

An overpartition analogue of the Andrews-Göllnitz-Gordon theorem

Thomas Y. He¹, Kathy Q. Ji², Allison Y.F. Wang³ and Alice X.H. Zhao⁴

^{1,2,3}Center for Applied Mathematics, Tianjin University, Tianjin 300072, P.R. China

⁴ College of Science, Tianjin University of Technology, Tianjin 300384, P.R. China

¹heyao@tju.edu.cn, ²kathyji@tju.edu.cn, ³wangyifang@tju.edu.cn,
⁴zhaoxh@email.tjut.edu.cn

Abstract. In 1967, Andrews found a combinatorial generalization of the Göllnitz-Gordon theorem, which can be called the Andrews-Göllnitz-Gordon theorem. In 1980, Bressoud derived a multisum Rogers-Ramanujan-type identity, which can be considered as the generating function counterpart of the Andrews-Göllnitz-Gordon theorem. Lovejoy gave an overpartition analogue of the Andrews-Göllnitz-Gordon theorem for $i = k$. In this paper, we give an overpartition analogue of this theorem for $k \geq i \geq 1$. By using Bailey's lemma and a change of base formula due to Bressoud, Ismail and Stanton, we obtain an overpartition analogue of Bressoud's identity. We then give a combinatorial interpretation of this identity by introducing the Göllnitz-Gordon marking of an overpartition, which yields an overpartition analogue of the Andrews-Göllnitz-Gordon theorem.

Keywords: The Göllnitz-Gordon theorem, Overpartition, Bailey pair, Göllnitz-Gordon marking

AMS Classifications: 05A17, 11P84.

1 Introduction

The purpose of this paper is to give an overpartition analogue of the Andrews-Göllnitz-Gordon theorem in the general case. In 1967, Andrews [3] found the following combinatorial generalization of the Göllnitz-Gordon identities [15, 17], which has been called the Andrews-Göllnitz-Gordon theorem.

Theorem 1.1 (Andrews-Göllnitz-Gordon). *For $k \geq i \geq 1$, let $C_{k,i}(n)$ denote the number of partitions λ of n of the form $(1^{f_1}, 2^{f_2}, 3^{f_3}, \dots)$, where $f_t(\lambda)$ (or f_t for short) denotes the number of occurrences of t in λ , such that*

$$(1) \quad f_1(\lambda) + f_2(\lambda) \leq i - 1;$$

$$(2) \quad f_{2t+1}(\lambda) \leq 1;$$

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

$$(3) f_{2t}(\lambda) + f_{2t+1}(\lambda) + f_{2t+2}(\lambda) \leq k - 1.$$

For $k \geq i \geq 1$, let $D_{k,i}(n)$ denote the number of partitions of n into parts $\not\equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ and $\not\equiv 0, \pm(2i - 1) \pmod{4k}$.

Then for $k \geq i \geq 1$ and $n \geq 0$,

$$C_{k,i}(n) = D_{k,i}(n).$$

The Andrews-Göllnitz-Gordon theorem was motivated by a combinatorial generalization of the Rogers-Ramanujan identities due to Gordon [16].

Theorem 1.2 (Rogers-Ramanujan-Gordon). For $k \geq i \geq 1$, let $B_{k,i}(n)$ denote the number of partitions λ of n of the form $(1^{f_1}, 2^{f_2}, 3^{f_3}, \dots)$ such that

- (1) $f_1(\lambda) \leq i - 1$;
- (2) $f_t(\lambda) + f_{t+1}(\lambda) \leq k - 1$.

For $k \geq i \geq 1$, let $A_{k,i}(n)$ denote the number of partitions of n into parts $\not\equiv 0, \pm i \pmod{2k + 1}$.

Then for $k \geq i \geq 1$ and $n \geq 0$,

$$A_{k,i}(n) = B_{k,i}(n).$$

The analytic proof of Theorem 1.2 was provided by Andrews [2], and in [4], he discovered the following identity, which has been called the Andrews-Gordon identity, see Kurşungöz [18].

Theorem 1.3 (Andrews). For $k \geq i \geq 1$,

$$\sum_{N_1 \geq N_2 \geq \dots \geq N_{k-1} \geq 0} \frac{q^{N_1^2 + N_2^2 + \dots + N_{k-1}^2 + N_i + \dots + N_{k-1}}}{(q; q)_{N_1 - N_2} (q; q)_{N_2 - N_3} \cdots (q; q)_{N_{k-1}}} = \frac{(q^i, q^{2k+1-i}, q^{2k+1}; q^{2k+1})_\infty}{(q; q)_\infty}. \quad (1.1)$$

Here and in the sequel, we adopt the standard notation [5]:

$$(a; q)_\infty = \prod_{i=0}^{\infty} (1 - aq^i), \quad (a; q)_n = \frac{(a; q)_\infty}{(aq^n; q)_\infty},$$

and

$$(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m; q)_\infty = (a_1; q)_\infty (a_2; q)_\infty \cdots (a_m; q)_\infty.$$

Theorem 1.3 can be considered as the generating function version of Theorem 1.2. It is evident that the generating function of $A_{k,i}(n)$ in Theorem 1.2 equals the right-hand side of (1.1). By using q -difference equations, Andrews [4] showed that the generating function of $B_{k,i}(n)$ in Theorem 1.2 equals the left-hand side of (1.1). In particular, he obtained the following formula for the generating function of $B_{k,i}(m, n)$, where $B_{k,i}(m, n)$ denotes the number of partitions enumerated by $B_{k,i}(n)$ with exactly m parts.

Theorem 1.4 (Andrews). *For $k \geq i \geq 1$,*

$$\sum_{m, n \geq 0} B_{k,i}(m, n) x^m q^n = \sum_{N_1 \geq N_2 \geq \dots \geq N_{k-1} \geq 0} \frac{q^{N_1^2 + N_2^2 + \dots + N_{k-1}^2 + N_i + \dots + N_{k-1}} x^{N_1 + \dots + N_{k-1}}}{(q; q)_{N_1 - N_2} (q; q)_{N_2 - N_3} \cdots (q; q)_{N_{k-1}}}. \quad (1.2)$$

Kurşungöz [18] gave a combinatorial proof of (1.2) by introducing the notion of the Gordon marking of a partition.

The generating function version of Theorem 1.1 was found by Bressoud [10, Eq. (3.8)] in 1980.

Theorem 1.5 (Bressoud). *For $k \geq i \geq 1$,*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{N_1 \geq N_2 \geq \dots \geq N_{k-1} \geq 0} \frac{(-q^{1-2N_1}; q^2)_{N_1} q^{2(N_1^2 + \dots + N_{k-1}^2 + N_i + \dots + N_{k-1})}}{(q^2; q^2)_{N_1 - N_2} (q^2; q^2)_{N_2 - N_3} \cdots (q^2; q^2)_{N_{k-1}}} \\ &= \frac{(q^2; q^4)_\infty (q^{4k}, q^{2i-1}, q^{4k-2i+1}, q^{4k})_\infty}{(q; q)_\infty}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.3)$$

Bressoud [10] also showed that the left-hand side of (1.3) can be interpreted combinatorially as the generating function of $C_{k,i}(n)$ in Theorem 1.1. More precisely, he gave the following formula for the generating function of $C_{k,i}(m, n)$, where $C_{k,i}(m, n)$ denotes the number of partitions enumerated by $C_{k,i}(n)$ with exactly m parts.

