 -degeneracy is also obtained for compact complex threefolds and fourfolds.

The rest of this note is devoted to give a proof of Theorem 1.1. In Sect. 2, we first recall some basic materials on the local systems, the Gauss-Manin connection and the twisted de Rham theorem, and then, we review some basics on the blow-up of complex manifolds and give a description of blow-up of Iwasawa manifold along a smooth curve. Section 3 gives the proof of Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 1.2. Finally, in Sect. 4 we give some remarks on the algebraic de Rham cohomology and a further question.

Notations. Assume that X is a compact complex manifold and we fix some notations for later use.

- \mathbb{C} the constant sheaf of stalk \mathbb{C} ;
- Ω_X^p the sheaf of holomorphic p -forms;
- $\mathcal{O}_X = \Omega_X^0$ the sheaf of holomorphic functions, i.e., the structure sheaf of complex manifold X ;
- \mathcal{A}_X^l the sheaf of complex-valued smooth l -forms;
- $\mathcal{A}_X^{p,q}$ the sheaf of smooth (p, q) -forms;
- $\mathcal{C}_X^\infty = \mathcal{A}_X^0 = \mathcal{A}_X^{0,0}$ the sheaf of complex-valued smooth functions.

Suppose $f : Y \rightarrow X$ is a proper holomorphic map between complex manifolds. We denote by $f_*(-)$ the direct image of sheaves or currents and $f^{-1}(-)$ the inverse image of sheaves or sets; see for example [5].

2. GENERALITIES

2.1. Twisted de Rham cohomology. In this subsection, we briefly review the twisted de Rham complex of a local system of complex vector spaces which is equivalent to a flat smooth complex vector bundle on a complex manifold. We mainly refer to [13, I §9.2 and II §5.1.1].

Let X be a compact complex manifold of complex dimension n and H be a local system of complex vector spaces of rank r . For H , there are two associated locally free sheaves of rank r ,

$$\mathcal{C}_X^\infty \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} H \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{O}_X \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} H,$$

which are \mathcal{C}_X^∞ -module and \mathcal{O}_X -module, respectively. Since \mathcal{C}_X^∞ is an \mathcal{O}_X -module, one has

$$\mathcal{C}_X^\infty \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} H \cong (\mathcal{O}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{C}_X^\infty) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} H \cong \mathcal{C}_X^\infty \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} (\mathcal{O}_X \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} H).$$

Therefore, without causing confusion, we may denote by \mathcal{H} both the locally free sheaves $\mathcal{C}_X^\infty \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} H$ and $\mathcal{O}_X \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} H$, and also the associated smooth complex and holomorphic vector bundles as well.

Moreover, in the smooth complex case, there is a canonical *flat smooth connection*, i.e., a \mathcal{C}_X^∞ -linear morphism

$$(2.1) \quad \nabla : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_X^1 \otimes_{\mathcal{C}_X^\infty} \mathcal{H}$$

given by

$$\nabla \sigma = \sum_{i=1}^r d\alpha_i \otimes \sigma_i = \sum_{i=1}^r (\partial\alpha_i \otimes \sigma_i + \bar{\partial}\alpha_i \otimes \sigma_i)$$

for any $\sigma = \sum \alpha_i \sigma_i \in \mathcal{H}$ where $\{\sigma_i\}$ is a basis of a local trivialization of H and $\{\alpha_i\}$ are the corresponding local sections of \mathcal{C}_X^∞ . Naturally, we can extend the connection (2.1) to a \mathcal{C}_X^∞ -linear morphism

$$\nabla : \mathcal{A}_X^l \otimes_{\mathcal{C}_X^\infty} \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_X^{l+1} \otimes_{\mathcal{C}_X^\infty} \mathcal{H}$$

by setting $\nabla(\alpha\sigma) = (d\alpha)\sigma + (-1)^{|\alpha|}\alpha\nabla(\sigma)$, and it decomposes as (1,0)-part and (0,1)-part

$$\nabla = \nabla^{1,0} + \nabla^{0,1}.$$

On one hand, the (0,1)-part $\nabla^{0,1}$ gives the holomorphic structure, i.e., $(\mathcal{C}_X^\infty \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} H, \nabla^{0,1}) = \mathcal{O}_X \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} H$. On the other hand, $\nabla^{1,0}$ defines a canonical *flat holomorphic connection* on $\mathcal{O}_X \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} H$ which means a \mathcal{O}_X -linear morphism

$$(2.2) \quad \nabla^{1,0} : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \Omega_X^1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{H}$$

with

$$\nabla^{1,0} \sigma = \sum_i \partial\alpha_i \otimes \sigma_i$$

for any $\sigma = \sum \alpha_i \sigma_i \in \mathcal{H}$ where $\{\sigma_i\}$ is a basis of a local trivialization of H and $\{\alpha_i\}$ are the local holomorphic sections. Similarly, one can extend the connection (2.2) to a \mathcal{O}_X -linear morphism $\nabla^{1,0} : \Omega_X^l \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \Omega_X^{l+1} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{H}$ with the Leibniz rule.

In both cases, the canonical flat connections (2.1) and (2.2) are called *Gauss-Manin connections*. In summary, the smooth complex or holomorphic vector bundle associated with H is naturally equipped with a canonical flat connection, and actually, the converse also holds. More exactly, we have the following well-known fact (cf. [13, I, Proposition 9.11]).

