

# Extensions of the Erdős-Gallai Theorem and Luo's Theorem

Bo Ning\* and Xing Peng†

## Abstract

The famous Erdős-Gallai Theorem on the Turán number of paths states that every graph with  $n$  vertices and  $m$  edges contains a path with at least  $\frac{2m}{n}$  edges. In this note, we first establish a simple but novel extension of the Erdős-Gallai Theorem by proving that every graph  $G$  contains a path with at least  $\frac{(s+1)N_{s+1}(G)}{N_s(G)} + s - 1$  edges, where  $N_j(G)$  denotes the number of  $j$ -cliques in  $G$  for  $1 \leq j \leq \omega(G)$ . We also construct a family of graphs which shows our extension improves the estimate given by Erdős-Gallai Theorem. Among applications, we show, for example, that the main results of [20], which are on the maximum possible number of  $s$ -cliques in an  $n$ -vertex graph without a path with  $l$  vertices (and without cycles of length at least  $c$ ), can be easily deduced from this extension. Indeed, to prove these results, Luo [20] generalized a classical theorem of Kopylov and established a tight upper bound on the number of  $s$ -cliques in an  $n$ -vertex 2-connected graph with circumference less than  $c$ . We prove a similar result for an  $n$ -vertex 2-connected graph with circumference less than  $c$  and large minimum degree. We conclude this paper with an application of our results to a problem from spectral extremal graph theory on consecutive lengths of cycles in graphs.

**Keywords:** Clique; Cycle; Generalized Turán number; Erdős-Gallai Theorem; Kopylov's theorem

## 1 The Erdős-Gallai Theorem and an extension

Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a family of graphs. The Turán number  $\text{ex}(n, \mathcal{H})$  is the largest possible number of edges in an  $n$ -vertex graph  $G$  which contains no member of  $\mathcal{H}$  as a subgraph. If  $\mathcal{H} = \{H\}$ , then we write  $\text{ex}(n, H)$  for  $\text{ex}(n, \mathcal{H})$ . We use  $P_l$  to denote a path with  $l$  vertices. In this case, we say  $P_l$  is of length  $l - 1$ .

Erdős and Gallai [9] proved the following celebrated theorems on Turán numbers of cycles and paths.

**Theorem 1.1** (Erdős and Gallai [9]).  $\text{ex}(n, \mathcal{C}_{\geq l}) \leq \frac{(l-1)(n-1)}{2}$ , where  $l \geq 3$  and  $\mathcal{C}_{\geq l}$  is the set of all cycles of length at least  $l$ .

**Theorem 1.2** (Erdős and Gallai [9]).  $\text{ex}(n, P_l) \leq \frac{(l-2)n}{2}$ , where  $l \geq 2$ .

For the tightness of Theorem 1.1, one can check the graph consisting of  $\frac{n-1}{l-2}$  cliques of size  $l - 1$  with a common vertex, where  $n - 1$  is divisible by  $l - 2$ . The tightness of Theorem 1.2 is shown by the graph with  $\frac{n}{l-1}$  disjoint  $K_{l-1}$ , where  $n$  is divisible by  $l - 1$ . For more improvements and extensions of Erdős-Gallai's theorems, see [4, 22, 19, 13, 23, 11, 5, 6]. We refer the reader to an excellent survey on related topics by Füredi and Simonovits [14].

For a graph  $G$ , let  $\omega(G)$  be the *clique number* of  $G$ , i.e., the size of a largest clique in  $G$ . For  $1 \leq j \leq \omega(G)$ , we use  $N_j(G)$  to denote the number of copies of  $K_j$  in  $G$ . Recall Theorem 1.2 can be rephrased as each graph contains a path of length at least  $\frac{2N_2}{N_1}$ . The main purpose of this note is to prove the following extension of Theorem 1.2 and present several applications of this result. Since the proof is very short, we prove it right after stating it.