Theorem 1.6 (Bressoud). *For $k \geq i \geq 1$,*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{m, n \geq 0} C_{k,i}(m, n) x^m q^n \\ &= \sum_{N_1 \geq N_2 \geq \dots \geq N_{k-1} \geq 0} \frac{(-q^{1-2N_1}; q^2)_{N_1} q^{2(N_1^2 + \dots + N_{k-1}^2 + N_i + \dots + N_{k-1})} x^{N_1 + \dots + N_{k-1}}}{(q^2; q^2)_{N_1 - N_2} (q^2; q^2)_{N_2 - N_3} \cdots (q^2; q^2)_{N_{k-1}}}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.4)$$

In recent years, many overpartition analogues of classical partition theorems have been proved, see, for example, Chen, Sang and Shi [12], Corteel and Mallet [13], Corteel, Lovejoy and Mallet [14], and Lovejoy [19, 20, 22, 23]. In particular, Lovejoy [20] obtained an overpartition analogue of the Andrews-Göllnitz-Gordon theorem for $i = k$. In this paper, we give an overpartition analogue of the Andrews-Göllnitz-Gordon theorem for $k \geq i \geq 1$. We also obtain an overpartition analogue of Bressoud's identity (1.3).

Recall that an overpartition of n is a partition of n in which the first occurrence of a number can be overlined. For an overpartition λ of n , let $f_t(\lambda)$ (resp. $f_{\bar{t}}(\lambda)$) be the number of occurrences of t (resp. \bar{t}) in λ , without ambiguity, we write f_t (resp. $f_{\bar{t}}$) for short. By the definition of an overpartition, it is clear to see that $f_{\bar{t}}(\lambda) = 0$ or 1 .

We obtain the following overpartition analogue of the Andrews-Göllnitz-Gordon theorem.

Theorem 1.7. For $k \geq i \geq 1$, let $O_{k,i}(n)$ denote the number of overpartitions of n of the form $(\overline{1}^{f_1}, 1^{f_1}, \overline{2}^{f_2}, 2^{f_2}, \dots)$ such that

- (1) $f_{\overline{1}}(\lambda) + f_2(\lambda) \leq i - 1$;
- (2) $f_{\overline{2i}}(\lambda) + f_{2i}(\lambda) + f_{\overline{2i+1}}(\lambda) + f_{2i+2}(\lambda) \leq k - 1$;
- (3) If $f_{2i+1}(\lambda) \geq 1$, then $f_{2i+2}(\lambda) \leq k - 2$.

For $k > i \geq 1$, let $P_{k,i}(n)$ denote the number of overpartitions of n with non-overlined parts $\not\equiv 0, \pm(2i - 1) \pmod{4k - 2}$ and let $P_{k,k}(n)$ denote the number of overpartitions of n into parts not divisible by $2k - 1$.

Then for $k \geq i \geq 1$ and $n \geq 0$,

$$O_{k,i}(n) = P_{k,i}(n).$$

It should be noted that for an overpartition λ counted by $O_{k,i}(n)$ without overlined even parts and non-overlined odd parts, if we change overlined odd parts of λ to non-overlined odd parts, then we get a partition counted by $C_{k,i}(n)$. Hence we could say that Theorem 1.7 is an overpartition analogue of Theorem 1.1.

We also obtained the following overpartition analogue of Bressoud's identity (1.3), which can be viewed as the corresponding generating function version of Theorem 1.7.

Theorem 1.8. For $k \geq i \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{N_1 \geq \dots \geq N_{k-1} \geq 0} \frac{(-q^{2-2N_1}; q^2)_{N_1-1} (-q^{1-2N_1}; q^2)_{N_1} q^{2(N_1^2 + \dots + N_{k-1}^2 + N_{i+1} + \dots + N_{k-1})} (1 + q^{2N_i})}{(q^2; q^2)_{N_1-N_2} \cdots (q^2; q^2)_{N_{k-2}-N_{k-1}} (q^2; q^2)_{N_{k-1}}} \\ &= \frac{(-q; q)_\infty (q^{2i-1}, q^{4k-1-2i}, q^{4k-2}; q^{4k-2})_\infty}{(q; q)_\infty}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.5)$$

We will first prove Theorem 1.8 by using Bailey's lemma and a change of base formula due to Bressoud, Ismail and Stanton [11]. We then use Theorem 1.8 to derive Theorem 1.7. More precisely, let $O_{k,i}(m, n)$ denote the number of overpartitions counted by $O_{k,i}(n)$ with exactly m parts, we shall give a combinatorial proof of the following formula for the generating function of $O_{k,i}(m, n)$ by introducing the Göllnitz-Gordon marking of an overpartition.

Theorem 1.9. For $k \geq i \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{m, n \geq 0} O_{k,i}(m, n) x^m q^n \\ &= \sum_{N_1 \geq \dots \geq N_{k-1} \geq 0} (-q^{2-2N_1}; q^2)_{N_1-1} (-q^{1-2N_1}; q^2)_{N_1} \\ & \quad \times \frac{q^{2(N_1^2 + \dots + N_{k-1}^2 + N_{i+1} + \dots + N_{k-1})} (1 + q^{2N_i}) x^{N_1 + \dots + N_{k-1}}}{(q^2; q^2)_{N_1-N_2} \cdots (q^2; q^2)_{N_{k-2}-N_{k-1}} (q^2; q^2)_{N_{k-1}}}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.6)$$

Setting $x = 1$ in (1.6), we obtain the generating function for $O_{k,i}(n)$ which is the left-hand side of (1.5). On the other hand, it is evident that the generating function of $P_{k,i}(n)$ equals

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} P_{k,i}(n)q^n = \frac{(-q; q)_\infty (q^{2i-1}, q^{4k-2i-1}, q^{4k-2}; q^{4k-2})_\infty}{(q; q)_\infty}, \quad (1.7)$$

which is the right-hand side of (1.5). Hence we are led to Theorem 1.7 by Theorem 1.8.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we first review some necessary results on Bailey pairs and then give a proof of Theorem 1.8 by combining Bailey's lemma and a change of base formula. In Section 3, we begin with the notion of the Göllnitz-Gordon marking of an overpartition and then give an outline of the proof of the formula for the generating function of $O_{k,i}(m, n)$ in Theorem 1.9. It turns out that the proof of Theorem 1.9 reduces to the proofs of two relations stated in Lemma 3.5 and Lemma 3.6, respectively. Section 4 and Section 5 are devoted to the bijective proofs of these two relations respectively. In Section 6, we complete the proof of Theorem 1.9.

2 Proof of Theorem 1.8

We will first give a brief review of some relevant results on Bailey pairs which are required in the proof of Theorem 1.8. For more information on Bailey pairs, see, for example, [1, 7, 8, 11, 21, 24, 26]. Recall that a pair of sequences $(\alpha_n(a, q), \beta_n(a, q))$ is called a Bailey pair with parameters (a, q) (or a Bailey pair for short) if for $n \geq 0$,

$$\beta_n(a, q) = \sum_{r=0}^n \frac{\alpha_r(a, q)}{(q; q)_{n-r} (aq; q)_{n+r}}. \quad (2.1)$$

Bailey's lemma was first given by Bailey [9] and was formulated by Andrews [6, 7] in the following form.