Lemma 2.1 (Deligne). *The map $H \mapsto (\mathcal{H}, \nabla)$ (resp. $H \mapsto (\mathcal{H}, \nabla^{1,0})$) gives a one-to-one correspondence between the isomorphism classes of local systems of complex vector spaces and the isomorphism classes of smooth complex (resp. holomorphic) vector bundles endowed with a flat connection.*

Moreover, the Gauss-Manin connections (2.1) and (2.2) may naturally be extended to the differentials, denoted by

$$d_\nabla : \mathcal{A}_X^l \otimes_{\mathcal{C}_X^\infty} \mathcal{H} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}_X^{l+1} \otimes_{\mathcal{C}_X^\infty} \mathcal{H}$$

and

$$\partial_{\nabla} : \mathcal{A}_X^{p,q} \otimes_{\mathcal{C}_X^{\infty}} \mathcal{H} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}_X^{p+1,q} \otimes_{\mathcal{C}_X^{\infty}} \mathcal{H},$$

with the Leibniz rule. For simplicity, from now on we drop the subscript ∇ and we denote by $\mathcal{A}_X^l(\mathcal{H}) := \mathcal{A}_X^l \otimes_{\mathcal{C}_X^{\infty}} \mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{A}_X^{p,q}(\mathcal{H}) := \mathcal{A}_X^{p,q} \otimes_{\mathcal{C}_X^{\infty}} \mathcal{H}$. Then we have differential

$$d = \partial + \bar{\partial} : \mathcal{A}_X^l(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_X^{l+1}(\mathcal{H}).$$

Consequently, given a local system H of complex vector spaces, there is a natural double complex

$$(\mathcal{A}_X^{\bullet,\bullet}(\mathcal{H}), \partial, \bar{\partial})$$

with the total complex being the *twisted de Rham complex*

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}_X^0(\mathcal{H}) \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{A}_X^1(\mathcal{H}) \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{A}_X^2(\mathcal{H}) \xrightarrow{d} \cdots \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{A}_X^{2n}(\mathcal{H}) \longrightarrow 0,$$

which is a fine resolution of H .

Definition 2.2 (Twisted de Rham cohomology). The *twisted de Rham cohomology of the local system H* is defined as

$$H_{dR}^l(X; H) := \frac{\ker(A^l(X; H) \xrightarrow{d} A^{l+1}(X; H))}{\text{im}(A^{l-1}(X; H) \xrightarrow{d} A^l(X; H))}$$

for any $0 \leq l \leq 2n$, where $A^l(X; H) := \Gamma(X, \mathcal{A}_X^l(\mathcal{H}))$ is the space of \mathcal{H} -valued differential l -forms.

Similarly, we denote by $\Omega_X^p(\mathcal{H}) := \Omega_X^p \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{H}$. Then there is a *twisted holomorphic de Rham complex*

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(\mathcal{H}) \xrightarrow{\partial} \Omega_X^1(\mathcal{H}) \xrightarrow{\partial} \cdots \xrightarrow{\partial} \Omega_X^n(\mathcal{H}) \longrightarrow 0.$$

which is also a resolution of H . As a result, one has the twisted de Rham theorem.

Proposition 2.3 (Twisted de Rham theorem).

$$H_{dR}^l(X; H) \cong H^l(X, H) \cong \mathbb{H}^l(X, \Omega_X^{\bullet}(\mathcal{H})).$$

Finally, we give a current description of twisted de Rham cohomology for the pair (X, H) . We refer the reader to [5] for the current theory. We denote $\mathcal{C}_X^l(\mathcal{H})$ the sheaf of \mathcal{H} -valued currents of degree l . Then the de Rham cohomology of \mathcal{H} -valued currents

$$H_C^l(X; H) := \frac{\ker(\mathcal{C}^l(X; H) \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{C}^{l+1}(X; H))}{\text{im}(\mathcal{C}^{l-1}(X; H) \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{C}^l(X; H))}$$

for any $0 \leq k \leq n$, where $\mathcal{C}^l(X; H) := \Gamma(X, \mathcal{C}_X^l(\mathcal{H}))$ is the space of \mathcal{H} -valued currents of degree l with the natural differential induced by d . From definition, there is a natural inclusion $j : A^{\bullet}(X; H) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}^{\bullet}(X; H)$ which induces an isomorphism

$$(2.3) \quad j : H_{dR}^l(X; H) \xrightarrow{\cong} H_C^l(X; H).$$

Moreover, $\mathcal{C}_X^{\bullet}(\mathcal{H})$ is also a fine resolution of H . Hence there is a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & H^l(X, H) & \\ \swarrow \simeq & & \searrow \simeq \\ H_{dR}^l(X; H) & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & H_C^l(X; H) \end{array}$$

2.2. Blow-up. In this subsection, we collect some basic facts on the blow-ups of complex manifolds along its closed complex submanifolds. We refer the interested readers to [13, I, §3.3.3] for more detailed discussions.

Let X be a complex manifold of complex dimension $n \geq 2$, and let $Z \subset X$ be a closed complex submanifold of complex dimension $m = n - c$ ($c \geq 2$). Theoretically, there is a unique complex manifold $\text{Bl}_Z X$ and a holomorphic map $\pi : \text{Bl}_Z X \rightarrow X$, which is called the *blow-up of X along Z* , such that

- (1) π is proper and the restriction $\pi : \text{Bl}_Z X \setminus \pi^{-1}(Z) \rightarrow X \setminus Z$ is isomorphic;
- (2) $E := \pi^{-1}(Z)$ is a hypersurface, which is called the *exceptional divisor*, of $\text{Bl}_Z X$. Furthermore, E is isomorphic to the projective bundle $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{N}_{Z/X})$ of the normal bundle $\mathcal{N}_{Z/X}$ of Z in X .

Moreover, if X is compact, so is $\text{Bl}_Z X$.

