

ON ZERO-SUM SUBSEQUENCES OF CROSS NUMBER 1

WEIDONG GAO*, WANZHEN HUI, XIAO JIANG, YUANLIN LI,
AND XIAOYAN WANG

ABSTRACT. For an additive finite abelian group G , let $S = g_1 \cdot \dots \cdot g_l$ be a sequence over G and $k(S) = \text{ord}(g_1)^{-1} + \dots + \text{ord}(g_l)^{-1}$ be its cross number. Let $T(G)$ be the smallest integer t such that every sequence of t elements (repetition allowed) from G has a zero-sum subsequence T with $k(T) = 1$. We study the relation of the new invariant $T(G)$ with several classical invariants such as the Erdős-Ginzburg-Ziv constants $s(G)$, $\eta(G)$, and $t(G)$, a recent formulated invariant (the definitions of these invariants will be given later in the introduction). We also determine $T(G)$ for some special abelian groups, including some cyclic groups.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let G be a finite abelian group, written additively. We denote by C_n , a cyclic group of order n . For a general finite abelian group G , we can decompose G as a direct sum of cyclic groups $C_{n_1} \oplus \dots \oplus C_{n_r}$ such that $1 < n_1 \mid \dots \mid n_r \in \mathbb{N}$ (if $n_1 = \dots = n_r = n$, it will be abbreviated as C_n^r), where r and n_r are respectively called the *rank* and *exponent* of G . Usually, the exponent of G is simply denoted by $\exp(G)$. The order of an element g of G will be written as $\text{ord}(g)$.

Given a sequence $S = g_1 \cdot \dots \cdot g_l$ over G , we denote by $S_{(d)}$ the subsequence of S consisting of all terms of S of order d and S_H the subsequence of S consisting of all terms of S belonging to a subgroup H of G . And by $k(S)$ we denote the *cross number* of S , which is defined as follows:

$$k(S) = \sum_{i=1}^l \frac{1}{\text{ord}(g_i)}.$$

The cross number is an important concept in factorization theory. For more information on the cross number we refer to [4, 8, 9, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15]. Recent progress on cross numbers and the EGZ-constant was achieved in [1, 16].

In the development of Zero-sum Theory, there are many invariants that have been formulated on the existence of zero-sum subsequences of restricted

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11B30, 11P75, 20K01.

Key words and phrases. Abelian group, Zero-sum subsequence, Cross number.

*Corresponding author: Weidong Gao, Email: wdgao@nankai.edu.cn.

lengths. For example, let $\mathbf{s}(G)$ (resp. $\eta(G)$) be the smallest integer t such that every sequence of t elements (repetition allowed) from G has a zero-sum subsequence W of length $|W| = \exp(G)$ (resp. $1 \leq |W| \leq \exp(G)$). The invariant $\mathbf{s}(G)$ is so called the Erdős-Ginzburg-Ziv constant and $\eta(G)$ is also a classical invariant in Zero-sum Theory.

Let $\mathbf{T}(G)$ (resp. $\mathbf{t}(G)$) be the smallest integer t such that every sequence of t elements (repetition allowed) from G has a zero-sum subsequence W with $\mathbf{k}(W) = 1$ (resp. $\mathbf{k}(W) \leq 1$). The invariant $\mathbf{t}(G)$ was formulated in 1989 [14]. $\mathbf{t}(G) \leq |G|$ was first proved in [8] and new proofs were given in [2, 4]. It has been proved that $\mathbf{t}(G) = \eta(G)$ when G is cyclic or some special abelian group of rank two (see [7, 14, 18]).

As a zero-free sequence over a finite abelian group can not be arbitrarily long, its cross number can not be arbitrarily large. A related invariant, $\kappa(G)$, was introduced in [10, Definition 5.7.12] and is the smallest positive integer l such that every sequence $S \in \mathcal{F}(G)$ satisfying $\exp(G)\mathbf{k}(S) \geq l$ has a non-empty zero-sum subsequence T with $\mathbf{k}(T) \leq 1$. Then $\frac{\kappa(G)}{\exp(G)}$ (resp. $\mathbf{t}(G)$) is the smallest cross number (resp. length) of which a sequence $S \in \mathcal{F}(G)$ must have a non-empty zero-sum subsequence T with $\mathbf{k}(T) \leq 1$. And $\mathbf{T}(G)$ is the smallest length of which a sequence $S \in \mathcal{F}(G)$ must have a zero-sum subsequence T with $\mathbf{k}(T) = 1$. However, we do not discuss $\mathbf{t}(G)$ or $\kappa(G)$ in this paper.

The motivation that we formulate $\mathbf{T}(G)$ is the study of $\mathbf{s}(G)$ and $\mathbf{t}(G)$. Just like that $\mathbf{t}(G) = \eta(G)$ holds for all finite elementary abelian p -groups, from the definitions of $\mathbf{T}(G)$ and $\mathbf{s}(G)$, we can prove that $\mathbf{T}(G) = \mathbf{s}(G)$ holds for all finite elementary abelian p -groups, where p is an odd prime. We state it as follows.

Theorem 1.1. *For a prime p and an integer $r \geq 1$, we have*

$$\mathbf{T}(C_p^r) = \begin{cases} 2^r, & \text{if } p = 2; \\ \mathbf{s}(C_p^r), & \text{if } p \geq 3. \end{cases}$$

We can also prove $\mathbf{T}(G) = \mathbf{s}(G)$ for the following groups G , for which $\mathbf{s}(G)$ have been determined.

Theorem 1.2. *Let p, q be odd primes (not necessarily distinct) and r be a positive integer. Then*

$$\mathbf{T}(C_{pq}^r) = \mathbf{s}(C_{pq}^r)$$

holds in each of the following cases:

- (1) $r = 1$.
- (2) $r = 2$.

(3) $r = 3$ and $p, q \in \{3, 5\}$.

And for a cyclic p -group G , we completely determine $\mathsf{T}(G)$.

Theorem 1.3. *For a prime p and an integer $a \geq 1$, we have*

$$\mathsf{T}(C_{p^a}) = \begin{cases} 2^a + 2^{a-1} - 1 < \mathsf{s}(C_{2^a}), & \text{if } p = 2; \\ 2p^a - 1 = \mathsf{s}(C_{p^a}), & \text{if } p \geq 3. \end{cases}$$

We can determine $\mathsf{T}(G)$ for more cyclic groups G of odd order, which all satisfy that $\mathsf{T}(G) = \mathsf{s}(G)$.