Theorem 2.1 (Bailey's lemma). *If $(\alpha_n(a, q), \beta_n(a, q))$ is a Bailey pair, then $(\alpha'_n(a, q), \beta'_n(a, q))$ is also a Bailey pair, where*

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha'_n(a, q) &= \frac{(\rho_1; q)_n (\rho_2; q)_n}{(aq/\rho_1; q)_n (aq/\rho_2; q)_n} \left(\frac{aq}{\rho_1 \rho_2} \right)^n \alpha_n(a, q), \\ \beta'_n(a, q) &= \sum_{j=0}^n \frac{(\rho_1; q)_j (\rho_2; q)_j (aq/\rho_1 \rho_2; q)_{n-j}}{(aq/\rho_1; q)_n (aq/\rho_2; q)_n (q; q)_{n-j}} \left(\frac{aq}{\rho_1 \rho_2} \right)^j \beta_j(a, q). \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

Andrews first noticed that Bailey's lemma can create a new Bailey pair from a given one. Hence iterating Theorem 2.1 produces a sequence of Bailey pairs, which has been called a Bailey chain. Based on this observation, Andrews [6] showed that the Andrews-Gordon identity (1.1) in Theorem 1.3 holds for $i = 1$ and $i = k$ by iteratively using the following specialization of Bailey's lemma.

Lemma 2.2 ($\rho_1, \rho_2 \rightarrow \infty$ in Theorem 2.1). *If $(\alpha_n(a, q), \beta_n(a, q))$ is a Bailey pair, then $(\alpha'_n(a, q), \beta'_n(a, q))$ is also a Bailey pair, where*

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha'_n(a, q) &= a^n q^{n^2} \alpha_n(a, q), \\ \beta'_n(a, q) &= \sum_{j=0}^n \frac{a^j q^{j^2}}{(q; q)_{n-j}} \beta_j(a, q).\end{aligned}\tag{2.3}$$

Subsequently, Agarwal, Andrews and Bressoud [1] gave an extension of a Bailey chain known as a Bailey lattice and used the Bailey lattice to prove the Andrews-Gordon identity (1.1) holds for $1 \leq i \leq k$. Bressoud, Ismail and Stanton [11] provided an alternative proof of this identity by combining Bailey's lemma with the following proposition.

Proposition 2.3. [11, Proposition 4.1] *If $A \in \mathbb{R}$ and $(\alpha_n(1, q), \beta_n(1, q))$ is a Bailey pair, where*

$$\alpha_n(1, q) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } n = 0, \\ (-1)^n q^{An^2} (q^{(A-1)n} + q^{-(A-1)n}), & \text{if } n \geq 1, \end{cases}$$

then $(\alpha'_n(1, q), \beta'_n(1, q))$ is also a Bailey pair, where

$$\alpha'_n(1, q) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } n = 0, \\ (-1)^n q^{An^2} (q^{An} + q^{-An}), & \text{if } n \geq 1, \end{cases}$$

$$\beta'_n(1, q) = q^n \beta_n(1, q).$$

By iteratively using Bailey's lemma and Proposition 2.3, Bressoud, Ismail and Stanton [11] also provided a proof of Bressoud's identity (1.3) in Theorem 1.5. Moreover, they established new versions of Bailey's lemma, known as change of base formulas, which change the base in Bailey pairs from q to q^2 or q^3 . Iterating these change of base formulas, they obtained many new multisum Rogers-Ramanujan identities.

By the definition of Bailey pairs, it is easy to see that the sum of two Bailey pairs with same parameters (a, q) generates a new Bailey pair with parameters (a, q) . Hence, it follows from Proposition 2.3 that

Corollary 2.4. *If $A \in \mathbb{R}$ and $(\alpha_n(1, q), \beta_n(1, q))$ is a Bailey pair, where*

$$\alpha_n(1, q) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } n = 0, \\ (-1)^n q^{An^2} (q^{(A-1)n} + q^{-(A-1)n}), & \text{if } n \geq 1, \end{cases}$$

then $(\alpha'_n(1, q), \beta'_n(1, q))$ is also a Bailey pair, where

$$\alpha'_n(1, q) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } n = 0, \\ (-1)^n q^{An^2} (q^{(A-1)n} + q^{-An})(1 + q^n)/2, & \text{if } n \geq 1, \end{cases}$$

$$\beta'_n(1, q) = \beta_n(1, q)(1 + q^n)/2.$$

To prove Theorem 1.8, we shall make use of the following special case of the change of base formula due to Bressoud, Ismail and Stanton.

Lemma 2.5. [11, Theorem 2.5, $B \rightarrow \infty$] *If $(\alpha_n(a, q), \beta_n(a, q))$ is a Bailey pair, then $(\alpha'_n(a, q), \beta'_n(a, q))$ is also a Bailey pair, where*

$$\alpha'_n(a, q) = \frac{1+a}{1+aq^{2n}} q^n \alpha_n(a^2, q^2),$$

$$\beta'_n(a, q) = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(-a; q)_{2k} q^k}{(q^2; q^2)_{n-k}} \beta_k(a^2, q^2).$$

Before giving a proof of Theorem 1.8, we first show the following lemma.

Lemma 2.6. *For $k \geq 2$ and $k \geq i \geq 1$,*

$$\alpha_n(1, q) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } n = 0, \\ (-1)^n q^{(2k-1)n^2} (q^{-2(k-i)n} + q^{2(k-i)n}), & \text{if } n \geq 1, \end{cases} \quad (2.4)$$

$$\beta_n(1, q) = \sum_{n \geq N_1 \geq \dots \geq N_{k-1} \geq 0} \frac{(-q; q)_{2N_1-1} q^{N_1+2(N_2^2+N_3^2+\dots+N_{k-1}^2+N_{i+1}+\dots+N_{k-1})} (1+q^{2N_i})}{(q^2; q^2)_{n-N_1} (q^2; q^2)_{N_1-N_2} \cdots (q^2; q^2)_{N_{k-2}-N_{k-1}} (q^2; q^2)_{N_{k-1}}}$$

is a Bailey pair with $(1, q)$.

Proof. We begin with the unit Bailey pair [25, H(17)],

$$\alpha_n^{(0)}(1, q) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } n = 0, \\ (-1)^n q^{n^2/2} (q^{-n/2} + q^{n/2}), & \text{if } n \geq 1, \end{cases} \quad (2.5)$$

$$\beta_n^{(0)}(1, q) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } n = 0, \\ 0, & \text{if } n \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

Applying Lemma 2.2 once to (2.5) yields the following Bailey pair $(\alpha_n^{(1)}(1, q), \beta_n^{(1)}(1, q))$,

$$\alpha_n^{(1)}(1, q) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } n = 0, \\ (-1)^n q^{3n^2/2} (q^{-n/2} + q^{n/2}), & \text{if } n \geq 1, \end{cases} \quad (2.6)$$

$$\beta_n^{(1)}(1, q) = \frac{1}{(q; q)_n}.$$

Plugging (2.6) into Lemma 2.5, we get a Bailey pair $(\alpha'_n(1, q), \beta'_n(1, q))$, where

$$\alpha'_n(1, q) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } n = 0, \\ 2(-1)^n q^{3n^2}, & \text{if } n \geq 1, \end{cases}$$

$$\beta'_n(1, q) = \sum_{n \geq N_1 \geq 0} \frac{2(-q; q)_{2N_1-1} q^{N_1}}{(q^2; q^2)_{n-N_1} (q^2; q^2)_{N_1}},$$

which is (2.4) for $k = i = 2$.

Applying Lemma 2.2 $k - 2$ times and Lemma 2.5 once to (2.6), we get (2.4) for $k = i \geq 3$.