For convenience, we sketch the local construction of blow-up and then one can glue them into the global blow-up (cf. [13, I, Lemma 3.22]). In fact, one defines the blow-up $\text{Bl}_{\mathbb{C}^m} \mathbb{C}^n$ of \mathbb{C}^n along its closed complex submanifold $\mathbb{C}^m := \{z_1 = z_2 = \cdots = z_{n-m} = 0\}$ as follows:

$$\text{Bl}_{\mathbb{C}^m} \mathbb{C}^n := \{(w, z) \in \mathbb{P}^{n-m-1} \times \mathbb{C}^n \mid w_i z_j = z_i w_j, \forall i, j \leq n-m\}.$$

It is a complex manifold and the projection $\pi : \text{Bl}_{\mathbb{C}^m} \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ is holomorphic, $E = \pi^{-1}(\mathbb{C}^m) \cong \mathbb{C}^m \times \mathbb{P}^{n-m-1}$. Then, the local blow-up diagram is as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{C}^m \times \mathbb{P}^{n-m-1} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\iota}} & \text{Bl}_{\mathbb{C}^m} \mathbb{C}^n \\ \rho \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi \\ \mathbb{C}^m & \xrightarrow{\iota} & \mathbb{C}^n. \end{array}$$

Now, we consider a concrete example.

Example 2.4 (Iwasawa manifold). We consider the blow-up of Iwasawa manifold $\mathbf{I}(\mathbf{3})$ along a smooth curve C . Denote by $\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{3}; \mathbb{C})$ the Heisenberg Lie group $\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & z_1 & z_3 \\ 0 & 1 & z_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mid z_i \in \mathbb{C} \right\} \subset \mathbf{G}\mathbf{l}(\mathbf{3}; \mathbb{C})$. As complex manifolds, $\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{3}; \mathbb{C})$ is isomorphic to \mathbb{C}^3 . Consider the discrete group $\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{3}) := \mathbf{G}\mathbf{l}(\mathbf{3}; \mathbb{Z}[i]) \cap \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{3}; \mathbb{C})$, where $\mathbb{Z}[i] = \{a + bi \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is the Gaussian integers. Then the left multiplication gives a natural $\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{3})$ -action on $\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{3}; \mathbb{C})$. Correspondingly, there is a faithful $\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{3})$ -action on \mathbb{C}^3 given by

$$(g_1, g_2, g_3) \cdot (z_1, z_2, z_3) := (z_1 + g_1, z_2 + g_2, z_3 + g_1 z_2 + g_3),$$

where $g_1, g_2, g_3 \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$. This $\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{3})$ -action defines a monomorphism $\varphi : \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{3}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Aff}(\mathbb{C}^3)$ where $\mathbf{Aff}(\mathbb{C}^3)$ is the affine transformation group of \mathbb{C}^3 . Therefore, this $\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{3})$ -action is properly discontinuous. Consequently, the associated $\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{3})$ -quotient space

$$\mathbf{I}(\mathbf{3}) := \mathbb{C}^3 / \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{3})$$

is a compact complex threefold, which is called *Iwasawa manifold*.

Moreover, there is a natural holomorphic map $p : \mathbf{I}(\mathbf{3}) \rightarrow \mathbf{T}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ which is induced by the projection $(z_1, z_2, z_3) \mapsto (z_1, z_2)$ where $\mathbf{T}_{\mathbb{C}}^2 := \mathbb{C} / \mathbb{Z}[i] \times \mathbb{C} / \mathbb{Z}[i]$. The fibers of p are all isomorphic to the complex torus $\mathbf{T}_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathbb{C} / \mathbb{Z}[i]$. We denote $C := p^{-1}([0, 0]) = p^{-1}([c_1, c_2])$ where $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$. It is a smooth curve in $\mathbf{I}(\mathbf{3})$. We claim that C is also a $\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{3})$ -quotient space. To this end, we take

$$\Gamma := \mathbb{Z}[i] \times \mathbb{Z}[i] \times \mathbb{C} = \{(c_1, c_2, z_3) \mid c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{Z}[i], z_3 \in \mathbb{C}\}$$

as a closed complex submanifold of \mathbb{C}^3 . It is a direct check that Γ is $\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{3})$ -equivariant. Therefore, by the definition, $C = \Gamma / \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{3})$.

Now we consider the blow-up of \mathbb{C}^3 along Γ . One has the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Gamma \times \mathbb{P}^1 & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\iota}} & \text{Bl}_\Gamma \mathbb{C}^3 \\ \rho \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi \\ \Gamma & \xrightarrow{\iota} & \mathbb{C}^3, \end{array}$$

where $\text{Bl}_\Gamma \mathbb{C}^3 \cong \mathbb{Z}[i] \times \mathbb{Z}[i] \times \text{Bl}_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}^3$ as complex manifolds since $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ is discrete in \mathbb{C} . It not difficult to see that the $\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{3})$ -action can be lifted into the blow-up diagram. Since the blow-up complex manifold is unique, it yields

$$\text{Bl}_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbf{I}(\mathbf{3}) \cong \text{Bl}_\Gamma \mathbb{C}^3 / \mathbf{G}(\mathbf{3}).$$

3. PROOF

Let X be a compact complex manifold of dimension $n \geq 2$ and $\iota : Z \hookrightarrow X$ be its closed complex submanifold of codimension $c \geq 2$. Let $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ be the blow-up of X along the center Z . Then, we have the blow-up diagram

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} E & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\iota}} & \tilde{X} \\ \rho \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi \\ Z & \xrightarrow{\iota} & X. \end{array}$$

where the exceptional divisor $\rho : E \rightarrow Z$ is the projective bundle of the normal bundle of Z in X , and $\tilde{\iota}$ is closed embedding of E in \tilde{X} .

From now on, let H be a local system of complex vector spaces on X . Then we have

$$\tilde{\mathcal{H}} := \pi^* \mathcal{H} = \pi^{-1}(H \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{O}_X) \otimes_{\pi^{-1} \mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}} \cong (\pi^{-1} H \otimes_{\pi^{-1} \mathbb{C}} \pi^{-1} \mathcal{O}_X) \otimes_{\pi^{-1} \mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}} \cong \pi^{-1} H \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}.$$

This means that the inverse images of the locally free sheaves and the local systems are compatible.

