

On the Positive Moments of Ranks of Partitions

William Y.C. Chen¹, Kathy Q. Ji² and Erin Y.Y. Shen³

^{1,2,3}Center for Combinatorics, LPMC-TJKLC
Nankai University, Tianjin 300071, P.R. China

¹Center for Applied Mathematics
Tianjin University, Tianjin 300072, P. R. China

¹chen@nankai.edu.cn, ²ji@nankai.edu.cn, ³shenyiying@mail.nankai.edu.cn

Abstract. By introducing k -marked Durfee symbols, Andrews found a combinatorial interpretation of $2k$ -th symmetrized moment $\eta_{2k}(n)$ of ranks of partitions of n in terms of $(k+1)$ -marked Durfee symbols of n . In this paper, we consider the k -th symmetrized positive moment $\bar{\eta}_k(n)$ of ranks of partitions of n which is defined as the truncated sum over positive ranks of partitions of n . As combinatorial interpretations of $\bar{\eta}_{2k}(n)$ and $\bar{\eta}_{2k-1}(n)$, we show that for fixed k and i with $1 \leq i \leq k+1$, $\bar{\eta}_{2k-1}(n)$ equals the number of $(k+1)$ -marked Durfee symbols of n with the i -th rank being zero and $\bar{\eta}_{2k}(n)$ equals the number of $(k+1)$ -marked Durfee symbols of n with the i -th rank being positive. The interpretations of $\bar{\eta}_{2k-1}(n)$ and $\bar{\eta}_{2k}(n)$ also imply the interpretation of $\eta_{2k}(n)$ given by Andrews since $\eta_{2k}(n)$ equals $\bar{\eta}_{2k-1}(n)$ plus twice of $\bar{\eta}_{2k}(n)$. Moreover, we obtain the generating functions of $\bar{\eta}_{2k}(n)$ and $\bar{\eta}_{2k-1}(n)$.

Keywords: rank of a partition, k -marked Durfee symbol, moment of ranks

AMS Classifications: 05A17, 11P83, 05A30

1 Introduction

This paper is concerned with a combinatorial study of the symmetrized positive moments of ranks of partitions. The notion of symmetrized moments was introduced by Andrews [1]. The odd symmetrized moments are zero due to the symmetry of ranks. For even symmetrized moments, Andrews found a combinatorial interpretation by introducing k -marked Durfee symbols. It is natural to investigate the combinatorial interpretation of the odd symmetrized moments which are truncated sum over positive ranks of partitions of n . We give combinatorial interpretations of the even and odd positive moments in terms of k -marked Durfee symbols, which also lead to the combinatorial interpretation of the even symmetrized moments of ranks given by Andrews.

The rank of a partition λ introduced by Dyson [6] is defined as the largest part minus the number of parts. Let $N(m, n)$ denote the number of partitions of n with rank m . The generating function of $N(m, n)$ is given by

Theorem 1.1 (Dyson-Atkin-Swinnerton-Dyer [3]). *For fixed integer m , we have*

$$\sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} N(m, n) q^n = \frac{1}{(q; q)_\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} (-1)^{n-1} q^{n(3n-1)/2 + |m|n} (1 - q^n). \quad (1.1)$$

Recently, Andrews [1] introduced the k -th symmetrized moment $\eta_k(n)$ of ranks of partitions of n as given by

$$\eta_k(n) = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{+\infty} \binom{m + \lfloor \frac{k-1}{2} \rfloor}{k} N(m, n). \quad (1.2)$$

It can be easily seen that for given k , $\eta_k(n)$ is a linear combination of the moments $N_j(n)$ of ranks given by Atkin and Garvan [4]

$$N_j(n) = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} m^j N(m, n).$$

For example,

$$\eta_6(n) = \frac{1}{720} N_6(n) - \frac{1}{144} N_4(n) + \frac{1}{180} N_2(n).$$

In view of the symmetry $N(-m, n) = N(m, n)$, we have $\eta_{2k+1}(n) = 0$. As for the even symmetrized moments $\eta_{2k}(n)$, Andrews gave the following combinatorial interpretation by introducing k -marked Durfee symbols. For the definition of k -marked Durfee symbols, see Section 2.