Applying Corollary 2.4 to (2.6), we get a Bailey pair $(\alpha_n''(1, q), \beta_n''(1, q))$,

$$\alpha_n''(1, q) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } n = 0, \\ (-1)^n q^{3n^2/2} (q^{n/2} + q^{-3n/2}) (1 + q^n) / 2, & \text{if } n \geq 1, \end{cases} \quad (2.7)$$

$$\beta_n''(1, q) = \frac{1 + q^n}{2(q; q)_n}.$$

Plugging (2.7) into Lemma 2.5, we get a Bailey pair $(\alpha_n'''(1, q), \beta_n'''(1, q))$, where

$$\alpha_n'''(1, q) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } n = 0, \\ (-1)^n q^{3n^2} (q^{2n} + q^{-2n}), & \text{if } n \geq 1, \end{cases}$$

$$\beta_n'''(1, q) = \sum_{n \geq N_1 \geq 0} \frac{(-q; q)_{2N_1-1} q^{N_1} (1 + q^{2N_1})}{(q^2; q^2)_{n-N_1} (q^2; q^2)_{N_1}},$$

which is (2.4) for $k = i + 1 = 2$.

Applying Lemma 2.2 $k - 2$ times and Lemma 2.5 once to (2.7), we get (2.4) for $k = i + 1 \geq 3$.

For $k > i + 1 \geq 2$, alternatively applying Proposition 2.3 and Lemma 2.2 $k - i - 1$ times to (2.6) yields the following Bailey pair $(\alpha_n^{(2k-2i-1)}(1, q), \beta_n^{(2k-2i-1)}(1, q))$,

$$\alpha_n^{(2k-2i-1)}(1, q) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } n = 0, \\ (-1)^n q^{\frac{2k-2i+1}{2}n^2} (q^{-\frac{2k-2i-1}{2}n} + q^{\frac{2k-2i-1}{2}n}), & \text{if } n \geq 1, \end{cases}$$

$$\beta_n^{(2k-2i-1)}(1, q) = \sum_{n \geq N_{i+1} \geq \dots \geq N_{k-1} \geq 0} \frac{q^{N_{i+1}^2 + N_{i+2}^2 + \dots + N_{k-1}^2 + N_{i+1} + \dots + N_{k-1}}}{(q; q)_{n-N_{i+1}} (q; q)_{N_{i+1}-N_{i+2}} \cdots (q; q)_{N_{k-2}-N_{k-1}} (q; q)_{N_{k-1}}}. \quad (2.8)$$

Applying Corollary 2.4 to (2.8) gives the following Bailey pair $(\alpha_n^{(2k-2i)}(1, q), \beta_n^{(2k-2i)}(1, q))$,

$$\alpha_n^{(2k-2i)}(1, q) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } n = 0, \\ (-1)^n q^{\frac{2k-2i+1}{2}n^2} (q^{-\frac{2k-2i+1}{2}n} + q^{\frac{2k-2i-1}{2}n}) (1 + q^n) / 2, & \text{if } n \geq 1, \end{cases}$$

$$\beta_n^{(2k-2i)}(1, q) = \sum_{n \geq N_{i+1} \geq \dots \geq N_{k-1} \geq 0} \frac{(1 + q^n) q^{N_{i+1}^2 + N_{i+2}^2 + \dots + N_{k-1}^2 + N_{i+1} + \dots + N_{k-1}}}{2(q; q)_{n-N_{i+1}} (q; q)_{N_{i+1}-N_{i+2}} \cdots (q; q)_{N_{k-2}-N_{k-1}} (q; q)_{N_{k-1}}}. \quad (2.9)$$

Applying Lemma 2.2 to (2.9) $i-1$ times yields Bailey pair $(\alpha_n^{(2k-i-1)}(1, q), \beta_n^{(2k-i-1)}(1, q))$,

$$\alpha_n^{(2k-i-1)}(1, q) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } n = 0, \\ (-1)^n q^{\frac{2k-1}{2}n^2} (q^{-\frac{2k-2i+1}{2}n} + q^{\frac{2k-2i-1}{2}n}) (1 + q^n) / 2, & \text{if } n \geq 1, \end{cases}$$

$$\beta_n^{(2k-i-1)}(1, q) = \sum_{n \geq N_2 \geq \dots \geq N_{k-1} \geq 0} \frac{q^{N_2^2 + N_3^2 + \dots + N_{k-1}^2 + N_{i+1} + \dots + N_{k-1}} (1 + q^{N_i})}{2(q; q)_{n-N_2} (q; q)_{N_2-N_3} \cdots (q; q)_{N_{k-2}-N_{k-1}} (q; q)_{N_{k-1}}}. \quad (2.10)$$

Plugging (2.10) into Lemma 2.5, we get the Bailey pair (2.4) for $k > i + 1 \geq 2$. Thus, we complete the proof of Lemma 2.6. \blacksquare

We are now in a position to prove Theorem 1.8.

Proof of Theorem 1.8. We consider the following two cases.

(1) For $k = i = 1$, Theorem 1.8 obviously holds.

(2) For $k \geq 2$ and $k \geq i \geq 1$, by Lemma 2.6 and the definition of a Bailey pair, we see that

$$\sum_{n \geq N_1 \geq \dots \geq N_{k-1} \geq 0} \frac{(-q; q)_{2N_1-1} q^{N_1+2(N_2^2+N_3^2+\dots+N_{k-1}^2+N_{i+1}+\dots+N_{k-1})} (1 + q^{2N_i})}{(q^2; q^2)_{n-N_1} (q^2; q^2)_{N_1-N_2} \cdots (q^2; q^2)_{N_{k-2}-N_{k-1}} (q^2; q^2)_{N_{k-1}}} \quad (2.11)$$

$$= \frac{1}{(q; q)_n (q; q)_n} + \sum_{r=1}^n \frac{(-1)^r q^{(2k-1)r^2} (q^{-2(k-i)r} + q^{2(k-i)r})}{(q; q)_{n-r} (q; q)_{n+r}}.$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ and multiplying both sides by $(q^2; q^2)_\infty$ in (2.11), we obtain

$$\sum_{N_1 \geq \dots \geq N_{k-1} \geq 0} \frac{(-q; q)_{2N_1-1} q^{N_1+2(N_2^2+N_3^2+\dots+N_{k-1}^2+N_{i+1}+\dots+N_{k-1})} (1 + q^{2N_i})}{(q^2; q^2)_{N_1-N_2} (q^2; q^2)_{N_2-N_3} \cdots (q^2; q^2)_{N_{k-2}-N_{k-1}} (q^2; q^2)_{N_{k-1}}} \quad (2.12)$$

$$= \frac{(-q; q)_\infty}{(q; q)_\infty} \left(1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^n q^{(2k-1)n^2} (q^{-2(k-i)n} + q^{2(k-i)n}) \right).$$

Using Jacobi's triple product identity, we see that

$$1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^n q^{(2k-1)n^2} (q^{-2(k-i)n} + q^{2(k-i)n}) = (q^{2i-1}, q^{4k-2i-1}, q^{4k-2}; q^{4k-2})_\infty. \quad (2.13)$$

Substituting (2.13) into (2.12), and noting that

$$(-q; q)_{2N_1-1} q^{N_1} = (-q^{2-2N_1}; q^2)_{N_1-1} (-q^{1-2N_1}; q^2)_{N_1} q^{2N_1^2},$$

we obtain (1.5) for $k \geq 2$ and $k \geq i \geq 1$. Thus we complete the proof of Theorem 1.8. \blacksquare

3 The Göllnitz-Gordon marking of an overpartition

In this section, we first introduce the Göllnitz-Gordon marking of an overpartition and then give an outline of the proof of Theorem 1.9.