3.1. Proof of Theorem 1.1. We use a technical notion of relative Dolbeault sheaf which is introduced in [10, 11, 15]. Recall that the pullback of holomorphic p -forms determines a natural surjective sheaf morphism $\iota^* : \Omega_X^p \rightarrow \iota_* \Omega_Z^p$ of \mathcal{O}_X -modules on X . Therefore, there is a short exact sequence of \mathcal{O}_X -modules

$$(3.2) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{K}_{X,Z}^p \longrightarrow \Omega_X^p \xrightarrow{\iota^*} \iota_* \Omega_Z^p \longrightarrow 0,$$

and we call the kernel sheaf of ι^* , denoted by $\mathcal{K}_{X,Z}^p$, the p -th relative Dolbeault sheaf of X with respect to Z . Tensoring (3.2) with \mathcal{H} , we obtain a new short exact sequence of complexes of sheaves

$$(3.3) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{K}_{X,Z}^\bullet(\mathcal{H}) \longrightarrow \Omega_X^\bullet(\mathcal{H}) \longrightarrow \iota_* \Omega_Z^\bullet(\iota^* \mathcal{H}) \longrightarrow 0$$

since the pullback operator commutes with the operator ∂ .

Similarly, for the pair (\tilde{X}, E) , we also have a short exact sequence

$$(3.4) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{K}_{\tilde{X},E}^\bullet(\tilde{\mathcal{H}}) \longrightarrow \Omega_{\tilde{X}}^\bullet(\tilde{\mathcal{H}}) \longrightarrow \tilde{\iota}_* \Omega_E^\bullet(\tilde{\iota}^* \tilde{\mathcal{H}}) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Consider the long exact sequences of hypercohomology of the above two short exact sequences (3.3) and (3.4). Since the direct image ι_* and $\tilde{\iota}_*$ are exact functors, one has that

$$\mathbb{H}^l(Z, \Omega_Z^\bullet(\iota^* \mathcal{H})) \cong \mathbb{H}^l(X, \iota_* \Omega_Z^\bullet(\iota^* \mathcal{H}))$$

and

$$\mathbb{H}^l(E, \Omega_E^\bullet(\tilde{\iota}^* \tilde{\mathcal{H}})) \cong \mathbb{H}^l(\tilde{X}, \tilde{\iota}_* \Omega_E^\bullet(\tilde{\iota}^* \tilde{\mathcal{H}})).$$

Then the blow-up diagram (3.1) and the pullback of differential forms naturally induce a commutative ladder of long exact sequences of hypercohomologies

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\longrightarrow & \mathbb{H}^l(X, \mathcal{K}_{X,Z}^\bullet(\mathcal{H})) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{H}^l(X, \Omega_X^\bullet(\mathcal{H})) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{H}^l(Z, \Omega_Z^\bullet(\iota^* \mathcal{H})) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{H}^{l+1}(X, \mathcal{K}_{X,Z}^\bullet(\mathcal{H})) & \longrightarrow \\
& \pi^* \downarrow & & \pi^* \downarrow & & \rho^* \downarrow & & \pi^* \downarrow & \\
\longrightarrow & \mathbb{H}^l(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{K}_{\tilde{X},E}^\bullet(\tilde{\mathcal{H}})) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{H}^l(\tilde{X}, \Omega_{\tilde{X}}^\bullet(\tilde{\mathcal{H}})) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{H}^l(E, \Omega_E^\bullet(\tilde{\iota}^* \tilde{\mathcal{H}})) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{H}^{l+1}(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{K}_{\tilde{X},E}^\bullet(\tilde{\mathcal{H}})) & \longrightarrow
\end{array}$$

Moreover, by the twisted de Rham theorem (see Proposition 2.3) and the blow-up commutative diagram (3.1), the above commutative ladder becomes

(3.5)

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\longrightarrow & \mathbb{H}^l(X, \mathcal{K}_{X,Z}^\bullet(\mathcal{H})) & \longrightarrow & H^l(X, H) & \longrightarrow & H^l(Z, \iota^{-1} H) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{H}^{l+1}(X, \mathcal{K}_{X,Z}^\bullet(\mathcal{H})) & \longrightarrow \\
& \pi^* \downarrow & & \pi^* \downarrow & & \rho^* \downarrow & & \pi^* \downarrow & \\
\longrightarrow & \mathbb{H}^l(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{K}_{\tilde{X},E}^\bullet(\tilde{\mathcal{H}})) & \longrightarrow & H^l(\tilde{X}, \pi^{-1} H) & \longrightarrow & H^l(E, \rho^{-1}(\iota^{-1} H)) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{H}^{l+1}(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{K}_{\tilde{X},E}^\bullet(\tilde{\mathcal{H}})) & \longrightarrow
\end{array}$$

To finish the proof, we need the following three lemmas which will be proved later. The first two lemmas are known for experts, and we believe that the third one is new.

Lemma 3.1. *The morphism*

$$\pi^* : H^l(X, H) \longrightarrow H^l(\tilde{X}, \pi^{-1} H)$$

is injective.

Lemma 3.2. *There is a canonical isomorphism*

$$\bigoplus_{i=0}^{c-1} H^{l-2i}(Z, \iota^{-1} H) \xrightarrow{\sum_{i=0}^{c-1} h^i \wedge \rho^*(-)} H^l(E, \rho^{-1}(\iota^{-1} H)),$$

where $h = c_1(\mathcal{O}_E(1))$ is the first Chern class of the relative tautological line bundle.