Theorem 1.4. *Let $G = C_n$ be the cyclic group of order n . If $4 \sum_{p|n} \frac{1}{p} \leq 1$, and $p(n) > 2\omega(n)$, where p runs over all distinct prime divisors of n , $p(n)$ denotes the smallest prime divisor of n and $\omega(n)$ denotes the number of distinct prime divisors of n , then*

$$\mathsf{T}(G) = 2n - 1 = \mathsf{s}(G).$$

A classical invariant $\mathsf{K}(G)$ on cross number was formulated by Krause [15] in 1984, which is defined to be the maximal cross number of the minimal zero-sum sequences over G . We remark that solving problems involving cross number are usually not easy even for cyclic groups. For example, the determination of $\mathsf{K}(G)$ for finite cyclic groups is far from complete. $\mathsf{t}(C_n) = n$ was conjectured by Erdős and confirmed by Kleitman and Lemke (See [14] and [12]). It seems to us that to determine $\mathsf{T}(G)$ for all finite cyclic groups is very challenging.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 provides some notation and concepts which will be used in the sequel. In Section 3, we prove some preliminary lemmas which are needed in the proofs of Theorems 1.2, 1.3 and 1.4. Next in Section 4, we provide the proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2. And in Section 5, we prove Theorems 1.3 and 1.4. The final section is devoted to a concluding remark.

2. NOTATION AND PRELIMINARIES

Let $\mathbb{N}$ denote the set of positive integers, and $\mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. For any two integers $a, b \in \mathbb{N}_0$, we set $[a, b] = \{x \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid a \leq x \leq b\}$. Throughout this paper, all abelian groups will be written additively.

Let G be an additive finite abelian group with rank r . An r -tuple $(e_1, \dots, e_r)$ in $G \setminus \{0\}$ is called a *basis* of G if $G = \langle e_1 \rangle \oplus \dots \oplus \langle e_r \rangle$. We denote by $\mathcal{F}(G)$ the free (abelian, multiplicative) monoid with basis G . The elements of $\mathcal{F}(G)$ are called *sequences* over G . We write a sequence $S \in \mathcal{F}(G)$ in the form

$$S = \prod_{g \in G} g^{\mathbf{v}_g(S)}, \text{ with } \mathbf{v}_g(S) \in \mathbb{N}_0 \text{ for all } g \in G.$$

We call $\mathbf{v}_g(S)$ the *multiplicity* of g in S , and we say that S contains g if $\mathbf{v}_g(S) > 0$. A sequence S' is called a *subsequence* of S , denoted by $S' \mid S$, if $\mathbf{v}_g(S') \leq \mathbf{v}_g(S)$ for all $g \in G$, and SS'^{-1} denotes the subsequence obtained from S by deleting S' . Two subsequences S_1 and S_2 of S are called *disjoint* if $S_1 \mid SS_2^{-1}$. The unit element $\emptyset \in \mathcal{F}(G)$ is called the *empty* sequence.

For a sequence

$$S = g_1 \cdot \dots \cdot g_l = \prod_{g \in G} g^{\mathbf{v}_g(S)} \in \mathcal{F}(G),$$

we call

- $|S| = l = \sum_{g \in G} \mathbf{v}_g(S) \in \mathbb{N}_0$ the *length* of S ,
- $\sigma(S) = \sum_{i=1}^l g_i = \sum_{g \in G} \mathbf{v}_g(S)g \in G$ the *sum* of S ,
- $\text{supp}(S) = \{g \in G \mid \mathbf{v}_g(S) > 0\} \subset G$ the *support* of S ,
- S a *zero-sum sequence* if $\sigma(S) = 0 \in G$,
- S a *zero-sum free sequence* if there is no non-empty zero-sum subsequence of S ,
- S a *short zero-sum sequence* if S is zero-sum and $1 \leq |S| \leq \exp(G)$,
- S a *tiny zero-sum sequence* if S is a non-empty zero-sum sequence and $\mathbf{k}(S) \leq 1$,
- $b + S = (b + g_1) \cdot \dots \cdot (b + g_l)$, where $b \in G$.

Every map of abelian groups $\varphi : G \longrightarrow H$ extends to a homomorphism $\varphi : \mathcal{F}(G) \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}(H)$, where $\varphi(S) = \varphi(g_1) \cdot \dots \cdot \varphi(g_l)$. If φ is a homomorphism, then $\varphi(S)$ is a zero-sum sequence if and only if $\sigma(S) \in \ker(\varphi)$.

3. AUXILIARY LEMMAS

In this section, we present several auxiliary lemmas that are needed in the proofs of Theorems 1.2, 1.3 and 1.4.

Lemma 3.1. (1) *Let n be an odd integer and g be a generator of C_n . Let*

$$S_1 = g^{n-1} \cdot (-g)^{n-1} \in \mathcal{F}(C_n).$$

Then S_1 has no zero-sum subsequence of length n . That is, S_1 has no non-empty subsequence T with $\sigma(T) = 0$ and $\mathbf{k}(T) \in \mathbb{Z}$.

(2) *Let n be an even integer and g be a generator of C_n . Let*

$$S_2 = g^{n-1} \cdot (-g)^{\frac{n}{2}-1} \in \mathcal{F}(C_n).$$

Then S_2 has no zero-sum subsequence of length n . That is, S_2 has no non-empty subsequence T with $\sigma(T) = 0$ and $k(T) \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. (1) Any subsequence $R \mid S_1 = g^{n-1} \cdot (-g)^{n-1}$ with $|R| = n$ has the form $R = g^{n-b} \cdot (-g)^b$ with $1 \leq b \leq n-1$. It follows that

$$\sigma(R) = (n-b) \cdot g + b \cdot (-g) = b \cdot (-2g) \neq 0$$

since $\text{ord}(-2g) = n$ and $b \in [1, n-1]$.

That is, if $\emptyset \neq T \mid S_1$ and $\sigma(T) = 0$, then $|T| \in [1, 2n-2] \setminus \{n\}$. So $k(T) = \frac{|T|}{n} \notin \mathbb{Z}$.

Lemma 3.1 (1) is proved.

(2) If $n = 2$, the result is obvious. Therefore, below we discuss the situation that $n \geq 4$.

Any subsequence $R \mid S_2 = g^{n-1} \cdot (-g)^{\frac{n}{2}-1}$ with $|R| = n$ has the form $R = g^{n-b} \cdot (-g)^b$ with $1 \leq b \leq \frac{n}{2} - 1$. It follows that

$$\sigma(R) = (n-b) \cdot g + b \cdot (-g) = 2b \cdot (-g) \neq 0$$

since $\text{ord}(-g) = n$ and $2b \in [2, n-2]$.

That is, if $\emptyset \neq T \mid S_2$ and $\sigma(T) = 0$, then $|T| \in [1, n + \frac{n}{2} - 1] \setminus \{n\}$. So $k(T) = \frac{|T|}{n} \notin \mathbb{Z}$.

Lemma 3.1 (2) is proved. $\square$

The following problem runs into the study of $\mathsf{T}(G)$ by induction and has its own interesting.

Conjecture 3.2. Let p be a prime and $S = \prod_{i=1}^{2p+1} a_i \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{Z})$ with $p \nmid a_i$ for all $i \in [1, 2p+1]$. Then, there is a subsequence T of S such that $|T| = p$, $p \mid \sigma(T)$ but $p^2 \nmid \sigma(T)$.