Kurşungöz [18] introduced the notion of the Gordon marking of an ordinary partition and gave a combinatorial proof of Theorem 1.4. The Gordon marking of an ordinary partition η is an assignment of positive integers (marks) to the parts of η from smallest to largest such that the marks are as small as possible subject to the condition that equal or consecutive parts are assigned different marks. More precisely, let $\eta = (\eta_1, \eta_2, \dots, \eta_\ell)$ be an ordinary partition where $1 \leq \eta_1 \leq \eta_2 \leq \dots \leq \eta_\ell$. Assign 1 to η_1 . For $p > 1$, assume that s is the least positive integer that is not used to mark the parts η_j with $\eta_p - \eta_j \leq 1$ for $j < p$. Then, we assign s to η_p . For example, the Gordon marking of $\eta = (1, 1, 2, 2, 2, 3, 4, 5, 5, 6, 6, 6)$ is

$$G(\eta) = (1_1, 1_2, 2_3, 2_4, 2_5, 3_1, 4_2, 5_1, 5_3, 6_2, 6_4, 6_5).$$

The Gordon marking of an ordinary partition can also be represented by an array where the column indicates the size of a part and the row (counted from bottom to top) indicates the mark listed outside the brackets, so the Gordon marking of η above can be represented as:

$$G(\eta) = \begin{array}{cccc} & \left[\begin{array}{ccc} 2 & & 6 \\ 2 & & 6 \\ 2 & 5 & \\ 1 & 4 & 6 \\ 1 & 3 & 5 \end{array} \right] & \begin{array}{l} 5 \\ 4 \\ 3 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{array} \end{array}.$$

We call this array the Gordon marking representation of an ordinary partition. Let N_r denote the number of parts in the r -th row of the Gordon marking representation of an ordinary partition η , that is, the number of r -marked parts in the Gordon marking of η . From the definition of the Gordon marking of an ordinary partition, it is not difficult to see that $N_1 \geq N_2 \geq \dots$. Furthermore, if η is counted by $B_{k,i}(n)$ in Theorem 1.2, then there are no marks k or greater in the Gordon marking of η , namely, there are at most $k - 1$ rows in the Gordon marking representation of η .

Let $\mathbb{B}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i}(n)$ denote the set of partitions η counted by $B_{k,i}(n)$ such that there are N_r parts in the r -th row of the Gordon marking representation of η for $1 \leq r \leq k - 1$. Define

$$\mathbb{B}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i} = \bigcup_{n \geq 0} \mathbb{B}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i}(n).$$

Kurşungöz [18] established the following identity by introducing backward and forward moves defined on the Gordon marking of an ordinary partition.

$$\sum_{\eta \in \mathbb{B}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i}} q^{|\eta|} = \frac{q^{N_1^2 + \dots + N_{k-1}^2 + N_i + \dots + N_{k-1}}}{(q; q)_{N_1 - N_2} \cdots (q; q)_{N_{k-2} - N_{k-1}} (q; q)_{N_{k-1}}}, \quad (3.1)$$

where $|\eta|$ denotes the sum of parts of η .

Let $\mathbb{B}_{k,i}(m, n)$ denote the set of partitions counted by $B_{k,i}(m, n)$ in Theorem 1.4. From the definition of $\mathbb{B}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i}(n)$, we see that

$$\mathbb{B}_{k,i}(m, n) = \bigcup_{\substack{N_1 \geq \dots \geq N_{k-1} \geq 0 \\ N_1 + \dots + N_{k-1} = m}} \mathbb{B}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i}(n),$$

so

$$\sum_{m, n \geq 0} B_{k,i}(m, n) x^m q^n = \sum_{N_1 \geq N_2 \geq \dots \geq N_{k-1} \geq 0} x^{N_1 + N_2 + \dots + N_{k-1}} \sum_{\eta \in \mathbb{B}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i}} q^{|\eta|}. \quad (3.2)$$

Therefore, inserting (3.1) into (3.2) gives rise to Theorem 1.4.

To show Theorem 1.9, we introduce the Göllnitz-Gordon marking of an overpartition which is different from the Gordon marking of an overpartition introduced by Chen, Sang and Shi [12]. It should be mentioned that an ordinary partition can be marked with Göllnitz-Gordon marking, but the Göllnitz-Gordon marking of an ordinary partition is different from the Gordon marking of an ordinary partition.

In the remainder of this paper, we write an overpartition λ in the form $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_\ell)$ where $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_\ell$ are ranked in the following order,

$$\bar{1} < 1 < \bar{2} < 2 < \dots. \quad (3.3)$$

The λ_j is called the j -th part of an overpartition λ . Denote the size of λ_j by $|\lambda_j|$. If $|\lambda_j| = a_j$, then we write $\lambda_j = \bar{a}_j$ to indicate that λ_j is an overlined part and write $\lambda_j = a_j$ to indicate that λ_j is a non-overlined part.

Definition 3.1 (Göllnitz-Gordon marking). *The Göllnitz-Gordon marking of an overpartition λ is an assignment of positive integers (marks) to parts of $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_\ell)$ from smallest to largest. Assign 1 to λ_1 . For $p > 1$, assume that the part λ_j has been assigned a mark for $j < p$. We consider the following four cases:*

- (1) If λ_p is a non-overlined odd part, then assign 1 to λ_p .
- (2) If λ_p is an overlined even part, then assign 1 to λ_p .
- (3) If λ_p is an overlined odd part, and s is the least positive integer that is not used to mark the parts λ_j with $|\lambda_p| - |\lambda_j| = 1$ for $j < p$, then assign s to λ_p .
- (4) If λ_p is a non-overlined even part, say $\lambda_p = 2t + 2$, define
 - ◇ f to be the least positive integer that is not used to mark the parts λ_j with $|\lambda_p| - |\lambda_j| \leq 2$ for $j < p$,
 - ◇ g to be the least positive integer that has been used to mark the parts λ_j with $|\lambda_p| - |\lambda_j| = 2$ for $j < p$. If such λ_j does not occur in λ , then set $g = 0$,

then we may assign f or g to λ_p by considering the following two subcases:

(4.1) If λ satisfies four conditions simultaneously: (i) $g \geq 2$; (ii) the mark of λ_{p-1} is $g - 1$; (iii) $2t + 1$ or $\overline{2t + 2}$ occurs in λ ; (iv) $\overline{2t + 1}$ does not occur in λ , then assign g to λ_p ;

(4.2) Otherwise, assign f to λ_p .