Lemma 3.3. *The morphism*

$$(3.6) \quad \pi^* : \mathbb{H}^l(X, \mathcal{K}_{X,Z}^\bullet(\mathcal{H})) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbb{H}^l(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{K}_{\tilde{X},E}^\bullet(\tilde{\mathcal{H}}))$$

is isomorphic.

Temporarily admitting the above three lemmas, we may complete the proof of Theorem 1.1. In the diagram (3.5), following Lemma 3.3 the first and the fourth column maps are isomorphisms and following Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2 the second and third are injective. Then applying the diagram-chasing in (3.5), we obtain that the cokernel of $H^l(X, H) \xrightarrow{\pi^*} H^l(\tilde{X}, \pi^{-1} H)$ is canonical isomorphic to the cokernel $H^l(Z, \iota^{-1} H) \xrightarrow{\rho^*} H^l(E, \rho^{-1}(\iota^{-1} H))$. Consequently, by Lemma 3.2 again we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
H^l(\tilde{X}, \pi^{-1} H) &\cong H^l(X, H) \oplus H^l(E, \rho^{-1}(\iota^{-1} H)) / H^l(Z, \iota^{-1} H) \\
&\cong H^l(X, H) \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^{c-1} H^{l-2i}(Z, \iota^{-1} H).
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

3.2. Proof of Lemma 3.1. Likewise to (2.3), for the pair $(\tilde{X}, \pi^{-1}H)$ one has a canonical isomorphism

$$\tilde{j} : H_{dR}^l(\tilde{X}; \pi^{-1}H) \xrightarrow{\simeq} H_{\mathcal{C}}^l(\tilde{X}; \pi^{-1}H).$$

Moreover, since π is a proper map, one has the direct image of the currents

$$\pi_* : H_{\mathcal{C}}^l(\tilde{X}; \pi^{-1}H) \longrightarrow H_{\mathcal{C}}^l(X; H).$$

Then we have the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_{dR}^l(X; H) & \xrightarrow[\simeq]{j} & H_{\mathcal{C}}^l(X; H) \\ \pi^* \downarrow & & \uparrow \pi_* \\ H_{dR}^l(\tilde{X}; \pi^{-1}H) & \xrightarrow[\simeq]{\tilde{j}} & H_{\mathcal{C}}^l(\tilde{X}; \pi^{-1}H) \end{array}$$

Moreover the blow-up morphism π has finite generic fiber of cardinal 1, i.e., of degree 1, one can show that

$$\pi_* \tilde{j} \pi^* = j$$

by following the totally same step as [14, Lemma 2.3] or [5, Theorem 12.9]. The fact is essentially due to the condition that π is biholomorphic outside sets of Lebesgue measure zero.

Therefore if $\pi^*(\alpha) = 0$, we have that $j(\alpha) = \pi_* \tilde{j} \pi^*(\alpha) = 0$. However j is an isomorphism, thus $\alpha = 0$ and this means π^* is injective.

3.3. Proof of Lemma 3.2. This is in fact a twisted version of the Leray-Hirsch lemma, and it can be proved by using the Mayer-Vietoris sequence as [3, Theorem 5.11]. Here, we give a different interpretation following an idea of Deligne [4].

Let $h := c_1(\mathcal{O}_E(1))$ be the first Chern class of the relative tautological line bundle. and $h^i := \wedge^i h \in H^{2i}(E, \mathbb{C})$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, c-1$. Then the restriction of those classes to each fiber $E_z \cong \mathbb{P}^{c-1}$ forms a basis of $H^\bullet(E_z, \mathbb{C})$. Given a local system L of complex vector spaces of rank r on Z , there is a natural morphism of sheaf complexes,

$$\mathcal{A}_Z^\bullet(\mathcal{L})[-2i] \xrightarrow{h^i \wedge \rho^*(-)} \rho_* \mathcal{A}_E^\bullet(\rho^* \mathcal{L}),$$

for each h^i . Taking direct sums, we obtain a morphism of complexes of sheaves

$$(3.7) \quad \Phi : \bigoplus_{i=0}^{c-1} \mathcal{A}_Z^\bullet(\mathcal{L})[-2i] \xrightarrow{\sum_{i=0}^{c-1} h^i \wedge \rho^*(-)} \rho_* \mathcal{A}_E^\bullet(\rho^* \mathcal{L}).$$

Moreover, Φ is defined up to homotopy by the cohomology class $[h^i]$. In fact, if $h^i - h' = dv$ then one has $h^i \wedge \rho^* - h' \wedge \rho^* = dv \wedge \rho^* = d(v \wedge \rho^*) - v \wedge d\rho^*$. This means $h^i \wedge \rho^*$ and $h' \wedge \rho^*$ are homotopic equivalent.

Moreover Φ is a quasi-isomorphism. To prove this fact, we consider the induced morphism of cohomology sheaves

$$H^t(\Phi) : \bigoplus_{i=0}^{c-1} H^{t-2i}(\mathcal{A}_Z^\bullet(\mathcal{L})) \xrightarrow{\sum_{i=0}^{c-1} h^i \wedge \rho^*(-)} H^t(\rho_* \mathcal{A}_E^\bullet(\rho^* \mathcal{L})).$$

Since ρ is proper and $\mathcal{A}_E^\bullet(\rho^* \mathcal{L})$ is a fine resolution of $\rho^{-1}L$, on the stalk one has

$$(H^t(\rho_* \mathcal{A}_E^\bullet(\rho^* \mathcal{L}))_z = H^t(E_z, \rho^{-1}L|_{E_z}) = H^t(E_z, \mathbb{C})^{\oplus r} \cong \begin{cases} 0, & t \text{ is odd;} \\ \mathbb{C}^r, & t \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

Similarly, $\mathcal{A}_Z^\bullet(\mathcal{L})$ is a fine resolution of L ; therefore, it suffices to prove the isomorphism on stalks

$$H^{2i}(\Phi)_z : L_z \xrightarrow{h^i|_{E_z} \wedge \rho^*(-)} H^{2i}(\rho_* \mathcal{A}_E^\bullet(\rho^* \mathcal{L}))_z.$$

It is indeed an isomorphism since $L_z = \mathbb{C}^r$ and $H^{2i}(\Phi)_z(1) = h^i|_{E_z}$ is a basis of $H^{2i}(E_z, \mathbb{C})$.