Theorem 1.2 (Andrews [1]). *For fixed $k \geq 1$, $\eta_{2k}(n)$ is equal to the number of $(k+1)$ -marked Durfee symbols of n .*

Andrews [1] proved the above theorem by using the k -fold generalization of Watson's q -analog of Whipple's theorem. Ji [8] gave a combinatorial proof of Theorem 1.2 by establishing a map from k -marked Durfee symbols to ordinary partitions. Kursungoz [9] provided another proof of Theorem 1.2 by using an alternative representation of k -marked Durfee symbols.

In this paper, we introduce the k -th symmetrized positive moment $\bar{\eta}_k(n)$ of ranks as given by

$$\bar{\eta}_k(n) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \binom{m + \lfloor \frac{k-1}{2} \rfloor}{k} N(m, n),$$

or equivalently,

$$\bar{\eta}_{2k-1}(n) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \binom{m + k - 1}{2k - 1} N(m, n) \quad (1.3)$$

and

$$\bar{\eta}_{2k}(n) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \binom{m+k-1}{2k} N(m, n). \quad (1.4)$$

Furthermore, it is easy to see that for given k , $\bar{\eta}_k(n)$ is a linear combination of the positive moments $\bar{N}_j(n)$ of ranks introduced by Andrews, Chan and Kim [2] as given by

$$\bar{N}_j(n) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^j N(m, n).$$

For example,

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\eta}_4(n) &= \frac{1}{24} \bar{N}_4(n) - \frac{1}{12} \bar{N}_3(n) - \frac{1}{24} \bar{N}_2(n) + \frac{1}{12} \bar{N}_1(n), \\ \bar{\eta}_5(n) &= \frac{1}{120} \bar{N}_5(n) - \frac{1}{24} \bar{N}_3(n) + \frac{1}{30} \bar{N}_1(n). \end{aligned}$$

By the symmetry $N(-m, n) = N(m, n)$, it is readily seen that

$$\eta_{2k}(n) = 2\bar{\eta}_{2k}(n) + \bar{\eta}_{2k-1}(n). \quad (1.5)$$

The main objective of this paper is to give combinatorial interpretations of $\bar{\eta}_{2k}(n)$ and $\bar{\eta}_{2k-1}(n)$. We show that for given k and i with $1 \leq i \leq k+1$, $\bar{\eta}_{2k-1}(n)$ equals the number of $(k+1)$ -marked Durfee symbols of n with the i -th rank being zero and $\bar{\eta}_{2k}(n)$ equals the number of $(k+1)$ -marked Durfee symbols of n with the i -th rank being positive. It should be noted that $\bar{\eta}_{2k-1}(n)$ and $\bar{\eta}_{2k}(n)$ are independent of i since the ranks of k -marked Durfee symbols are symmetric, see Andrews [1, Corollary 12].

With the aid of Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.2 together with the generating function (1.1) of $N(m, n)$, we obtain the generating functions of $\bar{\eta}_{2k}(n)$ and $\bar{\eta}_{2k-1}(n)$.

2 Combinatorial interpretations

In this section, we give combinatorial interpretations of $\bar{\eta}_{2k-1}(n)$ and $\bar{\eta}_{2k}(n)$ in terms of the k -marked Durfee symbols. For a partition λ , we write $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_s)$, so that λ_1 is the largest part and λ_s is the smallest part of λ . Recall that a k -marked Durfee symbol of n introduced by Andrews [1] is a two-line array composed of k pairs (α^i, β^i) of partitions along with a positive integer D which is represented in the following form:

$$\tau = \left(\begin{array}{cccc} \alpha^k & \alpha^{k-1} & \dots & \alpha^1 \\ \beta^k & \beta^{k-1} & \dots & \beta^1 \end{array} \right)_D,$$

where the partitions α^i and β^i satisfy the following four conditions:

- (1) The partitions α^i ($1 \leq i < k$) are nonempty, while α^k and β^i ($1 \leq i \leq k$) are allowed to be empty;
- (2) $\beta_1^{i-1} \leq \alpha_1^{i-1} \leq \min\{\alpha_s^i, \beta_s^i\}$ for $2 \leq i \leq k$;
- (3) $\alpha_1^k, \beta_1^k \leq D$;
- (4) $\sum_{i=1}^k (|\alpha^i| + |\beta^i|) + D^2 = n$.