The following example shows that the bound $2p+1$ in the above conjecture could not be improved for an odd prime p . Let $p > 2$ be a prime and

$$W = 1^{p-1}(1-p)(-1)^{p-1}(-1+p).$$

Note that $W \pmod{p} = 1^p(-1)^p$ and it has exactly two subsequences 1^p and $(-1)^p$ with sum zero $\pmod{p}$ and length p . Therefore, W has exactly two subsequences $1^{p-1}(1-p)$ and $(-1)^{p-1}(-1+p)$ with sum zero $\pmod{p}$ and length p . But each of these two subsequences has sum zero $\pmod{p^2}$.

To make some progress on Conjecture 3.2 we need the following well known result.

Lemma 3.3. (*Cauchy-Davenport*) Let p be a prime, and let $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_k$ be nonempty subsets of C_p . Then,

$$|A_1 + A_2 + \dots + A_k| \geq \min\{p, |A_1| + |A_2| + \dots + |A_k| - k + 1\}.$$

Lemma 3.4. Let p be a prime and $S = \prod_{i=1}^{2p+1} a_i \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{Z})$ with $p \nmid a_i$ for all $i \in [1, 2p+1]$. If there are two terms a_i, a_j of S such that $a_i \equiv a_j \pmod{p}$ and $a_i \not\equiv a_j \pmod{p^2}$, then there is a subsequence T of S such that $|T| = p$, $p \mid \sigma(T)$ but $p^2 \nmid \sigma(T)$.

Proof. By renumbering, let

$$a_{2p} \equiv a_{2p+1} \pmod{p} \text{ and } a_{2p} \not\equiv a_{2p+1} \pmod{p^2}.$$

If there is one $m \in [1, p-1]$ such that $a_i \equiv m \pmod{p}$ for at least $(p+1)$'s $i \in [1, 2p-1]$, then we may assume, without loss of generality, that

$$a_1 \equiv a_2 \equiv \dots \equiv a_{p+1} \equiv m \pmod{p}.$$

Assume to the contrary that the conclusion of this lemma is false on S . Then every subsequence T of $\prod_{i=1}^{p+1} a_i$ with length $|T| = p$ has sum $\sigma(T) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}$. This implies that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{p+1} a_i \equiv a_1 \equiv a_2 \equiv \dots \equiv a_{p+1} \pmod{p^2}.$$

Hence, $a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_p \equiv pa_1 \equiv pm \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}$, a contradiction.

Next, we suppose that, for every $m \in [1, p-1]$, there are at most p 's $i \in [1, 2p-1]$ such that $a_i \equiv m \pmod{p}$ and furthermore, there are at most $(p-1)$'s $i \in [1, 2p-2]$ such that $a_i \equiv m \pmod{p}$. By renumbering, we may assume that $a_i \not\equiv a_{i+p-1} \pmod{p}$ for each $i \in [1, p-1]$. For any integer n , let $\bar{n} \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} = \{\bar{0}, \bar{1}, \dots, \overline{p-1}\}$ be the residue class of n modulo p and $A_i = \{\bar{a}_i, \overline{a_{i+p-1}}\}$ for each $i \in [1, p-1]$.

Since $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \cong C_p$, by Lemma 3.3 we obtain that

$$|A_1 + A_2 + \dots + A_{p-1}| \geq \min\{p, 2(p-1) - (p-1) + 1\} = p.$$

This forces that $A_1 + A_2 + \dots + A_{p-1} = \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$. In particular, $\overline{-a_{2p}} \in A_1 + A_2 + \dots + A_{p-1}$. Therefore, there is a subsequence W of $\prod_{i=1}^{2p-2} a_i$ with $|W| = p-1$ such that

$$a_{2p} + \sigma(W) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

Since $a_{2p} \equiv a_{2p+1} \pmod{p}$, we also have

$$a_{2p+1} + \sigma(W) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

Noting that $a_{2p} \not\equiv a_{2p+1} \pmod{p^2}$, we get that either $a_{2p} + \sigma(W) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}$ or $a_{2p+1} + \sigma(W) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}$, completing the proof. $\square$

Lemma 3.5. *Conjecture 3.2 is true for $p = 2$ or 3 .*

Proof. Let $S = \prod_{i=1}^{2p+1} a_i \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{Z})$ with $p \nmid a_i$ for all $i \in [1, 2p+1]$. We need to prove that there is a subsequence T of S such that $|T| = p$, $p \mid \sigma(T)$ but $p^2 \nmid \sigma(T)$.

By Lemma 3.4, we may assume that, if $a_i \equiv a_j \pmod{p}$ for some $i, j \in [1, 2p+1]$, then $a_i \equiv a_j \pmod{p^2}$. Let $A_m = \{i : a_i \equiv m \pmod{p}\}$ for every $m \in [1, p-1]$. Noting that $p = 2$ or 3 , we have

$$\max_{1 \leq m \leq p-1} \{|A_m|\} \geq \frac{2p+1}{p-1} > p.$$

So there is some $m_0 \in [1, p-1]$ such that $|A_{m_0}| \geq p+1$. Let T be a subsequence of S with $|T| = p$ and every term of T equals to $m_0 \pmod{p}$. Therefore, by the assumption,

$$\sigma(T) \equiv pm_0 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p^2},$$

completing the proof. $\square$

Remark 3.6. (1) Conjecture 3.2 has been confirmed quite recently for $p = 5$ or 7 by Yiming Wang, one of the postgraduate students of the first author, via computer program.

(2) Let p be a prime, $a \geq 2$ be an integer and $S = \prod_{i=1}^{2p+1} a_i \in \mathcal{F}(C_{p^a})$ with $a_i \in C_{p^a} \setminus pC_{p^a}$ for every $i \in [1, 2p+1]$. If Conjecture 3.2 is true for this p , then there is a subsequence T of S such that $|T| = p$, $\sigma(T) \in pC_{p^a} \setminus p^2C_{p^a}$. That is,

$$k(T) = \frac{|T|}{p^a} = \frac{1}{p^{a-1}} = \frac{1}{\text{ord}(\sigma(T))} = k(\sigma(T)).$$

(3) Actually, for $p = 2$, the bound $2p+1 = 5$ in the conjecture can be improved to 3. The proof is very easy and we omit it.

Lemma 3.7. [6, Lemma 2.2] *Let n, k, t be three positive integers with $2 \leq t < \frac{n}{2} + 1$, and let S be a sequence over C_n of length $|S| = (k+1)n - t$. Suppose that S contains no zero-sum subsequence of length kn . Then, there exist two distinct elements $x, y \in C_n$ such that*

$$v_x(S) + v_y(S) \geq (k+1)n - 2t + 2.$$

4. ON THE GROUPS C_p^r AND C_{pq}^r

In this section, we give the proofs of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2.

We begin with the elementary abelian p -groups C_p^r .

Lemma 4.1. *Let G be a finite abelian group of odd order $|G| > 1$. Then, there is always a sequence S of length $|S| = \mathfrak{s}(G) - 1$ such that $0 \nmid S$ and S has no zero-sum subsequence of length $\exp(G)$.*

Proof. Let $m = \exp(G)$. Then, $m > 1$ is odd. By the definition of $\mathfrak{s}(G)$, let T be a sequence over G of length $|T| = \mathfrak{s}(G) - 1$ and have no zero-sum subsequence of length m . If $0 \nmid T$, then we are done. So, we may assume that $0 \mid T$. Let $g \in G$ with $\text{ord}(g) = m$.