Remark 3.4. Using the same idea, another interpretation of the (bundle-valued) Dolbeault projective bundle formula [10, 11] may be obtained. In fact, for any holomorphic vector bundle \mathcal{L} on Z , there is a similar morphism of complexes of sheaves

$$\bigoplus_{i=0}^{c-1} \mathcal{A}_Z^{p-i, \bullet}(\mathcal{L})[-i] \xrightarrow{\sum_{i=0}^{c-1} h_i \wedge \rho^*(-)} \rho_* \mathcal{A}_E^{p, \bullet}(\rho^* \mathcal{L}),$$

which is indeed a quasi-isomorphism. As a matter of fact the quasi-isomorphism is due to the canonical isomorphism

$$\rho^* : \Omega_Z^{p-i}(\mathcal{L}) \xrightarrow{\cong} R^i \rho_* \Omega_E^p(\rho^* \mathcal{L}),$$

for $0 \leq i \leq c-1$.

3.4. Proof of Lemma 3.3. Recall $\mathcal{K}_{X,Z}^{p,q}(\mathcal{H}) := \ker(\mathcal{A}_X^{p,q}(\mathcal{H}) \xrightarrow{\iota^*} \iota_* \mathcal{A}_Z^{p,q}(\iota^* \mathcal{H}))$ is the relative (p, q) -Dolbeault sheaf. Correspondingly, $\mathcal{K}_{X,Z}^{p, \bullet}(\mathcal{H})$ is a fine resolution of $\mathcal{K}_{X,Z}^p(\mathcal{H})$.

Let $K^{p,q}(\mathcal{H}) = \Gamma(X, \mathcal{K}_{X,Z}^{p,q}(\mathcal{H}))$. The hypercohomology of $\mathcal{K}_{X,Z}^{\bullet}(\mathcal{H})$ may be viewed in terms of a double complex $K^{\bullet, \bullet}(\mathcal{H})$ with the differentials

$$\partial : K^{p,q}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow K^{p+1,q}(\mathcal{H}), \quad \bar{\partial} : K^{p,q}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow K^{p,q+1}(\mathcal{H}).$$

Denote the total complex by

$$K^l(\mathcal{H}) := \bigoplus_{p+q=l} K^{p,q}(\mathcal{H}).$$

Then, there is a canonical isomorphism $\mathbb{H}^l(X, \mathcal{K}_{X,Z}^{\bullet}(\mathcal{H})) \cong H^l(K^{\bullet}(\mathcal{H}))$. Similarly, there is a double complex $K^{\bullet, \bullet}(\tilde{\mathcal{H}})$ associated with the pair (\tilde{X}, E) and a canonical isomorphism $\mathbb{H}^l(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{K}_{\tilde{X},E}^{\bullet}(\tilde{\mathcal{H}})) \cong H^l(K^{\bullet}(\tilde{\mathcal{H}}))$. Hence, the morphism (3.6) can be rewritten as the morphism of the total cohomology

$$(3.8) \quad \pi^* : H^l(K^{\bullet}(\mathcal{H})) \rightarrow H^l(K^{\bullet}(\tilde{\mathcal{H}})).$$

Therefore, to conclude the proof it suffices to show that the morphism 3.8 is isomorphic. Consider the following two bounded double complexes

$$(K^{\bullet, \bullet}(\mathcal{H}); \bar{\partial}, \partial) \text{ and } (K^{\bullet, \bullet}(\tilde{\mathcal{H}}); \bar{\partial}, \partial).$$

There exist two spectral sequences:

- (1) $\{E_r, d_r\}$ converges to the total cohomology $H^{\bullet}(K^{\bullet}(\mathcal{H}))$ such that

$$E_1^{p,q} = H_{\bar{\partial}}^{p,q}(K^{\bullet}(\mathcal{H})) = H^q(K^{p, \bullet}(\mathcal{H})) \cong H^q(X, \mathcal{K}_{X,Z}^p(\mathcal{H}));$$
- (2) $\{\tilde{E}_r, \tilde{d}_r\}$ converges to the total cohomology $H^{\bullet}(K^{\bullet}(\tilde{\mathcal{H}}))$ such that

$$E_1^{p,q} = H_{\bar{\partial}}^{p,q}(K^{\bullet}(\tilde{\mathcal{H}})) = H^q(K^{p, \bullet}(\tilde{\mathcal{H}})) \cong H^q(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{K}_{\tilde{X},E}^p(\tilde{\mathcal{H}})).$$

Note that the morphism of double complexes $\pi^* : K^{\bullet, \bullet}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow K^{\bullet, \bullet}(\tilde{\mathcal{H}})$ induces a morphism of spectral sequences $\pi_r^* : E_r \rightarrow \tilde{E}_r$ for any $r \geq 1$. Moreover, we have the following result.