Let

$$\tau = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha^k & \alpha^{k-1} & \dots & \alpha^1 \\ \beta^k & \beta^{k-1} & \dots & \beta^1 \end{pmatrix}_D$$

be a k -marked Durfee symbol. The pair (α^i, β^i) of partitions is called the i -th vector of τ . Andrews defined the i -th rank $\rho_i(\tau)$ of τ as follows

$$\rho_i(\tau) = \begin{cases} \ell(\alpha^i) - \ell(\beta^i) - 1, & \text{for } 1 \leq i < k, \\ \ell(\alpha^k) - \ell(\beta^k). & \text{for } i = k. \end{cases}$$

For example, consider the following 3-marked Durfee symbol τ .

$$\tau = \begin{pmatrix} \overbrace{5_3, 4_3}^{\alpha^3} & \overbrace{4_2, 3_2, 3_2, 2_2}^{\alpha^2} & \overbrace{2_1}^{\alpha^1} \\ \underbrace{4_3}_{\beta^3} & \underbrace{3_2, 2_2, 2_2}_{\beta^2} & \underbrace{2_1, 2_1}_{\beta^1} \end{pmatrix}_5.$$

We have $\rho_1(\tau) = -2$, $\rho_2(\tau) = 0$, and $\rho_3(\tau) = 1$.

For odd symmetrized moments $\bar{\eta}_{2k-1}(n)$, we have the following combinatorial interpretation.

Theorem 2.1. *For fixed positive integers k and i with $1 \leq i \leq k+1$, $\bar{\eta}_{2k-1}(n)$ is equal to the number of $(k+1)$ -marked Durfee symbols of n with the i -th rank equal to zero.*

For the even case, we have the following interpretation.

Theorem 2.2. *For fixed positive integers k and i with $1 \leq i \leq k+1$, $\bar{\eta}_{2k}(n)$ is equal to the number of $(k+1)$ -marked Durfee symbols of n with the i -th rank being positive.*

The proofs of the above two interpretations are based on the following partition identity given by Ji [8]. We shall adopt the notation $D_k(m_1, m_2, \dots, m_k; n)$ as used by Andrews [1] to denote the number of k -marked Durfee symbols of n with i -th rank equal to m_i .

Theorem 2.3. *Given $k \geq 2$ and $n \geq 1$, we have*

$$D_k(m_1, m_2, \dots, m_k; n) = \sum_{t_1, \dots, t_{k-1}=0}^{\infty} N \left(\sum_{i=1}^k |m_i| + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} t_i + k - 1, n \right). \quad (2.1)$$

To prove the above two interpretations, we also need the following symmetric property given by Andrews [1]. Boulet and Kursungoz [5] found a combinatorial proof of this fact.

Theorem 2.4. *For $k \geq 2$ and $n \geq 1$, $D_k(m_1, \dots, m_k; n)$ is symmetric in $m_1, m_2, \dots, m_k$.*

We are now in a position to prove Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.2 with the aid of Theorem 2.3 and Theorem 2.4.

Proof of Theorem 2.1. By Theorem 2.4, it suffices to show that

$$\sum_{m_2, m_3, \dots, m_{k+1} = -\infty}^{\infty} D_{k+1}(0, m_2, m_3, \dots, m_{k+1}; n) = \bar{\eta}_{2k-1}(n). \quad (2.2)$$

Using Theorem 2.3, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{m_2, m_3, \dots, m_{k+1} = -\infty}^{\infty} D_{k+1}(0, m_2, m_3, \dots, m_{k+1}; n) \\ &= \sum_{m_2, m_3, \dots, m_{k+1} = -\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{t_1, \dots, t_k = 0}^{\infty} N\left(\sum_{i=2}^{k+1} |m_i| + 2 \sum_{i=1}^k t_i + k, n\right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

Given k and n , let $c_k(n)$ denote the number of integer solutions to the equation

$$|m_2| + \dots + |m_{k+1}| + 2t_1 + \dots + 2t_k = n,$$

where the variables m_i are integers and the variables t_i are nonnegative integers. It is easy to see that the generating function of $c_k(n)$ is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_k(n) q^n &= (1 + 2q + 2q^2 + 2q^3 + \dots)^k (1 + q^2 + q^4 + q^6 + \dots)^k \\ &= \left(\frac{1+q}{1-q}\right)^k \left(\frac{1}{1-q^2}\right)^k \\ &= \frac{1}{(1-q)^{2k}} \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \binom{n+2k-1}{2k-1} q^n. \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