**Theorem 1.3.** *Let  $G$  be a graph. For each positive integer  $s$  with  $1 \leq s \leq \omega(G)$ , there is a path of length at least  $\frac{(s+1)N_{s+1}(G)}{N_s(G)} + s - 1$  in  $G$ .*

---

\*Center for Applied Mathematics, Tianjin University, Tianjin, 300062 P.R. China. Email: bo.ning@tju.edu.cn. Supported by the NSFC grants (No. 11601379, No. 11771141) and the Seed Foundation of Tianjin University (2018XRG-0025).

†Center for Applied Mathematics, Tianjin University, Tianjin, 300062 P.R. China. Email: x2peng@tju.edu.cn. Supported by the NSFC grant (No. 11601380) and the Seed Foundation of Tianjin University (2017XRX-0011)

*Proof.* We prove the theorem by induction on  $s$ . The case of  $s = 1$  is Theorem 1.2. Suppose it is true for  $s = k - 1$ , where  $s \leq \omega(G) - 1$ . For each vertex  $x \in V(G)$ , let  $G_x$  be the subgraph induced by  $N_G(x)$ , and  $l_x$  be the length of a longest path in  $G_x$ . By induction hypothesis, for each vertex  $x \in V(G)$  with  $N_{k-1}(G_x) \neq 0$ ,  $l_x \geq \frac{kN_k(G_x)}{N_{k-1}(G_x)} + k - 2$ . Equivalently,  $(l_x - k + 2)N_{k-1}(G_x) \geq kN_k(G_x)$ . Let  $l_{\max} = \max\{l_x : x \in V(G)\}$ . Then

$$(l_{\max} - k + 2)N_{k-1}(G_x) \geq kN_k(G_x) \quad (1)$$

holds for each  $x$ . For  $i \in \{k - 1, k\}$ , let  $V_i := \{x \in V(G) : N_i(G_x) \neq 0\}$ . Summing inequality (1) over all  $x \in V_{k-1}$ , we get

$$(l_{\max} - k + 2) \sum_{x \in V_{k-1}} N_{k-1}(G_x) \geq k \sum_{x \in V_{k-1}} N_k(G_x).$$

Note that  $\sum_{x \in V_{k-1}} N_{k-1}(G_x) = kN_k(G)$  and  $\sum_{x \in V_k} N_k(G_x) = (k + 1)N_{k+1}(G)$ . It is easy to observe  $V_k \subseteq V_{k-1}$ . By definition,  $N_k(G_y) = 0$  for each  $y \in V_{k-1} \setminus V_k$ . Thus  $\sum_{x \in V_k} N_k(G_x) = \sum_{x \in V_{k-1}} N_k(G_x)$ . We get

$$kN_k(G)(l_{\max} - k + 2) \geq k \sum_{x \in V_{k-1}} N_k(G_x) = k \sum_{x \in V_k} N_k(G_x) = k(k + 1)N_{k+1}(G).$$

So  $l_{\max} \geq \frac{(k+1)N_{k+1}(G)}{N_k(G)} + k - 2$ . This implies that there exists a vertex  $v$  such that  $G_v$  contains a path  $P_v$  of length at least  $\frac{(k+1)N_{k+1}(G)}{N_k(G)} + k - 2$ . Therefore, there is a path of length at least  $\frac{(k+1)N_{k+1}(G)}{N_k(G)} + k - 1$  in  $G$ . The proof is complete.  $\square$

The following family of graphs shows that our extension improves the estimate given by Theorem 1.2. Let  $G$  be an  $n$ -vertex graph which consists of a  $K_{n-2}$  and two pendant edges sharing an endpoint from the  $K_{n-2}$ . Theorem 1.2 implies that  $G$  contains a path of length at least  $\frac{2N_2(G)}{N_1(G)} = n - 5 + \frac{10}{n}$ ; while Theorem 1.3 tells us that  $G$  contains a path of length at least  $\frac{(n-2)N_{n-2}(G)}{N_{n-3}(G)} + n - 4 = n - 3$ , where we choose  $s = n - 3$ .