For example, we consider the overpartition

$$\begin{array}{cccccccccc} \lambda_1 & \lambda_2 & \lambda_3 & \lambda_4 & \lambda_5 & \lambda_6 & \lambda_7 & \lambda_8 & \lambda_9 & \lambda_{10} \\ \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow \\ \lambda = (& 1, & 1, & \overline{2}, & 2, & \overline{3}, & \overline{4}, & 6, & 7, & 8, & 8 &). \end{array}$$

By Definition 3.1, we see that $\lambda_1 = 1$, $\lambda_2 = 1$ and $\lambda_8 = 7$ should be marked with 1 since they are non-overlined odd parts. On the other hand, $\lambda_3 = \overline{2}$ and $\lambda_6 = \overline{4}$ are overlined even parts, so they are also marked with 1. Hence, we have

$$\begin{array}{cccccccccc} \lambda_1 & \lambda_2 & \lambda_3 & \lambda_4 & \lambda_5 & \lambda_6 & \lambda_7 & \lambda_8 & \lambda_9 & \lambda_{10} \\ \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow \\ (& 1_1, & 1_1, & \overline{2}_1, & 2, & \overline{3}, & \overline{4}_1, & 6, & 7_1, & 8, & 8 &). \end{array}$$

The part $\lambda_4 = 2$ should be marked with 2 since it is a non-overlined even part and it does not satisfy the conditions (4.1) in Definition 3.1. The part $\lambda_5 = \overline{3}$ is marked with 3 since it is an overlined odd part and $\lambda_3 = \overline{2}$ and $\lambda_4 = 2$ are marked with 1 and 2 respectively. The part $\lambda_7 = 6$ is marked with 2 since it is a non-overlined even part and it does not satisfy the conditions (4.1) in Definition 3.1. The part $\lambda_9 = 8$ is marked with 2 since it is a non-overlined even part and it satisfies the conditions (4.1) in Definition 3.1. The part $\lambda_{10} = 8$ is marked with 3 since it is a non-overlined even part and it does not satisfy the conditions (4.1) in Definition 3.1. So the Göllnitz-Gordon marking of λ is

$$GG(\lambda) = (1_1, 1_1, \overline{2}_1, 2_2, \overline{3}_3, \overline{4}_1, 6_2, 7_1, 8_2, 8_3).$$

It can also be represented by an array where column indicates the size of a part, and the row (counted from bottom to top) indicates the mark listed outside the brackets, so the Göllnitz-Gordon marking of λ above would be

$$GG(\lambda) = \left[\begin{array}{cccc} & \overline{3} & & 8 \\ & 2 & 6 & 8 \\ 1^2 & \overline{2} & \overline{4} & 7 \end{array} \right] \begin{array}{l} 3 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{array}. \quad (3.4)$$

Similarly, we will call this array the Göllnitz-Gordon marking representation of an overpartition. Note that non-overlined odd parts could be repeated in the first row of the Göllnitz-Gordon marking representation of λ , so for $t \geq 2$, we will use $(2j + 1)^t$ to denote

that there are $t(2j+1)$'s in the first row of the Göllnitz-Gordon marking representation of λ , and $\overline{2j+1}^t$ to denote that there are a $\overline{2j+1}$ and $t-1$ $(2j+1)$'s in the first row of the Göllnitz-Gordon marking representation of λ .

Let N_r denote the number of parts in the r -th row of the Göllnitz-Gordon marking representation of an overpartition. From the definition of Göllnitz-Gordon marking, it is not hard to show that $N_1 \geq N_2 \geq \dots$. For the example above, we have $N_1 = 5$, $N_2 = 3$, and $N_3 = 2$.

From the definition of Göllnitz-Gordon marking, we see that if λ is counted by $O_{k,i}(n)$ in Theorem 1.7, then $f_{\overline{1}}(\lambda) + f_2(\lambda) \leq i-1$ and there are no marks k or greater in the the Göllnitz-Gordon marking of λ , that is, there are at most $k-1$ rows in the Göllnitz-Gordon marking representation of λ , and vice versa. More precisely, we have following proposition.

Proposition 3.2. *For $k \geq i \geq 1$, an overpartition λ is counted by $O_{k,i}(n)$ if and only if the number of occurrences of $\overline{1}$ and 2 in λ does not exceed $i-1$ and there are at most $k-1$ rows in the Göllnitz-Gordon marking representation of λ .*

It should be noted that for the parts $2t+2$ in λ , if $f_{\overline{2t+1}}(\lambda) = 0$, $f_{\overline{2t}}(\lambda) = 0$ and

$$f_{2t}(\lambda) + f_{2t+2}(\lambda) = k-1,$$

and the least positive integer g that has been used to mark the parts $2t$ in λ is greater than 1, and there is at least one $2t+1$ or $\overline{2t+2}$ in λ which will be marked with 1, then the marks of $2t+2$ will be less than k since there is a $2t+2$ in λ marked with g which satisfies the conditions in (4.1) of Definition 3.1. This is the reason that the marking of non-overlined even parts in the definition of Göllnitz-Gordon marking of an overpartition is more complicated.

For $k \geq i \geq 1$, let $\mathbb{O}_{k,i}(m, n)$ denote the set of overpartitions counted by $O_{k,i}(m, n)$. We will classify $\mathbb{O}_{k,i}(m, n)$ by considering whether the smallest part of an overpartition in $\mathbb{O}_{k,i}(m, n)$ is a non-overlined odd part or an overlined even part. Note that the parts of an overpartition are ordered by (3.3). Let $\mathbb{F}_{k,i}(m, n)$ denote the set of overpartitions in $\mathbb{O}_{k,i}(m, n)$ for which the smallest part is an overlined odd part or a non-overlined even part, and let $\mathbb{H}_{k,i}(m, n)$ denote the set of overpartitions in $\mathbb{O}_{k,i}(m, n)$ with the smallest part being a non-overlined odd part or an overlined even part. Obviously,

$$\mathbb{O}_{k,i}(m, n) = \mathbb{F}_{k,i}(m, n) \cup \mathbb{H}_{k,i}(m, n). \quad (3.5)$$

Let $F_{k,i}(m, n) = |\mathbb{F}_{k,i}(m, n)|$ and $H_{k,i}(m, n) = |\mathbb{H}_{k,i}(m, n)|$. Then

$$O_{k,i}(m, n) = F_{k,i}(m, n) + H_{k,i}(m, n). \quad (3.6)$$

There is a relation between $F_{k,i}(m, n)$ and $H_{k,i}(m, n)$.

Lemma 3.3. For $k \geq i \geq 2$,

$$F_{k,i}(m, n) = H_{k,i-1}(m, n). \quad (3.7)$$

For $k \geq 1$,

$$F_{k,1}(m, n) = H_{k,k}(m, n - 2m). \quad (3.8)$$

Proof. For $k \geq i \geq 2$, there is a simple bijection between $\mathbb{F}_{k,i}(m, n)$ and $\mathbb{H}_{k,i-1}(m, n)$. Let σ be an overpartition in $\mathbb{F}_{k,i}(m, n)$, we consider two cases: If the smallest part of σ is an overlined odd part, say $\overline{2t+1}$, then change it to a non-overlined odd part $2t+1$. If the smallest part of σ is a non-overlined even part, say $2t$, then change the first $2t$ of σ to an overlined even part $\overline{2t}$. In either case, we obtain an overpartition π in $\mathbb{H}_{k,i-1}(m, n)$. Furthermore, it is easy to see that this process is reversible, and so this map is a bijection. Hence (3.7) holds for $k \geq i \geq 2$.