Equating the coefficients of q^n on the both sides of (2.4), we get

$$c_k(n) = \binom{n+2k-1}{2k-1},$$

that is,

$$c_k(m-k) = \binom{m+k-1}{2k-1}.$$

Thus, (2.3) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m_2, m_3, \dots, m_{k+1} = -\infty}^{\infty} D_{k+1}(0, m_2, m_3, \dots, m_{k+1}; n) \\ = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \binom{m+k-1}{2k-1} N(m, n) \end{aligned}$$

which is equal to $\bar{\eta}_{2k-1}(n)$. This completes the proof. ■

Proof of Theorem 2.2. Similarly, by Theorem 2.4, it is enough to show that

$$\sum_{\substack{m_1 > 0 \\ m_2, m_3, \dots, m_{k+1} = -\infty}}^{\infty} D_{k+1}(m_1, m_2, \dots, m_{k+1}; n) = \bar{\eta}_{2k}(n). \quad (2.5)$$

Using Theorem 2.3, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{m_1 > 0 \\ m_2, m_3, \dots, m_{k+1} = -\infty}}^{\infty} D_{k+1}(m_1, m_2, \dots, m_{k+1}; n) \\ = \sum_{\substack{m_1 > 0 \\ m_2, m_3, \dots, m_{k+1} = -\infty}}^{\infty} \sum_{t_1, \dots, t_k = 0}^{\infty} N\left(m_1 + \sum_{i=2}^{k+1} |m_i| + 2 \sum_{i=1}^k t_i + k, n\right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

Given k and n , let $\bar{c}_k(n)$ denote the number of integer solutions to the equation

$$m_1 + |m_2| + \dots + |m_{k+1}| + 2t_1 + \dots + 2t_k = n,$$

where the variable m_1 is a positive integer, the variables m_i ($2 \leq i \leq k+1$) are integers and the variables t_i are nonnegative integers. An easy computation shows that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \bar{c}_k(n) q^n = \frac{q}{(1-q)^{2k+1}}, \quad (2.7)$$

so that

$$\bar{c}_k(n) = \binom{n+2k-1}{2k}.$$

We write

$$\bar{c}_k(m-k) = \binom{m+k-1}{2k}.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\substack{m_1 > 0 \\ m_2, m_3, \dots, m_{k+1} = -\infty}}^{\infty} D_{k+1}(m_1, m_2, \dots, m_{k+1}; n) \\ &= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \binom{m+k-1}{2k} N(m, n), \end{aligned}$$

which equals $\bar{\eta}_{2k}(n)$, as required. ■

Note that the number $D_k(m_1, \dots, m_k; n)$ has the mirror symmetry with respect to each m_i , that is, for $1 \leq i \leq k$, we have

$$D_k(m_1, \dots, m_i, \dots, m_k; n) = D_k(m_1, \dots, -m_i, \dots, m_k; n).$$

Using this mirror symmetry, Theorem 2.2 can be restated as follows.

Theorem 2.5. *For fixed positive integers k and i with $1 \leq i \leq k+1$, $\bar{\eta}_{2k}(n)$ is also equal to the number of $(k+1)$ -marked Durfee symbols of n with the i -th rank being negative.*

$\bar{\eta}_1(5)$	$\bar{\eta}_2(5)$	$\bar{\eta}_2(5)$
$\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1_2 & 1_2 & 1_2 & 1_1 \end{smallmatrix} \right)_1$	$\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1_1 & 1_1 & 1_1 & 1_1 \end{smallmatrix} \right)_1$	$\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1_1 & & & \\ 1_1 & 1_1 & 1_1 & \end{smallmatrix} \right)_1$
$\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1_2 & 1_1 & 1_1 \\ 1_1 & & \end{smallmatrix} \right)_1$	$\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1_2 & 1_1 & 1_1 & 1_1 \end{smallmatrix} \right)_1$	$\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1_2 & 1_1 \\ 1_1 & 1_1 \end{smallmatrix} \right)_1$
$\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1_2 & 1_2 & 1_1 \\ 1_2 & & \end{smallmatrix} \right)_1$	$\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1_2 & 1_2 & 1_1 & 1_1 \end{smallmatrix} \right)_1$	$\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1_2 & 1_2 & 1_1 \\ 1_1 & & \end{smallmatrix} \right)_1$
$\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1_1 & & \\ 1_2 & 1_2 & 1_2 \end{smallmatrix} \right)_1$	$\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1_1 & 1_1 & 1_1 \\ 1_1 & & \end{smallmatrix} \right)_1$	$\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1_1 & 1_1 \\ 1_1 & 1_1 \end{smallmatrix} \right)_1$
$\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1_1 & 1_1 \\ 1_2 & 1_1 \end{smallmatrix} \right)_1$	$\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1_1 & 1_1 & 1_1 \\ 1_2 & & \end{smallmatrix} \right)_1$	$\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1_1 & & \\ 1_2 & 1_1 & 1_1 \end{smallmatrix} \right)_1$
$\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1_2 & 1_1 \\ 1_2 & 1_2 \end{smallmatrix} \right)_1$	$\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1_2 & 1_1 & 1_1 \\ 1_2 & & \end{smallmatrix} \right)_1$	$\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1_2 & 1_1 \\ 1_2 & 1_1 \end{smallmatrix} \right)_1$
$\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1_1 \\ 1_1 \end{smallmatrix} \right)_2$	$\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1_1 & 1_1 \\ 1_2 & 1_2 \end{smallmatrix} \right)_1$	$\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1_1 & & \\ 1_2 & 1_2 & 1_1 \end{smallmatrix} \right)_1$