For two graphs  $G$  and  $H$ , we write  $G \vee H$  for their join which satisfies  $V(G \vee H) = V(G) \cup V(H)$  and  $E(G \vee H) = E(G) \cup E(H) \cup \{xy : x \in V(G), y \in V(H)\}$ . The proof of Theorem 1.3 implicitly implies the following result.

**Theorem 1.4.** *Let  $k$  be an integer with  $\omega(G) \geq k \geq 2$ . If  $G$  is a graph with  $N_k(G) \neq 0$ , then  $G$  contains a subgraph  $P_l \vee K_1$ , where  $l \geq \frac{(k+1)N_{k+1}(G)}{N_k(G)} + k - 1$ . In particular,  $G$  contains cycles of lengths from 3 to  $\left\lceil \frac{(k+1)N_{k+1}(G)}{N_k(G)} \right\rceil + k$ .*

## 2 Short proofs of two theorems of Luo

Before we present applications of Theorems 1.3 and 1.4 to the generalized Turán number, we recall a few definitions. Let  $T$  be a graph and  $\mathcal{H}$  be a family of graphs. The generalized Turán number  $\text{ex}(n, T, \mathcal{H})$  is the maximum possible number of copies of  $T$  in an  $n$ -vertex graph which is  $H$ -free for each  $H \in \mathcal{H}$ . When  $\mathcal{H} = \{H\}$ , we write  $\text{ex}(n, T, H)$  instead of  $\text{ex}(n, T, \{H\})$ . If  $T = K_2$ , then  $\text{ex}(n, K_2, H) = \text{ex}(n, H)$  is the classical Turán number of  $H$ .

The generalized Turán number has received a lot of attention recently. There are several notable and nice papers concerning the generalized Turán number  $\text{ex}(n, T, H)$  (see [8, 3, 15, 14, 1, 20, 10]). Erdős [8] first determined  $\text{ex}(n, K_t, K_r)$  for all  $t < r$ . Bollobás and Györi [3] determined the order of magnitude of  $\text{ex}(n, C_3, C_5)$ . Their estimate was improved by Alon and Shikhelman [1] and recently by Ergemlidze et al. [10]. Alon and Shikhelman obtained a number of results on  $\text{ex}(n, T, H)$  for different  $T$  and  $H$  and posed several open problems in [1].

Luo [20] recently proved upper bounds for  $\text{ex}(n, K_s, \mathcal{C}_{\geq l})$  and  $\text{ex}(n, K_s, P_l)$  which are generalizations of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2.

**Theorem 2.1** (Luo [20]).  $\text{ex}(n, K_s, \mathcal{C}_{\geq l}) \leq \frac{n-1}{l-2} \binom{l-1}{s}$ , where  $l \geq 3$  and  $s \geq 2$ .

**Theorem 2.2** (Luo [20]).  $\text{ex}(n, K_s, P_l) \leq \frac{n}{l-1} \binom{l-1}{s}$ , where  $l \geq 2$  and  $s \geq 2$ .

Luo's result turned out to be useful for investigating Turán-type problems in hypergraphs. For example, Győri, Methuku, Salia, Tompkins, and Vizer [16] applied Theorem 2.1 to study the maximum number of hyperedges in a connected  $r$ -uniform  $n$ -vertex hypergraph without a Berge path of length  $k$ .

We next give very short proofs of Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 by applying Theorems 1.4 and 1.3 respectively. **A short proof of Theorem 2.1.** Let  $c$  be the length of a longest cycle in  $G$ . By Theorem 1.4 and the condition in Theorem 2.1, we have  $\frac{kN_k(G)}{N_{k-1}(G)} + k - 1 \leq c \leq l - 1$ , where  $3 \leq k \leq s$ . This implies  $N_k(G) \leq \frac{l-k}{k}N_{k-1}(G)$  holds for  $3 \leq k \leq s$ . We apply the inequality recursively and get