It follows from that m is odd that $0 \cdot g \cdot (2g) \cdot \dots \cdot (m-1)g$ is a zero-sum sequence of length m . Therefore, $ig \nmid T$ for some $i \in [1, m-1]$. Let $S = (-ig) + T$. Then $0 \nmid S$, $|S| = |T| = \mathfrak{s}(G) - 1$ and S has no zero-sum subsequence of length m . So Lemma 4.1 is proved. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Notice that every element in $C_p^r \setminus \{0\}$ has the same order p . So for any sequence S over $C_p^r \setminus \{0\}$, $1 = \mathbf{k}(S) = \frac{|S|}{p}$ if and only if $|S| = p$.

CASE 1. $p = 2$. Let $S^* = \prod_{g \in C_2^r \setminus \{0\}} g$. Then $|S^*| = 2^r - 1$ and S^* has no zero-sum subsequence of length 2. This implies that $\mathbf{T}(C_2^r) \geq |S^*| + 1 = 2^r$. Next we need to prove that $\mathbf{T}(C_2^r) \leq 2^r$.

Let $S \in \mathcal{F}(C_2^r)$ be a sequence of length $|S| = 2^r$. If $0 \mid S$, then S has a subsequence $T = 0$ satisfying that $\sigma(T) = 0$ and $\mathbf{k}(T) = \frac{1}{\text{ord}(0)} = 1$ as desired. If $0 \nmid S$, then S is over $C_2^r \setminus \{0\}$. Since $|S| > 2^r - 1$, there exists an element $x \mid S$ such that $\mathbf{v}_x(S) \geq 2$. Hence $T = x^2$ is a zero-sum subsequence of S with $\mathbf{k}(T) = 1$. Thus $\mathbf{T}(C_2^r) \leq 2^r$.

CASE 2. $p \geq 3$. By Lemma 4.1, let S^{**} be a sequence over $C_p^r \setminus \{0\}$ of length $|S^{**}| = \mathfrak{s}(C_p^r) - 1$ and have no zero-sum subsequence of length p . This implies that $\mathbf{T}(C_p^r) \geq |S^{**}| + 1 = \mathfrak{s}(C_p^r)$. Next we need to prove that $\mathbf{T}(C_p^r) \leq \mathfrak{s}(C_p^r)$.

Let $S \in \mathcal{F}(C_p^r)$ be a sequence of length $|S| = \mathfrak{s}(C_p^r)$. If $0 \mid S$, then S has a subsequence $T = 0$ satisfying that $\sigma(T) = 0$ and $\mathbf{k}(T) = \frac{1}{\text{ord}(0)} = 1$ as desired. If $0 \nmid S$, then S is over $C_p^r \setminus \{0\}$. By the definition of $\mathfrak{s}(C_p^r)$, S has a zero-sum subsequence T of length $|T| = p$. So T is a zero-sum subsequence of S with $\mathbf{k}(T) = 1$. Thus $\mathbf{T}(C_p^r) \leq \mathfrak{s}(C_p^r)$.

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1. $\square$

Next, we turn to the groups C_{pq}^r and we first prove three important lemmas.

Lemma 4.2. *Let p, q be primes (not necessarily distinct) and r be a positive integer. If there exists an integer constant $c = c(r, p, q)$ such that $\mathfrak{s}(C_n^r) =$*

$c(n-1)+1$ for each $n \in \{p, q, pq\}$, then we have

$$\mathsf{T}(C_{pq}^r) \leq \mathsf{s}(C_{pq}^r).$$

Proof. Here we just prove the case $p \neq q$. The other case, $p = q$, can be proved much easier in the same way.

Let $G = C_{pq}^r \cong C_p^r \oplus C_q^r$ and $H_p \cong C_p^r$, $H_q \cong C_q^r$ be the subgroups of G . For any $S \in \mathcal{F}(G)$ with $|S| = \mathsf{s}(C_{pq}^r) = c(pq-1)+1$ and $0 \nmid S$, we divide S into three disjoint subsequences,

$$S = S_{(p)}S_{(q)}S_{(pq)},$$

where $\text{ord}(g) = p$ for $g \mid S_{(p)}$, $\text{ord}(g) = q$ for $g \mid S_{(q)}$ and $\text{ord}(g) = pq$ for $g \mid S_{(pq)}$. Let

$$m_p = |S_{(p)}|, m_q = |S_{(q)}|, m = m_p + m_q.$$

Here notice that $\text{ord}(g) = p$ if and only if $g \in H_p$, and $\text{ord}(g) = q$ if and only if $g \in H_q$. By Theorem 1.1, if $m_p \geq \mathsf{T}(C_p^r) = \mathsf{s}(C_p^r) = c(p-1)+1$ or $m_q \geq \mathsf{T}(C_q^r) = \mathsf{s}(C_q^r) = c(q-1)+1$, then we are done. Next, we assume that $m_p \leq c(p-1)$ and $m_q \leq c(q-1)$. Thus

$$|S_{(pq)}| = |S| - m_p - m_q \geq \max\{\mathsf{s}(C_p^r), \mathsf{s}(C_q^r)\}.$$

Let $\varphi_p : G \longrightarrow G/H_p$ be the classical homomorphism. Then $\varphi_p(S_{(pq)}) \in \mathcal{F}(G/H_p) \cong \mathcal{F}(C_q^r)$. Therefore, we can choose $T_1, \dots, T_{w_p} \mid S_{(pq)}$ such that

$$\sigma(\varphi_p(T_i)) = 0 + H_p \text{ and } |\varphi_p(T_i)| = \exp(G/H_p) = q \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, w_p,$$

$$\begin{aligned} w_p &\geq 1 + \left\lfloor \frac{|\varphi_p(S_{(pq)})| - \mathsf{s}(G/H_p)}{\exp(G/H_p)} \right\rfloor \\ &= 1 + \left\lfloor \frac{[c(pq-1)+1-m] - [c(q-1)+1]}{q} \right\rfloor \\ &= 1 + c(p-1) + \left\lfloor -\frac{m}{q} \right\rfloor. \end{aligned}$$

Let us consider the new sequence

$$W = \sigma(T_1) \cdot \dots \cdot \sigma(T_{w_p}) \cdot S_{(p)}.$$

For $i = 1, \dots, w_p$, $\sigma(T_i) \in H_p$ since $\sigma(\varphi_p(T_i)) = 0 + H_p$. So $W \in \mathcal{F}(H_p)$. If $|W| = w_p + m_p \geq \mathsf{s}(H_p)$, then by the definition of $\mathsf{s}(H_p)$, W has a subsequence

$$X = \sigma(T_{u_1}) \cdot \dots \cdot \sigma(T_{u_v}) \cdot R,$$

where $\{u_1, \dots, u_v\} \subseteq [1, w]$ and $R \mid S_{(p)}$, with the property that

$$\sigma(X) = 0 \text{ in } H_p \text{ and } |X| = \exp(H_p) = p.$$

Finally, let

$$T = T_{u_1} \cdot \dots \cdot T_{u_v} \cdot R.$$

Then $T \mid S$, $\sigma(T) = \sigma(X) = 0$ in G and

$$k(T) = \sum_{i=1}^v \sum_{g \mid T_{u_i}} \frac{1}{\text{ord}(g)} + k(R) = v \cdot q \cdot \frac{1}{pq} + |R| \cdot \frac{1}{p} = |X| \cdot \frac{1}{p} = 1$$

as desired.