Claim 3.5 (cf. [11, Lemma 4.5]). *For any $0 \leq p, q \leq n$, the pullback of differential forms induces an isomorphism*

$$\pi^* : H^q(X, \mathcal{K}_{X,Z}^p(\mathcal{H})) \longrightarrow H^q(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{K}_{\tilde{X},E}^p(\tilde{\mathcal{H}})).$$

In fact, by [11, Lemma 4.4] we have $\pi^* : \mathcal{K}_{X,Z}^p(\mathcal{H}) \xrightarrow{\cong} \pi_* \mathcal{K}_{\tilde{X},E}^p(\tilde{\mathcal{H}})$ and the higher direct image $R^i \pi_* \mathcal{K}_{\tilde{X},E}^p(\tilde{\mathcal{H}}) = 0$ for $i \geq 1$. Since $\mathcal{K}_{\tilde{X},E}^{p, \bullet}(\tilde{\mathcal{H}})$ is a fine resolution of $\mathcal{K}_{\tilde{X},E}^p(\tilde{\mathcal{H}})$, the vanishing of the higher direct images implies that $\pi_* \mathcal{K}_{\tilde{X},E}^{p, \bullet}(\tilde{\mathcal{H}})$ is a fine resolution of $\pi_* \mathcal{K}_{\tilde{X},E}^p(\tilde{\mathcal{H}})$. As a result, we obtain the canonical isomorphisms

$$\pi^* : H^l(X, \mathcal{K}_{X,Z}^p(\mathcal{H})) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^l(X, \pi_* \mathcal{K}_{\tilde{X},E}^p(\tilde{\mathcal{H}}))$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= H^l(\Gamma(X, \pi_* \mathcal{K}_{\tilde{X}, E}^{p, \bullet}(\tilde{\mathcal{H}}))) \\
&= H^l(\Gamma(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{K}_{\tilde{X}, E}^{p, \bullet}(\tilde{\mathcal{H}}))) \\
&= H^l(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{K}_{\tilde{X}, E}^p(\tilde{\mathcal{H}})).
\end{aligned}$$

This means that $\pi_1^* : E_1 \rightarrow \tilde{E}_1$ is isomorphic, and hence, π_r^* is isomorphic for any $r > 1$ by a standard result in the spectral sequence theory. Consequently, the induced morphism (3.8) is isomorphic. This concludes the proof.

3.5. Proof of Corollary 1.2. Recall the Hodge-de Rham spectral sequence

$$E_1^{p,q} = H^q(X, \Omega_X^p(\mathcal{H})) \implies H^{p+q}(X, H).$$

Hence, one has an inequality of the dimension of cohomologies

$$h^l(X, H) \leq \sum_{p+q=l} h^q(X, \Omega_X^p(\mathcal{H}))$$

for any l . Then, the Hodge-de Rham spectral sequence degenerates at E_1 if and only if

$$h^l(X, H) = \sum_{p+q=l} h^q(X, \Omega_X^p(\mathcal{H}))$$

for any $0 \leq l \leq 2n$. In fact, by the bundle-valued Dolbeault blow-up formula [11, Theorem 1.2], one has

$$\sum_{p+q=l} h^q(\tilde{X}, \Omega_{\tilde{X}}^p(\tilde{\mathcal{H}})) = \sum_{p+q=l} (h^q(X, \Omega_X^p(\mathcal{H})) + \sum_{i=1}^{c-1} h^{q-i}(Z, \Omega_Z^{p-i}(\iota^* \mathcal{H}))).$$

Moreover, according to Theorem 1.1, we obtain the following equation

$$\begin{aligned}
h^l(\tilde{X}, \pi^{-1}H) - \sum_{p+q=l} h^q(\tilde{X}, \Omega_{\tilde{X}}^p(\tilde{\mathcal{H}})) &= (h^l(X, H) - \sum_{p+q=l} h^q(X, \Omega_X^p(\mathcal{H}))) \\
&\quad + \sum_{i=1}^{c-1} (h^{l-2i}(Z, \iota^{-1}H) - \sum_{p+q=l} h^{q-i}(Z, \Omega_Z^{p-i}(\iota^* \mathcal{H}))).
\end{aligned}$$

If the Hodge-de Rham spectral sequence degenerates at E_1 for (X, H) and $(Z, \iota^{-1}H)$, it follows from the above equation

$$h^l(\tilde{X}, \pi^{-1}H) = \sum_{p+q=l} h^q(\tilde{X}, \Omega_{\tilde{X}}^p(\tilde{\mathcal{H}}))$$

and thus, the Hodge-de Rham spectral sequence degenerates at E_1 for $(\tilde{X}, \pi^{-1}H)$.

Conversely, suppose the Hodge-de Rham spectral sequence degenerates at E_1 for $(\tilde{X}, \pi^{-1}H)$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \underbrace{h^l(X, H) - \sum_{p+q=l} h^q(X, \Omega_X^p(\mathcal{H}))}_{\leq 0} \\
&\quad + \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^{c-1} h^{l-2i}(Z, \iota^{-1}H) - \sum_{p+q=l} h^{q-i}(Z, \Omega_Z^{p-i}(\iota^* \mathcal{H}))}_{\leq 0}
\end{aligned}$$

which concludes the proof.

4. SOME REMARKS

4.1. Algebraic de Rham cohomology. Note that the de Rham cohomology theory is not only important for complex manifolds but also significant for smooth algebraic varieties. One may refer to [7] for the algebraic de Rham cohomology. Let Y be a smooth projective variety over a field k of characteristic 0. Recall that the l -th algebraic de Rham cohomology of Y is defined as the hypercohomology

$$H_{dR}^l(Y/k) := \mathbb{H}^l(Y, \Omega_{Y/k}^\bullet),$$

where $\Omega_{Y/k}^\bullet$ is the algebraic de Rham complex of sheaves of regular differential forms. Similarly, if \mathcal{E} is an algebraic vector bundle with an integrable connection, then the Kähler differential operator on $\Omega_{Y/k}^\bullet$ naturally extends to a differential operator on $\Omega_{Y/k}^\bullet \otimes \mathcal{E}$. The l -th twisted algebraic de Rham cohomology is given by the hypercohomology

$$H_{dR}^l(Y/k; \mathcal{E}) := \mathbb{H}^l(X, \Omega_{Y/k}^\bullet \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y} \mathcal{E}).$$