Table 2.1: 2-Marked Durfee Symbols of 5.

For example, for $n = 5$, $k = 1$ and $i = 1$, there are twenty-one 2-marked Durfee symbols of 5 as listed in Table 2.1. The first column in Table 2.1 gives seven 2-marked Durfee symbols τ with $\rho_1(\tau) = 0$, the second column contains seven 2-marked Durfee symbols τ with $\rho_1(\tau) > 0$ and the third column contains seven 2-marked Durfee symbols τ with $\rho_1(\tau) < 0$. It can be verified that $\bar{\eta}_1(5) = 7$, $\bar{\eta}_2(5) = 7$ and $\eta_2(5) = \bar{\eta}_1(5) + 2\bar{\eta}_2(5) = 21$.

3 The generating functions of $\bar{\eta}_{2k-1}(n)$ and $\bar{\eta}_{2k}(n)$

In this section, we obtain the generating functions of $\bar{\eta}_{2k-1}(n)$ and $\bar{\eta}_{2k}(n)$ with the aid of Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.2. In doing so, we use the generating function of $N(m, n)$ to derive the generating functions of $D_{k+1}(0, m_2, \dots, m_{k+1}; n)$ and $D_{k+1}(m_1, m_2, \dots, m_{k+1}; n)$ ($m_1 > 0$).

Theorem 3.1. *For $k \geq 1$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{m_2, \dots, m_{k+1} = -\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} D_{k+1}(0, m_2, \dots, m_{k+1}; n) x_1^{m_2} \cdots x_k^{m_{k+1}} q^n \\ &= \frac{1}{(q; q)_{\infty}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n-1} q^{n(3n-1)/2+kn} \frac{(1-q^n)}{\prod_{j=1}^k (1-x_j q^n)(1-x_j^{-1} q^n)}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

Proof. Let

$$G_k(x_1, \dots, x_k; q) = \sum_{m_2, \dots, m_{k+1} = -\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} D_{k+1}(0, m_2, \dots, m_{k+1}; n) x_1^{m_2} \cdots x_k^{m_{k+1}} q^n.$$

By Theorem 2.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & G_k(x_1, \dots, x_k; q) \\ &= \sum_{m_2, \dots, m_{k+1} = -\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{t_1, \dots, t_k = 0}^{\infty} x_1^{m_2} \cdots x_k^{m_{k+1}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} N\left(\sum_{i=2}^{k+1} |m_i| + 2 \sum_{i=1}^k t_i + k, n\right) q^n. \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

Using (1.1) with m replaced by $\sum_{i=2}^{k+1} |m_i| + 2 \sum_{i=1}^k t_i + k$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} N\left(\sum_{i=2}^{k+1} |m_i| + 2 \sum_{i=1}^k t_i + k, n\right) q^n \\ &= \frac{1}{(q; q)_{\infty}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n-1} q^{n(3n-1)/2+n(\sum_{i=2}^{k+1} |m_i| + 2 \sum_{i=1}^k t_i + k)} (1-q^n). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore (3.2) becomes

$$G_k(x_1, \dots, x_k; q) = \sum_{m_2, \dots, m_{k+1} = -\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{t_1, \dots, t_k = 0}^{\infty} x_1^{m_2} \cdots x_k^{m_{k+1}} \\ \times \frac{1}{(q; q)_{\infty}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n-1} q^{n(3n-1)/2 + n(\sum_{i=2}^{k+1} |m_i| + 2 \sum_{i=1}^k t_i + k)} (1 - q^n). \quad (3.3)$$