Similarly, replacing p by q above, we get w_q . If $w_q + m_q \geq s(H_q)$, then we are done too.

We claim that at least one, of that $w_p + m_p \geq s(H_p)$ and that $w_q + m_q \geq s(H_q)$, must hold. Otherwise, we have

$$\begin{aligned} m &= m_p + m_q, \\ w_p &\geq 1 + c(p-1) + \left\lfloor -\frac{m}{q} \right\rfloor, \\ w_p + m_p &\leq s(H_p) - 1 = c(p-1), \\ w_q &\geq 1 + c(q-1) + \left\lfloor -\frac{m}{p} \right\rfloor, \\ w_q + m_q &\leq s(H_q) - 1 = c(q-1). \end{aligned}$$

Then we get

$$0 \geq 2 + m + \left\lfloor -\frac{m}{q} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor -\frac{m}{p} \right\rfloor > m - \frac{m}{q} - \frac{m}{p},$$

a contradiction with that $m \geq 0$ and p, q are primes.

So Lemma 4.2 is proved. $\square$

Lemma 4.3. *Let $K = C_{n_1} \oplus C_{n_2}$, where n_2 is odd and $1 < n_1 \mid n_2$, and (e_1, e_2) be a basis of K with $\text{ord}(e_1) = n_1$ and $\text{ord}(e_2) = n_2$.*

(1)[10] *The sequence*

$$S = e_1^{n_1-1}(e_1 + e_2)^{n_1-1}0^{n_2-1}e_2^{n_2-1}$$

does not contain any zero-sum subsequence of length n_2 .

(2)[10] $s(C_{n_1} \oplus C_{n_2}) = 2n_1 + 2n_2 - 3$.

(3) $\mathsf{T}(C_{n_1} \oplus C_{n_2}) \geq 2n_1 + 2n_2 - 3$ for odd n_1n_2 .

Proof. (3) It is easy to check that the sequence

$$e_2 + S = (e_1 + e_2)^{n_1-1}(e_1 + 2e_2)^{n_1-1}e_2^{n_2-1}(2e_2)^{n_2-1}$$

does not contain any zero-sum subsequence of length n_2 and every element in it has order n_2 . Therefore, $e_2 + S$ does not contain any zero-sum subsequence of cross number 1 and so

$$\mathsf{T}(C_{n_1} \oplus C_{n_2}) \geq |S| + 1 = 2n_1 + 2n_2 - 3.$$

So Lemma 4.3(3) is proved. $\square$

Lemma 4.4. *Let $K = C_n^3$ with odd $n \geq 3$ and (e_1, e_2, e_3) be a basis of K . For convenience, we write (m_1, m_2, m_3) to stand for the element $m_1e_1 + m_2e_2 + m_3e_3$.*

(1)[3] *Let*

$$T = (0, 0, 0)(0, 0, 1)(0, 1, 0)(0, 1, 1)(1, 0, 0)(1, 0, 1)(1, 0, 2)(1, 1, 2)(2, 1, 2)$$

and $S = T^{n-1}$. Then S does not contain any zero-sum subsequence of length n .

(2)[5] $\mathfrak{s}(C_n^3) = 9n - 8$, *where every prime divisor of n belongs to $\{3, 5\}$.*

(3) $\mathsf{T}(C_n^3) \geq 9n - 8$ *for odd n .*

Proof. (3) It is easy to check that the sequence $(1, 0, 0) + S$ does not contain any zero-sum subsequence of length n and every element in it has order n . Therefore, $(1, 0, 0) + S$ does not contain any zero-sum subsequence of cross number 1 and thus

$$\mathsf{T}(C_n^3) \geq |S| + 1 = 9n - 8.$$

So Lemma 4.4(3) is proved. $\square$

We are now ready to give a proof of Theorem 1.2.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. By Lemma 4.3(2) and Lemma 4.4(2), we know that, in each case of Theorem 1.2(1)(2)(3), there exists an integer constant $c = c(r, p, q)$ such that $\mathfrak{s}(C_n^r) = c(n - 1) + 1$ for each $n \in \{p, q, pq\}$. Thus by Lemma 4.2, we have $\mathsf{T}(C_{pq}^r) \leq \mathfrak{s}(C_{pq}^r)$.

Therefore, we only need to prove $\mathsf{T}(C_{pq}^r) \geq \mathfrak{s}(C_{pq}^r)$.

(1) The result follows immediately from Lemma 3.1(1) and Lemma 4.3(2).

(2) The result follows immediately from Lemma 4.3(2)(3).

(3) The result follows immediately from Lemma 4.4(2)(3).

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.2. $\square$

5. ON THE CYCLIC GROUPS

In this section, we give the proofs of Theorems 1.3 and 1.4.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. We prove the theorem by induction on a . If $a = 1$, by Theorem 1.1 we have

$$\mathsf{T}(C_p) = \begin{cases} 2, & \text{if } p = 2; \\ 2p - 1, & \text{if } p \geq 3, \end{cases}$$

and the result holds.

If $a \geq 2$, we assume that the result holds for $a - 1$, i.e.,

$$\mathsf{T}(C_{p^{a-1}}) = \begin{cases} 2^{a-1} + 2^{a-2} - 1, & \text{if } p = 2; \\ 2p^{a-1} - 1, & \text{if } p \geq 3. \end{cases}$$

By Lemma 3.1, we have

$$\mathsf{T}(C_{p^a}) \geq \begin{cases} 2^a + 2^{a-1} - 1, & \text{if } p = 2; \\ 2p^a - 1, & \text{if } p \geq 3. \end{cases}$$

Therefore, we just need to prove that, for any $S \in \mathcal{F}(C_{p^a})$ with

$$|S| = \begin{cases} 2^a + 2^{a-1} - 1, & \text{if } p = 2; \\ 2p^a - 1, & \text{if } p \geq 3, \end{cases}$$

there always exists a non-empty subsequence $T \mid S$ with $\sigma(T) = 0$ and $\mathsf{k}(T) = 1$.

First we divide S into two disjoint subsequences,

$$S = S_{(p^a)} S_{(<p^a)},$$

where $\text{ord}(g) = p^a$ for $g \mid S_{(p^a)}$ and $\text{ord}(g) < p^a$ for $g \mid S_{(<p^a)}$. Let

$$m = |S_{(<p^a)}|.$$

Notice that $\text{ord}(g) < p^a$ if and only if $g \in pC_{p^a} \cong C_{p^{a-1}}$. If $m \geq \mathsf{T}(C_{p^{a-1}})$, then by the induction $S_{(<p^a)}$ has a non-empty zero-sum subsequence T with $\mathsf{k}(T) = 1$. So does S and we are done.