$$N_s(G) \leq \frac{(l-s)(l-s+1)\cdots(l-3)}{s(s-1)\cdots 3}N_2(G).$$

By Theorem 1.1, we have  $N_2(G) \leq \frac{(n-1)(l-1)}{2}$ , and thus  $N_s(G) \leq \frac{n-1}{l-2}\binom{l-1}{s}$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**A short proof of Theorem 2.2.** Since  $G$  is  $P_l$ -free, the length of a longest path  $P$  in  $G$  is at most  $l-2$ . By Theorem 1.3, we have  $l-2 \geq \frac{kN_k(G)}{N_{k-1}(G)} + k - 2$  whenever  $2 \leq k \leq s$ . It follows  $N_k(G) \leq \frac{l-k}{k}N_{k-1}(G)$  for  $2 \leq k \leq s$ . Recursively applying this inequality, we get

$$N_s(G) \leq \frac{(l-s)(l-s+1)\cdots(l-2)}{s(s-1)\cdots 2}N_1(G) = \frac{n}{l-1}\binom{l-1}{s}.$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

### 3 An extension of Luo's theorem

In order to prove Theorems 2.1 and 2.2, Luo [20] extended some classical theorems due to Kopylov [18]. Let  $H(n, k, c)$  be a graph obtained from  $K_{c-k}$  by connecting each vertex of a set of  $n - (c - k)$  isolated vertices to the same  $k$  vertices choosing from  $K_{c-k}$ . Let  $f_s(n, k, c)$  be the number of  $K_s$  in  $H(n, k, c)$ . Namely,  $f_s(n, k, c) = \binom{c-k}{s} + \binom{k}{s-1}(n - (c - k))$ . When  $s = 2$ , it equals the number of edges in  $H(n, k, c)$ . The circumference of a graph  $G$  is the length of a longest cycle in  $G$ . Improving Theorem 1.1, Kopylov [18] proved the following.

**Theorem 3.1** (Kopylov [18]). *Let  $n \geq c \geq 5$  and  $G$  be a 2-connected graph on  $n$  vertices with circumference less than  $c$ . Then  $N_2(G) \leq \max\{f_2(n, 2, c), f_2(n, \lfloor \frac{c-1}{2} \rfloor, c)\}$ .*

Kopylov's theorem was reproved by Fan, Lv, and Wang in [12] who indeed proved a slightly stronger result with the aid of another result of Woodall [23]. In the same paper [23], Woodall posed a conjecture which is a generalization of a previous result on nonhamiltonian graphs due to Erdős [7].

**Conjecture 1** (Woodall [23]). <sup>1</sup> *Let  $n \geq c \geq 5$ . If  $G$  is a 2-connected graph on  $n$  vertices with circumference less than  $c$  and minimum degree  $\delta(G) \geq k$ , then  $N_2(G) \leq \max\{f_2(n, k, c), f_2(n, \lfloor \frac{c-1}{2} \rfloor, c)\}$ .*

One can easily find that Kopylov's theorem confirmed Woodall's conjecture for  $k = 2$ .

Generalizing Kopylov's result, Luo [20] proved the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.2** (Luo [20]). *Let  $n \geq c \geq 5$  and  $s \geq 2$ . If  $G$  is a 2-connected graph on  $n$  vertices with circumference less than  $c$ , then  $N_s(G) \leq \max\{f_s(n, 2, c), f_s(n, \lfloor \frac{c-1}{2} \rfloor, c)\}$ .*

We present an extension of Theorem 3.2, which is in the spirit of Kopylov's remark (see the footnote).

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let  $n \geq c \geq 5$  and  $s \geq 2$ . If  $G$  is a 2-connected graph on  $n$  vertices with circumference less than  $c$  and minimum degree  $\delta(G) \geq k \geq 2$ , then  $N_s(G) \leq \max\{f_s(n, k, c), f_s(n, \lfloor \frac{c-1}{2} \rfloor, c)\}$ .*

To prove Theorem 3.3, we need the following lemma whose proof is omitted in [18]. We would like to mention that this generalizes Bondy's lemma on longest cycles whose proof is implicit in the proof of Lemma 1 in [4].