For $k \geq 1$, we will give a bijection between $\mathbb{F}_{k,1}(m, n)$ and $\mathbb{H}_{k,k}(m, n - 2m)$. For an overpartition σ in $\mathbb{F}_{k,1}(m, n)$, by the definition of $\mathbb{F}_{k,1}(m, n)$, we see that σ has m parts and the size of each part of σ is greater than 2. There are two cases: If the smallest part of σ is an overlined odd part, say $\overline{2t+1}$, where $t \geq 1$, then change it to a non-overlined odd part $2t+1$. If the smallest part of σ is a non-overlined even part, then change one of the smallest parts, say $2t$, where $t \geq 2$ to an overlined even part $\overline{2t}$. In either case, we obtained a new overpartition ρ for which the size of each part is greater than 2. We then subtract 2 from each part of ρ to obtain the resulting overpartition π in $\mathbb{H}_{k,k}(m, n - 2m)$. It is evident to see that this process is reversible, and so this map is a bijection. Hence we arrive at (3.8). This completes the proof. \blacksquare

Using the relation (3.6), it is easy to find that the generating function of $O_{k,i}(m, n)$ can be deduced from the generating functions of $F_{k,i}(m, n)$ and $H_{k,i}(m, n)$. In light of Lemma 3.3, we see that the generating function of $H_{k,i}(m, n)$ can be obtained from the generating function of $F_{k,i}(m, n)$. Hence, it suffices to derive the following generating function of $F_{k,i}(m, n)$ in order to prove Theorem 1.9.

Theorem 3.4. For $k \geq i \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{m,n \geq 0} F_{k,i}(m, n) x^m q^n \\ &= \sum_{N_1 \geq \dots \geq N_{k-1} \geq 0} \frac{(-q^{2-2N_1}; q^2)_{N_1-1} (-q^{1-2N_1}; q^2)_{N_1} q^{2(N_1^2 + \dots + N_{k-1}^2 + N_i + \dots + N_{k-1})} x^{N_1 + \dots + N_{k-1}}}{(q^2; q^2)_{N_1 - N_2} \cdots (q^2; q^2)_{N_{k-2} - N_{k-1}} (q^2; q^2)_{N_{k-1}}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

In this paper, we will give a combinatorial proof of Theorem 3.4 based on the Göllnitz-Gordon marking of an overpartition. Let $\mathbb{F}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i}(n)$ denote the set of overpartitions λ in $\mathbb{F}_{k,i}(m, n)$ such that there are N_r r -marked parts in the Göllnitz-Gordon marking of λ for $1 \leq r \leq k-1$.

Set

$$\mathbb{F}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i} = \bigcup_{n \geq 0} \mathbb{F}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i}(n).$$

From the definition of $\mathbb{F}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i}$, it is evident to see that

$$\mathbb{F}_{k, i}(m, n) = \bigcup_{\substack{N_1 \geq \dots \geq N_{k-1} \geq 0 \\ N_1 + \dots + N_{k-1} = m}} \mathbb{F}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i}(n).$$

This leads to

$$\sum_{m, n \geq 0} F_{k, i}(m, n) x^m q^n = \sum_{N_1 \geq N_2 \geq \dots \geq N_{k-1} \geq 0} x^{N_1 + \dots + N_{k-1}} \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i}} q^{|\lambda|}. \quad (3.10)$$

Hence Theorem 3.4 immediately follows when we show that for $k \geq i \geq 1$ and $N_1 \geq N_2 \geq \dots \geq N_{k-1} \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i}} q^{|\lambda|} \\ &= \frac{(-q^{2-2N_1}; q^2)_{N_1-1} (-q^{1-2N_1}; q^2)_{N_1} q^{2(N_1^2 + \dots + N_{k-1}^2 + N_i + \dots + N_{k-1})}}{(q^2; q^2)_{N_1-N_2} \cdots (q^2; q^2)_{N_{k-2}-N_{k-1}} (q^2; q^2)_{N_{k-1}}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

It turns out that the proof of (3.11) is more complicated than the proof of (3.1) due to Kurşungöz. To prove (3.11), we require to build two bijections. More precisely, let $\mathbb{G}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i}(n)$ denote the set of overpartitions in $\mathbb{F}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i}(n)$ for which there are no overlined even parts and non-overlined odd parts. Let $\mathbb{E}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i}(n)$ denote the set of overpartitions in $\mathbb{G}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i}(n)$ for which there are no overlined odd parts.

Set

$$\mathbb{G}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i} = \bigcup_{n \geq 0} \mathbb{G}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i}(n),$$

and

$$\mathbb{E}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i} = \bigcup_{n \geq 0} \mathbb{E}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i}(n).$$

We will give bijective proofs of the following two relations in Section 4 and Section 5 respectively.

Lemma 3.5. *For $k \geq i \geq 1$ and $N_1 \geq N_2 \geq \dots \geq N_{k-1} \geq 0$,*

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i}} q^{|\lambda|} = (-q^{2-2N_1}; q^2)_{N_1-1} \sum_{\mu \in \mathbb{G}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i}} q^{|\mu|}. \quad (3.12)$$

Lemma 3.6. For $k \geq i \geq 1$ and $N_1 \geq N_2 \geq \cdots \geq N_{k-1} \geq 0$,

$$\sum_{\mu \in \mathbb{G}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i}} q^{|\mu|} = (-q^{1-2N_1}; q^2)_{N_1} \sum_{\nu \in \mathbb{E}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i}} q^{|\nu|}. \quad (3.13)$$

In Section 6, we first give a proof of Theorem 3.4 by using Lemma 3.5 and Lemma 3.6, as well as (3.1) due to Kurşungöz. Then we complete the proof of Theorem 1.9 by using Theorem 3.4, together with Lemma 3.3 and the relation (3.6). In the remaining part of this paper, we mark parts of an overpartition in the Göllnitz-Gordon marking.

4 Proof of Lemma 3.5

Let \mathbb{R}_N denote the set of partitions $\tau = (\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_\ell)$ with distinct negative even parts which lie in $[-2N, -2]$, that is, τ_j is negative and even for $1 \leq j \leq \ell$ and $-2N \leq \tau_1 < \tau_2 < \cdots < \tau_\ell \leq -2$. It is easy to see that the generating function for partitions in \mathbb{R}_N is:

$$\sum_{\tau \in \mathbb{R}_N} q^{|\tau|} = (1 + q^{-2N})(1 + q^{2-2N}) \cdots (1 + q^{-2}) = (-q^{-2N}; q^2)_N.$$

Thus, Lemma 3.5 is equivalent to the following combinatorial statement.

Theorem 4.1. For $k \geq i \geq 1$ and $N_1 \geq N_2 \geq \cdots \geq N_{k-1} \geq 0$, there is a bijection Φ between $\mathbb{F}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i}$ and $\mathbb{R}_{N_1-1} \times \mathbb{G}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i}$ such that for $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i}$ and $\Phi(\lambda) = (\tau, \mu) \in \mathbb{R}_{N_1-1} \times \mathbb{G}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i}$, we have $|\lambda| = |\tau| + |\mu|$.

Note that $\mathbb{G}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i}$ is the set of overpartitions in $\mathbb{F}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i}$ for which there are no overlined even parts and non-overlined odd parts, so the key point in the construction of the bijection Φ is to remove overlined even parts and non-overlined odd parts from an overpartition in $\mathbb{F}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i}$ to obtain a new overpartition in $\mathbb{G}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i}$. To this end, we will first define three subsets $\mathbb{F}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, p}$, $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, p}$ and $\overrightarrow{\mathbb{F}}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, p}$ of $\mathbb{F}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i}$. Then we build a bijection Φ_p between $\mathbb{F}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, p}$ and $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, p}$ and a bijection $\Phi_{(p)}$ between $\mathbb{F}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, p}$ and $\overrightarrow{\mathbb{F}}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, p}$. It turns out that $\Phi_{(p)}$ can be obtained by iteratively using the bijection Φ_p and plays a crucial role in the construction of the bijection Φ in Theorem 4.1.