If $m < \mathsf{T}(C_{p^{a-1}})$, we claim that, either we can choose $T_1, \dots, T_w \mid S_{(p^a)}$ such that

$$(5.1) \quad w + m \geq \mathsf{T}(C_{p^{a-1}}), \quad |T_i| = p \text{ and } \mathsf{k}(T_i) = \mathsf{k}(\sigma(T_i)) \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, w,$$

or $S_{(p^a)}$ contains a zero-sum subsequence T of length $|T| = p^a$.

We divide the proof of the claim into the following three cases with different prime p .

CASE 1. $p = 2$. Here $|S| = 2^a + 2^{a-1} - 1$ and $m \leq 2^{a-1} + 2^{a-2} - 2$.

Then $|S_{(2^a)}| = |S| - |S_{(<2^a)}| = 2^a + 2^{a-1} - 1 - m \geq 3 \times 2^{a-2} + 1 > 3$. By Remark 3.6(3), we can choose $T_1, \dots, T_w \mid S_{(2^a)}$ with

$$w = 1 + \left\lfloor \frac{|S_{(2^a)}| - 3}{2} \right\rfloor = 2^{a-1} + 2^{a-2} - 1 + \left\lfloor \frac{-m}{2} \right\rfloor \geq 1$$

such that $|T_i| = 2$ and $\mathsf{k}(T_i) = \mathsf{k}(\sigma(T_i))$ for every $i = 1, \dots, w$. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} w + m &= 2^{a-1} + 2^{a-2} - 1 + \left\lfloor \frac{-m}{2} \right\rfloor + m \\ &= 2^{a-1} + 2^{a-2} - 1 + \left\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \right\rfloor \\ &\geq 2^{a-1} + 2^{a-2} - 1 = \mathsf{T}(C_{2^{a-1}}). \end{aligned}$$

So our claim is proved for this case.

CASE 2. $p = 3$. Here $|S| = 2 \times 3^a - 1$ and $m \leq 2 \times 3^{a-1} - 2$.

If $m = 0$, then $|S_{(3^a)}| = |S| = \mathsf{s}(C_{3^a})$. And so $S_{(3^a)}$ contains a zero-sum subsequence T of length 3^a by the definition of $\mathsf{s}(C_{3^a})$.

If $1 \leq m \leq 2 \times 3^{a-1} - 2$, then $|S_{(3^a)}| = |S| - |S_{(<3^a)}| = 2 \times 3^a - 1 - m \geq 4 \times 3^{a-1} + 1 > 7$. By Lemma 3.5, we can choose $T_1, \dots, T_w \mid S_{(3^a)}$ with

$$w = 1 + \left\lfloor \frac{|S_{(3^a)}| - 7}{3} \right\rfloor = 2 \times 3^{a-1} - 1 + \left\lfloor \frac{-m - 2}{3} \right\rfloor \geq 1$$

such that $|T_i| = 3$ and $k(T_i) = k(\sigma(T_i))$ for every $i = 1, \dots, w$. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} w + m &= 2 \times 3^{a-1} - 1 + \left\lfloor \frac{-m - 2}{3} \right\rfloor + m \\ &= 2 \times 3^{a-1} - 1 + \left\lfloor \frac{2(m - 1)}{3} \right\rfloor \\ &\geq 2 \times 3^{a-1} - 1 = T(C_{3^{a-1}}). \end{aligned}$$

Again, our claim is true for this case.

CASE 3. $p \geq 5$. Here $|S| = 2p^a - 1$ and $m \leq 2p^{a-1} - 2$.

If $m = 0$, then $|S_{(p^a)}| = |S| = s(C_{p^a})$. And so $S_{(p^a)}$ contains a zero-sum subsequence T of length p^a by the definition of $s(C_{p^a})$.

If $1 \leq m \leq 2p^{a-1} - 2$ and $S_{(p^a)}$ contains no zero-sum subsequence T of length p^a , then $|S_{(p^a)}| = 2p^a - (m + 1)$ with $2 \leq m + 1 \leq 2p^{a-1} - 1 < \frac{p^a}{2} + 1$ since $p \geq 5$. By Lemma 3.7 (taking $n = p^a$, $k = 1$ and $t = m + 1$), there exist two distinct elements $x, y \in S_{(p^a)} \mid S$ such that

$$v_x(S) + v_y(S) \geq 2p^a - 2m.$$

Therefore, we can choose $T_1, \dots, T_w \mid x^{v_x(S)} \cdot y^{v_y(S)}$ with

$$\begin{aligned} w &= \left\lfloor \frac{v_x(S)}{p} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{v_y(S)}{p} \right\rfloor \\ &\geq \frac{v_x(S) - (p - 1)}{p} + \frac{v_y(S) - (p - 1)}{p} \\ &= \frac{v_x(S) + v_y(S) + 2}{p} - 2 \\ &\geq 2p^{a-1} - \frac{2(m - 1)}{p} - 2 \end{aligned}$$

such that $T_i = x^p$ or y^p for every $i = 1, \dots, w$. It follows that $|T_i| = p$ and

$$k(T_i) = \frac{|T_i|}{p^a} = \frac{1}{p^{a-1}} = \frac{1}{\text{ord}(\sigma(T_i))} = k(\sigma(T_i)).$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} w + m &\geq 2p^{a-1} - \frac{2(m - 1)}{p} - 2 + m \\ &= 2p^{a-1} - 1 + \frac{(p - 2)(m - 1)}{p} \\ &\geq 2p^{a-1} - 1 = T(C_{p^{a-1}}). \end{aligned}$$

This concludes the proof of our claim.

If $S_{(p^a)}$ contains a zero-sum subsequence T of length p^a , then $T \mid S$, $\sigma(T) = 0$ and $k(T) = \frac{|T|}{p^a} = 1$ as desired.

If $S_{(p^a)}$ does not contain any zero-sum subsequence T of length p^a , we consider the sequence

$$W = \sigma(T_1) \cdot \dots \cdot \sigma(T_w) \cdot S_{(<p^a)}.$$

Since $|W| = w + m \geq T(C_{p^{a-1}})$ by (5.1) and W is over $pC_{p^a} \simeq C_{p^{a-1}}$, by the induction assumption, W has a subsequence

$$U = \sigma(T_{u_1}) \cdot \dots \cdot \sigma(T_{u_v}) \cdot R,$$

where $\{u_1, \dots, u_v\} \subseteq [1, w]$ and $R \mid S_{(<p^a)}$, with the property that

$$\sigma(U) = 0 \text{ and } k(U) = 1.$$

Finally, let

$$V = T_{u_1} \cdot \dots \cdot T_{u_v} \cdot R.$$

Then $V \mid S$, $\sigma(V) = \sigma(U) = 0$ and by (5.1)

$$\begin{aligned} k(V) &= \sum_{i=1}^v k(T_{u_i}) + k(R) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^v k(\sigma(T_{u_i})) + k(R) \\ &= k(U) = 1 \end{aligned}$$

as desired.