<sup>1</sup>It should be mentioned that, in the last part of the paper of Kopylov, he wrote a sentence as follows: "we remark that a proof of Woodall's conjecture can be obtained by a minor modification of the solution to Problem D." (quoted from [18]).

**Lemma 1** (Kopylov [18]). *Let  $G$  be a 2-connected  $n$ -vertex graph with a path  $P$  of  $m$  edges with endpoints  $x$  and  $y$ . For  $v \in V(G)$ , let  $d_P(v) = |N(v) \cap V(P)|$ . Then  $G$  contains a cycle of length at least  $\min\{m+1, d_P(x) + d_P(y)\}$ .*

We also need a definition from Kopylov [18].

**Definition 1** ( $\alpha$ -disintegration of a graph, Kopylov [18]). *Let  $G$  be a graph and  $\alpha$  be a natural number. Delete all vertices of degree at most  $\alpha$  from  $G$ ; for the resulting graph  $G'$ , we again delete all vertices of degree at most  $\alpha$  from  $G'$ . We keep running this process until we finally get a graph, denoted by  $H(G; \alpha)$ , such that all vertices are of degree larger than  $\alpha$ .*

Our proof is very similar to Kopylov's proof [18] of Theorem 3.1 and the proof of Theorem 3.2 in [20]. We only give the sketch and omit the details. We split the proof into five steps.

**A sketch of the proof of Theorem 3.3.** Let  $G$  be a counterexample such that  $G$  is edge maximal, i.e., adding each nonedge creates a cycle of length at least  $c$ . Thus each pair of nonadjacent vertices is connected by a path of length at least  $c-1$ . Let  $t = \lfloor \frac{c-1}{2} \rfloor$  and  $H = H(G; t)$ .

**Claim 1** ([20]).  *$H$  is not empty.*

**Proof:** Suppose not. For the first  $n-t$  vertices in the process of getting  $H(G; t)$ , each of them has degree at most  $t$  and then it is contained in at most  $(n-t) \binom{t}{s-1}$  copies of  $K_s$ . The number of copies of  $K_s$  in the subgraph induced by the last  $t$  vertices is bounded from above by  $\binom{t}{s}$ . Thus we have the following upper bound on  $N_s(G)$ :

$$N_s(G) \leq (n-t) \binom{t}{s-1} + \binom{t}{s} \leq f_s(n, t, c),$$

which is a contradiction.

**Claim 2** ([18]).  *$H$  is a clique.*

The main differences come from Claims 3 and 4, whose proofs need the minimum degree condition and a new function.

**Claim 3.** *Let  $r = |V(H)|$ . Then  $k \leq c - r \leq t$ .*

**Proof:** As  $H = H(G; t)$  is a clique,  $r \geq t + 2$ . We first claim  $r \leq c - k$ , where  $\delta(G) \geq k$ . Suppose  $r \geq c - k + 1$ . If  $x \in V(G) \setminus V(H)$ , then  $x$  is not adjacent to at least one vertex in  $H$ . Otherwise,  $x \in H$ . We pick  $x \in V(G) \setminus V(H)$  and  $y \in V(H)$  satisfying the following two conditions: (a)  $x$  and  $y$  are not adjacent; and (b) a longest path in  $G$  from  $x$  to  $y$  contains the largest number of edges among such nonadjacent pairs. Let  $P$  be a longest path in  $G$  from  $x$  to  $y$ . Clearly,  $|V(P)| \geq c$  as  $G$  is edge maximal. We next show  $N_G(x) \subseteq V(P)$ . Suppose not. Let  $z \in N_G(x)$  and  $z \notin V(P)$ . If  $z$  and  $y$  are not adjacent, then there is a longer path from  $z$  to  $y$ , a contradiction to the selection of  $x$  and  $y$ . If  $z$  and  $y$  are adjacent, then there is a cycle of length at least  $c+1$ , a contradiction to the assumption of  $G$ . Similarly, we can show  $N_H(y) \subseteq V(P)$ . By Lemma 1, there is a cycle with length at least  $\min\{c, d_P(x) + d_P(y)\} \geq \min\{c, k + c - k\} = c$ , a contradiction. Thus  $r \leq c - k$ . Recall  $t + 2 \leq r \leq c - k$ . We get  $k \leq c - r \leq c - t - 2 \leq t$ . This proves Claim 3.