Write (3.3) in the following form

$$G_k(x_1, \dots, x_k; q) = \frac{1}{(q; q)_{\infty}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n-1} q^{n(3n-1)/2 + kn} (1 - q^n) \\ \times \sum_{m_2, \dots, m_{k+1} = -\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{t_1, \dots, t_k = 0}^{\infty} x_1^{m_2} \cdots x_k^{m_{k+1}} q^{n(\sum_{i=2}^{k+1} |m_i| + 2 \sum_{i=1}^k t_i)}. \quad (3.4)$$

Notice that

$$\sum_{a=-\infty}^{+\infty} \sum_{b=0}^{+\infty} x^a q^{n(|a|+2b)} = \frac{1}{(1 - xq^n)(1 - x^{-1}q^n)}. \quad (3.5)$$

Applying the above formula repeatedly to (3.4), we deduce that

$$G_k(x_1, \dots, x_k; q) = \frac{1}{(q; q)_{\infty}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n-1} q^{n(3n-1)/2 + kn} \frac{(1 - q^n)}{\prod_{j=1}^k (1 - x_j q^n)(1 - x_j^{-1} q^n)},$$

as required. ■

Setting $x_j = 1$ for $1 \leq j \leq k$ in Theorem 3.1 and using Theorem 2.1, we obtain the following generating function of $\bar{\eta}_{2k-1}(n)$.

Corollary 3.2. *For $k \geq 1$, we have*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \bar{\eta}_{2k-1}(n) q^n = \frac{1}{(q; q)_{\infty}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n-1} q^{n(3n-1)/2 + kn} \frac{1}{(1 - q^n)^{2k-1}}. \quad (3.6)$$

Taking $k = 1$ in (3.6) and observing that $\bar{\eta}_1(n) = \bar{N}_1(n)$, we are led to the generating function for $\bar{N}_1(n)$ as given by Andrews, Chan and Kim in [2, Theorem 1].

The following generating function can be shown by using the same reasoning as in the proof of Theorem 3.1.

Theorem 3.3. *For $k \geq 1$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\substack{m_1 > 0 \\ m_2, \dots, m_{k+1} = -\infty}}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} D_{k+1}(m_1, m_2, \dots, m_{k+1}; n) x_1^{m_1} \cdots x_{k+1}^{m_{k+1}} q^n \\ &= \frac{1}{(q; q)_{\infty}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n-1} q^{n(3n+1)/2+kn} \frac{x_1(1-q^n)}{(1-x_1q^n) \prod_{j=2}^{k+1} (1-x_jq^n)(1-x_j^{-1}q^n)}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

Setting $x_j = 1$ for $1 \leq j \leq k+1$ in Theorem 3.3 and using Theorem 2.2, we arrive at the following generating function of $\bar{\eta}_{2k}(n)$.

Corollary 3.4. *For $k \geq 1$, we have*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \bar{\eta}_{2k}(n) q^n = \frac{1}{(q; q)_{\infty}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n-1} q^{n(3n+1)/2+kn} \frac{1}{(1-q^n)^{2k}}. \quad (3.8)$$

Acknowledgments. This work was supported by the 973 Project and the National Science Foundation of China.

References

- [1] G. E. Andrews, Partitions, Durfee symbols, and the Atkin-Garvan moments of ranks, *Invent. Math.* 169 (2007) 37–73.
- [2] G. E. Andrews, S. H. Chan, and B. Kim, The odd moments of ranks and cranks, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* 120 (2013) 77–91.
- [3] A. O. L. Atkin and P. Swinnerton-Dyer, Some properties of partitions, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* III. Ser. 4 (1954) 84–106.
- [4] A. O. L. Atkin and F. Garvan, Relations between the ranks and cranks of partitions, *Ramanujan J.* 7 (2003) 343–366.
- [5] C. Boulet and K. Kursungoz, Symmetry of k -marked Durfee symbols, *Int. J. Number Theory* 7 (2011) 215–230.
- [6] F. Dyson, Some guesses in the theory of partitions, *Eureka (Cambridge)* 8 (1944) 10–15.
- [7] F. Garvan, New combinatorial interpretations of Ramanujan’s partition congruences mod 5, 7, 11, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 305 (1988) 47–77.
- [8] K. Q. Ji, The combinatorics of k -marked Durfee symbols, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 363 (2011) 987–1005.
- [9] K. Kursungoz, Counting k -marked Durfee symbols, *Electron. J. Combin.* 18 (2011) #P41.