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.3. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.4. By the hypothesis of the theorem, we have n is odd. Let $p_1, \dots, p_s$ be all the distinct prime divisors of n . Then

$$4 \sum_{i=1}^s \frac{1}{p_i} \leq 1.$$

Let $d(n)$ denote the number of positive divisors (> 1) of n . We proceed by induction on $d(n)$. If $d(n) = 1$, then n is a prime, so by Theorem 1.1, the theorem holds. Next we suppose the theorem is true for $d(n) < k$ ($k \geq 2$), we need to prove the theorem holds for $d(n) = k$.

By Lemma 3.1 we have $T(G) \geq 2n - 1$, so it suffices to prove that $T(G) \leq 2n - 1$.

Let S be a sequence over G of length $|S| = 2n - 1$. We need to show that S has a zero-sum subsequence T with $k(T) = 1$. Let

$$H_i = p_i C_n \cong C_{\frac{n}{p_i}}$$

be the subgroup of G , where $i \in [1, s]$, and let

$$S = S_{(<n)}S_{(n)}.$$

If $|S_{H_i}| \geq 2\frac{n}{p_i} - 1 = \mathsf{T}(C_{\frac{n}{p_i}})$ for some $i \in [1, s]$, then S_{H_i} contains a non-empty zero-sum subsequence T with $\mathsf{k}(T) = 1$. So does S . If $S_{(n)}$ contains a zero-sum subsequence T of length $|T| = n$ with $\mathsf{k}(T) = \frac{|T|}{n} = 1$, then S contains a zero-sum subsequence T of length n with $\mathsf{k}(T) = 1$.

Next we assume that

$$|S_{(n)}| \leq \mathsf{s}(G) - 1 = 2n - 2 \quad \text{and} \quad |S_{H_i}| \leq 2\frac{n}{p_i} - 2,$$

for all $i \in [1, s]$. It deduces that $1 \leq |S_{(<n)}| \leq \sum_{i=1}^s |S_{H_i}| \leq \sum_{i=1}^s 2\frac{n}{p_i} - 2s$. Therefore, $2 \leq 1 + |S_{(<n)}| \leq \sum_{i=1}^s 2\frac{n}{p_i} - 2s + 1 < \frac{n}{2} + 1$ by $4 \sum_{i=1}^s \frac{1}{p_i} \leq 1$. Since $|S_{(n)}| = |S| - |S_{(<n)}| = 2n - 1 - |S_{(<n)}|$. It follows from Lemma 3.7 that there exist two distinct elements x, y in $S_{(n)}$ such that

$$\mathsf{v}_x(S_{(n)}) + \mathsf{v}_y(S_{(n)}) \geq 2n - 2|S_{(<n)}|.$$

Therefore, we can choose $T_1 \dots T_{w_i} \mid x^{\mathsf{v}_x(S_{(n)})} y^{\mathsf{v}_y(S_{(n)})}$ with $w_i \geq \lceil \frac{2n-2|S_{(<n)}|-(2p_i-2)}{p_i} \rceil$ satisfying that $T_j = x^{p_i}$ or y^{p_i} for $j \in [1, w_i]$ and $i \in [1, s]$. It follows that $|T_j| = p_i$, $\sigma(T_j) \in H_i$ and

$$\mathsf{k}(T_j) = \mathsf{k}(\sigma(T_j)).$$

If there exists some $i \in [1, s]$ such that $|S_{H_i}| + w_i \geq 2\frac{n}{p_i} - 1 = \mathsf{T}(C_{\frac{n}{p_i}})$, then

$$S_{H_i}\sigma(T_1) \cdot \dots \cdot \sigma(T_{w_i})$$

has a non-empty zero-sum subsequence $U = S'_{H_i} \prod_{k \in K} \sigma(T_k)$ with $\mathsf{k}(U) = 1$, where $S'_{H_i} \mid S_{H_i}$ and $K \subset [1, w_i]$. Then $T = S'_{H_i} \prod_{k \in K} T_k$ is a zero-sum subsequence of S with

$$\mathsf{k}(T) = \mathsf{k}(S'_{H_i}) + \sum_{k \in K} \mathsf{k}(T_k) = \mathsf{k}(S'_{H_i}) + \sum_{k \in K} \mathsf{k}(\sigma(T_k)) = \mathsf{k}(U) = 1.$$

And we are done.

Next we suppose that $|S_{H_i}| + w_i \leq 2\frac{n}{p_i} - 2$ for all $i \in [1, s]$. It follows that

$$|S_{H_i}| + \lceil \frac{2n-2|S_{(<n)}|-(2p_i-2)}{p_i} \rceil \leq 2\frac{n}{p_i} - 2$$

for all $i \in [1, s]$. It deduces that

$$p_i|S_{H_i}| - 2|S_{(<n)}| + 2 \leq 0.$$

Therefore,

$$\sum_{i=1}^s p_i|S_{H_i}| - 2s|S_{(<n)}| + 2s \leq 0,$$

a contradiction with $p(n) > 2s$.

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.4. $\square$

6. A CONCLUDING REMARK

It is interesting to study the relationship between $\mathsf{T}(G)$ and $\mathsf{s}(G)$ for more finite abelian groups G .

If there is a sequence S over G of length $|S| = \mathsf{s}(G) - 1$ such that S has no zero-sum subsequence with length $\exp(G)$ and every term of S has order $\exp(G)$, then clearly S has no zero-sum subsequence with cross number 1. Therefore, $\mathsf{T}(G) \geq |S| + 1 = \mathsf{s}(G)$ for this group G .

Let $\mathsf{D}(G)$ be the smallest integer t such that every sequence of t elements (repetition allowed) from G has a zero-sum subsequence. The invariant $\mathsf{D}(G)$ is so called Davenport constant. By the definition of $\mathsf{T}(G)$, the following result is obvious.

Lemma 6.1. *Let H be a subgroup of G and a sequence S over $G \setminus H$. If any subsequence R of S with $\sigma(R) \in H$ satisfies $\mathsf{k}(R) \notin \frac{1}{\exp(H)}\mathbb{Z}$, then*

$$\mathsf{T}(G) \geq \mathsf{T}(H) + |S|.$$

Especially, such S always exists for $|S| = \mathsf{D}(G/H) - 1$ and so

$$\mathsf{T}(G) \geq \mathsf{T}(H) + \mathsf{D}(G/H) - 1 \geq \mathsf{T}(H).$$

However, this relationship does not hold for $\mathsf{s}(G)$ and $\mathsf{s}(H)$. First, we present a result of Schmid and Zhuang in 2010.

Theorem 6.2. [17] *Let p be an odd prime and G be a finite abelian p -group. If $\mathsf{D}(G) \leq 2\exp(G) - 1$, then*

$$\mathsf{s}(G) \leq \mathsf{D}(G) + 2\exp(G) - 2.$$

Example 6.3. For an odd prime p and integers $r \geq 4$, $\alpha \geq 2$, let $G = C_p^{r-1} \oplus C_{p^\alpha}$ and $H = C_p^r$. Let $\beta = \frac{p^\alpha - 1}{p - 1}$. Then

$$\mathsf{T}(G) > \mathsf{T}(H) = \mathsf{s}(H) > \mathsf{s}(G)$$

for $r \in [\frac{\ln \beta}{\ln 2} + 2, \beta + 1]$.