**Claim 4.** *Let  $H' = H(G; c - r)$ . Then  $H \neq H'$ .*

**Proof:** Suppose  $H = H'$ . We next show an upper bound on  $N_s(G)$ . Firstly, the number of  $K_s$  contained in  $V(H') = V(H)$  is at most  $\binom{r}{s}$ . Secondly, since each vertex from  $V(G) \setminus V(H')$  has degree at most  $c - r$ , the number of  $K_s$  containing a vertex from  $V(G) \setminus V(H')$  is upper bounded by  $(n - r) \binom{c - r}{s - 1}$ . Therefore,

$$N_s(G) \leq (n - r) \binom{c - r}{s - 1} + \binom{r}{s} = f_s(n, c - r, c) \leq \max\{f_s(n, k, c), f_s(n, t, c)\},$$

as the function  $f_s(n, x, c)$  is convex for  $x \in [k, t]$  and  $k \leq c - r \leq t$ . This is a contradiction. This proves Claim 4.

**Claim 5.**  *$G$  contains a cycle of length at least  $c$ .*

The proof of the claim above is the same as Kopylov's proof and we skip it. The proof of Theorem 3.3 is complete.  $\square$

Similar to Theorem 3.3, we have the following result and skip the details of the proof.

**Theorem 3.4.** *If  $G$  is an  $n$ -vertex connected graph containing no  $P_l$  and having minimum degree  $\delta(G) \geq k$ , where  $n \geq l \geq 4$ , then  $N_s(G) \leq \max\{f_s(n, k, l-1), f_s(n, \lfloor \frac{l}{2} \rfloor - 1, l-1)\}$ .*

## 4 Consecutive lengths of cycles

For a graph  $G$ , let  $\mu(G)$  be the largest eigenvalue of the adjacency matrix. Nikiforov [21] proved the following: If  $G$  is a graph of sufficiently large order  $n$  and the spectral radius  $\mu(G) > \sqrt{\lfloor n^2/4 \rfloor}$ , then  $G$  contains a cycle of length  $t$  for every  $t \leq n/320$ . We slightly improve Nikiforov's result as follows.

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $G$  be a graph of sufficiently large order  $n$  with  $\mu(G) > \sqrt{\lfloor n^2/4 \rfloor}$ . Then  $G$  contains a cycle of length  $t$  for every  $t \leq n/160$ .*

Notice that Theorem 1.4 implies the following fact:

**Fact 1.** *A graph  $G$  contains all cycles of length  $t \in [3, l]$ , where  $l = \lceil \frac{3N_3(G)}{N_2(G)} \rceil + 2$ .*

**A sketch of the proof of Theorem 4.1.** Compared with the original proof in [21], the improvement comes from the fact mentioned above. In [21], it is shown that for  $n$  sufficiently large, there exists an induced subgraph  $H \subset G$  with  $|H| > n/2$  satisfying one of the following conditions:

- (i)  $\mu(H) > (1/2 + 1/80)|H|$ ;
- (ii)  $\mu(H) > |H|/2$  and  $\delta(H) > 2|H|/5$ .

For case (i), it is shown in [21] that  $N_3(H) \geq \frac{1}{960}|H|^3$ . In this case, if  $e(H) = N_2(H) > \frac{|H|^2}{4}$ , then a theorem of Bollobás [2] implies there are cycles of lengths from 3 to  $\frac{|H|}{2}$  in  $H$ . Thus there are cycles of length  $t$  for each  $3 \leq t \leq \frac{n}{4}$ . We assume  $e(H) \leq \frac{|H|^2}{4}$ . By Fact 1,  $H$  contains all cycles of length  $l \in [3, \frac{3|H|^3/960}{|H|^2/4}]$ . Since  $\frac{3|H|^3/960}{|H|^2/4} \geq \frac{1}{160}n$ , we proved the result for the case (i). The proof for case (ii) follows from Nikiforov's the original proof (see PP. 1497 in [21]).