If $k = \mathbb{C}$, by Serre's GAGA principle, an algebraic vector bundle \mathcal{E} with an integrable connection on Y is equivalent to a holomorphic vector bundle \mathcal{E}_{an} with a flat holomorphic connection on the associated complex manifold Y_{an} . Moreover, there is an isomorphism

$$H^l(Y/\mathbb{C}; \mathcal{E}) \cong H^l(Y_{an}; \mathcal{E}_{an}).$$

As a consequence, Theorem 1.1 and Serre's GAGA principle imply that the blow-up formula holds for the twisted algebraic de Rham cohomology on smooth projective variety over \mathbb{C} . Furthermore, the Lesfchetz's principle implies that the blow-up formula holds for the twisted algebraic de Rham cohomology on smooth projective variety over a field of characteristic 0.

4.2. A further question. Let X be a compact complex manifold of dimension $n \geq 2$ and \mathcal{H} be a holomorphic vector bundle or a flat smooth complex vector bundle. Given any integer $0 \leq s \leq t \leq n$, the truncated twisted holomorphic de Rham complex, denoted by $\Omega_X^{[s \bullet t]}$, is defined to be

$$0 \longrightarrow \Omega_X^s(\mathcal{H}) \longrightarrow \Omega_X^{s+1}(\mathcal{H}) \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow \Omega_X^t(\mathcal{H}) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Its l -th hypercohomology $\mathbb{H}^l(X, \Omega_X^{[s \bullet t]}(\mathcal{H}))$ is a finite dimensional complex vector space. Then, one may ask the following question.

Question 4.1. Is there a blow-up formula for $\mathbb{H}^l(X, \Omega_X^{[s \bullet t]}(\mathcal{H}))$?

It seems that this question is quite natural, since the following two special cases hold:

- (1) if $s = t$, it is the bundle-valued Dolbeault blow-up formula whose \mathcal{H} is a holomorphic vector bundle (see [11, Theorem 1.2]);
- (2) if $s = 0$ and $t = n$, it is the twisted de Rham blow-up formula whose \mathcal{H} is a flat C^∞ complex vector bundle (see Theorem 1.1).

Remark 4.2. To deal with Question 4.1, one possible way is to use the same idea as the proof of Theorem 1.1 and it will be sufficient to obtain the analogous results that of Lemmas 3.1, 3.2 and 3.3.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors would like to thank the Departments of Mathematics of Pennsylvania State University and Università degli Studi di Milano for the hospitalities during their respective visits, and thank Sheng Rao and Xiangdong Yang for the useful discussions. In particular, the authors would like to thank the referee for introducing Example 2.4 to them. This work is partially supported by the NSFC (Grant Nos. 11571242, 11701414), the Science and Technology Research Program of Chongqing Municipal Education Commission (Grant No.KJ1709216) and the China Scholarship Council.

REFERENCES

- [1] Angella, D., Suwa, T., Tardini, N., Tomassini, A.: Note on Dolbeault cohomology and Hodge structures up to bimeromorphisms. arXiv:1712.08889v1
- [2] Barbieri-Viale, L.: \mathcal{H} -cohomology versus algebraic cycles. Math. Nachr. **184**, 5–57 (1997)
- [3] Bott, R., Tu, L.: Differential Forms in Algebraic Topology. Graduate Texts in Mathematics 82, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg (1982)
- [4] Deligne, P.: Théorème de Lefschetz et critères de dégénérescence de suites spectrales. Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. **35**, 259–277 (1968)
- [5] Demailly, J.-P.: Complex Analytic and Differential Geometry. J.-P. Demailly's CADG e-book (2012)
- [6] Geiges, H., Pasquotto, F.: A formula for the Chern classes of symplectic blow-ups. J. Lond. Math. Soc. **76**, 313–330 (2007)
- [7] Grothendieck, A.: On the de Rham cohomology of algebraic varieties. Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. **29**, 95–103 (1966)
- [8] Meng, L.: Morse-Novikov cohomology for blow-ups of complex manifolds. arXiv:1806.06622v3
- [9] Meng, L.: An explicit formula of blow-ups for Dolbeault cohomology. arXiv:1806.11435v2
- [10] Rao, S., Yang, S., Yang, X.: Dolbeault cohomology of blowing up complex manifolds. J. Math. Pures Appl. (2019), <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matpur.2019.01.016>
- [11] Rao, S., Yang, S., Yang, X.: Dolbeault cohomology of blowing up complex manifolds II: bundle-valued case. J. Math. Pures Appl. (2019), <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matpur.2019.02.010>
- [12] Stelzig, J.: The double complex of a blow-up. arXiv:1808.02882v1
- [13] Voisin, C.: Hodge Theory and Complex Algebraic Geometry I, II. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 76, 77. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2002)
- [14] Wells, R. O.: Comparison of de Rham and Dolbeault cohomology for proper surjective mappings. Pacific J. Math. **53**, 281–300 (1974)
- [15] Yang, S., Yang, X.: Bott-Chern blow-up formula and bimeromorphic invariance of the $\partial\bar{\partial}$ -Lemma for threefolds. arXiv:1712.08901v3
- [16] Yang, X., Zhao, G.: A note on the Morse-Novikov cohomology of blow-ups of locally conformal Kähler manifolds. Bull. Aust. Math. Soc. **91**, 155–166 (2015)

Y. CHEN.: SCHOOL OF SCIENCE, CHONGQING UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, CHONGQING, 400054, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA

E-mail address: youmingchen@cqut.edu.cn

S. YANG.: CENTER FOR APPLIED MATHEMATICS, TIANJIN UNIVERSITY, TIANJIN, 300072, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA

E-mail address: syangmath@tju.edu.cn