Since $r \leq \beta + 1$, we have $\mathsf{D}(G) = (r - 1)(p - 1) + (p^\alpha - 1) + 1 = p^\alpha + (r - 1)(p - 1) \leq p^\alpha + \beta(p - 1) = 2p^\alpha - 1$ and so $\mathsf{s}(G) \leq \mathsf{D}(G) + 2p^\alpha - 2 = 3p^\alpha + (r - 1)(p - 1) - 2$ by Theorem 6.2. On the other hand, $\frac{\ln \beta}{\ln 2} + 2 \leq r \leq \beta + 1$ implies that $2^r \geq 4\beta \geq 3\beta + r - 1$. But these two equations can not both hold since $\beta = \frac{p^\alpha - 1}{p - 1} \geq 1 + p \geq 4$. Thus $2^r > 3\beta + r - 1$. By Theorem 1.1 and Lemma 6.1, $\mathsf{T}(G) > \mathsf{T}(H) = \mathsf{s}(H) \geq 2^r(p - 1) + 1 > 3p^\alpha + (r - 1)(p - 1) - 2 \geq \mathsf{s}(G)$.

It is easy to check that there indeed exists an integer $r \in [\frac{\ln \beta}{\ln 2} + 2, \beta + 1]$ with $\beta = \frac{p^\alpha - 1}{p - 1} \geq 4$ for any odd prime p and any integer $\alpha \geq 2$. Moreover, it is well-known that there is always an odd prime $p \in (\frac{m}{2}, m)$ for any integer $m \geq 4$. Therefore, when $\alpha = 2$,

$$\bigcup_{p \in \mathbb{P} \setminus \{2\}} [\frac{\ln \beta}{\ln 2} + 2, \beta + 1] = \bigcup_{p \in \mathbb{P} \setminus \{2\}} [\frac{\ln(1+p)}{\ln 2} + 2, p + 2] = [4, +\infty).$$

Corollary 6.4. *For any integer $r \geq 4$, there is always a finite abelian group G of rank r such that $\mathsf{T}(G) > \mathsf{s}(G)$.*

Conjecture 6.5. If n is an odd integer, then for any positive integer r ,

$$\mathsf{T}(C_n^r) = \mathsf{s}(C_n^r).$$

Regarding Conjecture 3.2, we can consider the following general problem. Let p be a prime and α be a positive integer. Let $s(p, \alpha)$ be the smallest integer t such that every sequence over $\mathbb{Z} \setminus p\mathbb{Z}$ with length $|S| = t$ has a subsequence T with $|T| = p^\alpha$, and $\sigma(T) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^\alpha}$ but $\sigma(T) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p^{\alpha+1}}$. Determine $s(p, \alpha)$.

Acknowledgements. This work was supported in part by National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 12071344), and by Sichuan Science and Technology Program (Grant No. 2024NSFSC2051), and was also supported in part by a Discovery Grant from the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (Grant No. RGPIN 2024-03931).

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Bashir and W. Schmid, *Sets of cross numbers of sequences over finite abelian groups*, arXiv:2403.07138 (2024).
- [2] N. Bushaw and G. Hurlbert, *Thresholds for zero-sums with small cross numbers in abelian groups*, *Integers*, to appear, arXiv:2309.03455 (2024).
- [3] Y. Edel, C. Elsholtz, A. Geroldinger, S. Kubertin, and L. Rackham, *Zero-sum problems in finite abelian groups and affine caps*, *Q. J. Math.*, 58(2) (2007), 159 – 186.
- [4] S. Elledge and G. Hurlbert, *An application of graph pebbling to zero-sum sequences in abelian groups*, *Integers* 5(1) (2005), Paper A17, 10p.
- [5] Y. Fan, W. Gao and Q. Zhong, *On the Erdős-Ginzburg-Ziv constant of finite abelian groups of high rank*, *J. Number Theory* 131 (2011), 1864 – 1874.

- [6] W. Gao, D. Han, J. Peng and F. Sun, *On zero-sum subsequences of length $k \exp(G)$* , J. Combin. Theory, Ser. A 125 (2014), 240 – 253.
- [7] W. Gao, W. Hui, X. Li, X. Qin and Q. Yin, *On tiny zero-sum subsequences over finite abelian groups*, Colloq. Math. 168 (2022), 311 – 324.
- [8] A. Geroldinger, *On a conjecture of Kleitman and Lemke*, J. Number Theory 44 (1993), 60 – 65.
- [9] A. Geroldinger and D. Gryniewicz, *On the structure of minimal zero-sum sequences with maximal cross number*, J. Combinatorics and Number Theory 1(2) (2009), 9 – 26.
- [10] A. Geroldinger and F. Halter-Koch, *Non-unique factorizations. Algebraic, Combinatorial and Analytic Theory*, Pure Appl. Math., vol. 278, Chapman & Hall/CRC, 2006.
- [11] A. Geroldinger and R. Schneider, *The cross number of finite Abelian groups II*, Electron. J. Combin. 15 (1994), 399 – 405.
- [12] B. Girard, *A new upper bound for the cross number of finite abelian groups*, Israel J. Math. 172 (2009), 253 – 278.
- [13] B. Girard, *On a combinatorial problem of Erdős, Kleitman and Lemke*, Adv. Math. 231 (2012), 1843 – 1857.
- [14] D. Kleitman and P. Lemke, *An addition theorem on the integers modulo n* , J. Number Theory 31 (1989), 335 – 345.
- [15] U. Krause, *A Characterization of algebraic number fields with cyclic class group of prime power order*, Math Z. 186 (1984), 143–148.
- [16] L. Sauermann and D. Zakharov, *On the Erdős-Ginzburg-Ziv problem in large dimension*, arXiv:2302.14737 (2023).
- [17] W. Schmid and J. Zhuang, *On short zero-sum subsequences over p -groups*, Ars Combin. 95 (2010), 343 – 352.
- [18] L. Wang, *Tiny zero-sum sequences over some special groups*, Open Mathematics 18 (2020), 820 – 828.

CENTER FOR APPLIED MATHEMATICS, TIANJIN UNIVERSITY, TIANJIN 300072,
P.R. CHINA

E-mail address: wdgao@nankai.edu.cn

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, SICHUAN NORMAL UNIVERSITY, CHENGDU
610066, P.R. CHINA

E-mail address: huiwanzhen@163.com

CENTER FOR APPLIED MATHEMATICS, TIANJIN UNIVERSITY, TIANJIN 300072,
P.R. CHINA

E-mail address: jiangxiao2022@tju.edu.cn

BROCK UNIVERSITY, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, ST. CATHARINES
ON L2S 3A1, CANADA

E-mail address: yli@brocku.ca

CENTER FOR APPLIED MATHEMATICS, TIANJIN UNIVERSITY, TIANJIN 300072,
P.R. CHINA

E-mail address: 2021233054@tju.edu.cn