## References

- [1] N. Alon and C. Shikhelman, Many  $T$ -copies in  $H$ -free graphs, *J. Combin. Theory, Ser. B*, **121** (2016), 146–172.
- [2] B. Bollobás, *Extremal Graph Theory*, Cambridge University Press.
- [3] B. Bollobás and E. Győri, Pentagons vs. triangles, *Discrete Math.*, **308** (2008), 4332–4336.
- [4] J. A. Bondy, Large cycles in graphs, *Discrete Math.*, **1**(2) (1971), 121–132.
- [5] J. A. Bondy and G. Fan, Cycles in weighted graphs, *Combinatorica* **11** (1991), no. 3, 191–205.
- [6] L. Caccetta and K. Vijayan, Long cycles in subgraphs with prescribed minimum degree, *Discrete Math.*, **97**(1–3) (1991), 69–81.
- [7] P. Erdős, Remarks on a paper of Pósa, *Magya Tud. Akad. Mat. Kutató Int. Közl.*, **7** (1962), 227–229.
- [8] P. Erdős, On the number of complete subgraphs contained in certain graphs, *Magya Tud. Akad. Mat. Kutató Int. Közl.*, **7** (1962), 459–474.
- [9] P. Erdős and T. Gallai, On maximal paths and circuits of graphs, *Acta Math. Hungar.*, **10** (1959), 337–356.

- [10] B. Ergemlidze, E. Győri, A. Methuku, and N. Salia, A note on the maximum number of triangles in a  $C_5$ -free graph, *J. Graph Theory* (in press), See <https://doi.org/10.1002/jgt.22390>.
- [11] G. Fan, Long cycles and the codiameter of a graph I, *J. Combin. Theory, Ser B*, **49** (1990), 151–180.
- [12] G. Fan, X. Lv, and P. Wang, Cycles in 2-connected graphs, *J. Combin. Theory, Ser. B*, **92**(2) (2004), 379–394.
- [13] R. J. Faudree and R. H. Schelp, Path Ramsey numbers in multicolorings, *J. Combin. Theory, Ser. B*, **19** (1975), 150–160.
- [14] Z. Füredi and M. Simonovits, The history of degenerate (bipartite) extremal graph problems, arXiv:1306.5167.
- [15] A. Grzesik, On the maximum number of five-cycles in a triangle-free graph, *J. Combin. Theory, Ser. B*, **102** (2012), 1061–1066.
- [16] E. Győri, A. Methuku, N. Salia, C. Tompkins, and M. Vizer, On the maximum size of connected hypergraphs without a path of given length, *Discrete Math.*, **341**(9) (2018), 2602–2605.
- [17] H. Hatami, J. Hladký, D. Král, S. Norine, and A. Razborov, On the number of pentagons in triangle-free graphs, *J. Combin. Theory, Ser. A*, **120** (2013), 722–732.
- [18] G. N. Kopylov, Maximal paths and cycles in a graph, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, **234** (1977), 19–21. (English translation: *Soviet Math. Dokl.*, **18**(3) (1977), 593–596.)
- [19] M. Lewin, On maximal circuits in directed graphs, *J. Combin. Theory, Ser. B*, **18** (1975), 175–179.
- [20] R. Luo, The maximum number of cliques in graphs without long cycles, *J. Combin. Theory, Ser. B*, **128** (2017), 219–226.
- [21] V. Nikiforov, A spectral condition for odd cycles in graphs, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, **428**(7) (2008), 1492–1498.
- [22] D. R. Woodall, Sufficient conditions for circuits in graphs, *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, **24**(3) (1972), 739–755.
- [23] D. R. Woodall, Maximal circuits of graphs I, *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar.*, **28** (1976), 77–80.