Let λ be an overpartition in $\mathbb{F}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i}$. For $1 \leq r \leq k-1$, define $\lambda^{(r)} = (\lambda_1^{(r)}, \lambda_2^{(r)}, \dots, \lambda_{N_r}^{(r)})$ to be the r -th sub-overpartition of λ whose parts are r -marked parts in the Göllnitz-Gordon marking of λ , where $\lambda_1^{(r)} \leq \lambda_2^{(r)} \leq \cdots \leq \lambda_{N_r}^{(r)}$. The $\lambda_j^{(r)}$ are called the j -th part of the r -th sub-overpartition $\lambda^{(r)}$ of λ .

Let $k \geq i \geq 1$ and $N_1 \geq N_2 \geq \cdots \geq N_{k-1} \geq 0$ be given. For $1 < p \leq N_1$, the subsets $\mathbb{F}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, p}$, $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, p}$ and $\overrightarrow{\mathbb{F}}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, p}$ are described by using the first

sub-overpartition $\lambda^{(1)} = (\lambda_1^{(1)}, \lambda_2^{(1)}, \dots, \lambda_{N_1}^{(1)})$ of an overpartition λ in $\mathbb{F}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i}$, where $\lambda_1^{(1)} \leq \lambda_2^{(1)} \leq \dots \leq \lambda_{N_1}^{(1)}$.

$\diamond \mathbb{F}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, p}$ is the set of overpartitions λ in $\mathbb{F}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i}$ such that (1) $\lambda_p^{(1)}$ is a non-overlined odd part or an overlined even part; (2) $\lambda_j^{(1)}$ is an overlined odd part or a non-overlined even part for all $j \in \{p+1, \dots, N_1\}$.

$\diamond \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, p}$ is the set of overpartitions λ in $\mathbb{F}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i}$ such that (1) $\lambda_p^{(1)}$ is an overlined odd part or a non-overlined even part; (2) $\lambda_{p+1}^{(1)}$ is a non-overlined odd part or an overlined even part; (3) $\lambda_j^{(1)}$ is an overlined odd part or a non-overlined even part for all $j \in \{p+2, \dots, N_1\}$.

$\diamond \overrightarrow{\mathbb{F}}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, p}$ is the set of overpartitions λ in $\mathbb{F}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i}$ such that $\lambda_j^{(1)}$ is an overlined odd part or a non-overlined even part for all $j \in \{p, \dots, N_1\}$.

By definition, it is easy to see that for $1 \leq p \leq N_1 - 2$,

$$\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, p} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, p+1} \subseteq \overrightarrow{\mathbb{F}}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, p+2}.$$

We are ready to present the bijection Φ_p between $\mathbb{F}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, p}$ and $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, p}$ and the bijection $\Phi_{(p)}$ between $\mathbb{F}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, p}$ and $\overrightarrow{\mathbb{F}}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, p}$. The following lemma gives the bijection Φ_p , which will be proved at the end of this section.

Lemma 4.2. *For $1 < p \leq N_1$, there is a bijection Φ_p between $\mathbb{F}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, p}$ and $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, p}$. Furthermore, for $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, p}$ and $\mu = \Phi_p(\lambda) \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, p}$, we have*

$$|\mu| = |\lambda| + 2, \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_j^{(1)} = \mu_j^{(1)} \quad \text{for } j \neq p, p+1, \quad (4.1)$$

where $\lambda_j^{(1)}$ (resp. $\mu_j^{(1)}$) is the j -th part of the first sub-overpartition of λ (resp. μ).

Applying Lemma 4.2 repeatedly gives the following lemma.

Lemma 4.3. *For $1 < p \leq N_1$, there is a bijection $\Phi_{(p)}$ between $\mathbb{F}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, p}$ and $\overrightarrow{\mathbb{F}}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, p}$. Furthermore, for $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, p}$ and $\mu = \Phi_{(p)}(\lambda) \in \overrightarrow{\mathbb{F}}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, p}$, we have*

$$|\mu| = |\lambda| + 2N_1 - 2p + 2, \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_j^{(1)} = \mu_j^{(1)} \quad \text{for } j < p. \quad (4.2)$$

Proof. Define $\Phi_{(p)} = \Phi_{N_1} \Phi_{N_1-1} \cdots \Phi_p$, by Lemma 4.2, it is easy to verify that $\Phi_{(p)}$ is a bijection between $\mathbb{F}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, p}$ and $\overrightarrow{\mathbb{F}}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, p}$ as desired. \blacksquare

Before giving a proof of Lemma 4.2, we give a proof of Theorem 4.1. Note that $\mathbb{G}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i}$ is the set of overpartitions in $\mathbb{F}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i}$ for which there are no overlined even parts and non-overlined odd parts, so we could use the bijection $\Phi_{(p)}$ in succession to remove all overlined even parts and non-overlined odd parts from an overpartition in

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 $\mathbb{F}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i}$. Let λ be an overpartition in $\mathbb{F}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, p}$ and $\mu = \Phi_{(p)}(\lambda)$, by Lemma 4.3,
9 we see that the number of non-overlined odd parts and overlined even parts in μ is one
10 less than that in λ . Applying Lemma 4.3 repeatedly in λ , we can obtain an overpartition
11 belonging to $\mathbb{G}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i}$.
12
13

14 **Proof of Theorem 4.1.** Let λ be an overpartition in $\mathbb{F}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i}$. We aim to define
15 $\Phi(\lambda) = (\tau, \mu)$ such that τ is a partition in \mathbb{R}_{N_1-1} and μ is an overpartition in $\mathbb{G}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i}$
16 satisfying $|\lambda| = |\tau| + |\mu|$. We consider two cases.
17
18

19 Case 1. If there are no overlined even parts and non-overlined odd parts in λ , then
20 set $\mu = \lambda$ and $\tau = \emptyset$. It is easy to see that $\mu \in \mathbb{G}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i}$ and $|\lambda| = |\mu|$.
21

22 Case 2. If there are $s \geq 1$ overlined even parts or non-overlined odd parts in λ , then
23 by the definition of Göllnitz-Gordon marking, we see that these parts are marked with 1.
24 If we assume that $\lambda^{(1)} = (\lambda_1^{(1)}, \lambda_2^{(1)}, \dots, \lambda_{N_1}^{(1)})$ is the first sub-overpartition of λ , then there
25 are s overlined even parts or non-overlined odd parts in $\lambda^{(1)}$, which are $\lambda_{j_1}^{(1)}, \lambda_{j_2}^{(1)}, \dots,$
26 $\lambda_{j_{s-1}}^{(1)}$ and $\lambda_{j_s}^{(1)}$, where $1 \leq j_1 < j_2 < \dots < j_s \leq N_1$. Under this assumption, we see that λ
27 is an overpartition in $\mathbb{F}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, j_s}$. Note that the smallest part of λ is an overlined odd
28 part or a non-overlined even part, so $j_1 > 1$. Set
29
30
31

$$32 \quad \tau = (-2(N_1 - j_1 + 1), -2(N_1 - j_2 + 1), \dots, -2(N_1 - j_s + 1)),$$

33 obviously, τ is a partition in \mathbb{R}_{N_1-1} . The overpartition μ can be obtained from λ by
34 employing the bijection in Lemma 4.3 s times. We denote the intermediate overpartitions
35 by $\gamma^0, \gamma^1, \dots, \gamma^s$ with $\gamma^0 = \lambda$ and $\gamma^s = \mu$. For $1 \leq b \leq s$, the intermediate overpartition
36 γ^b can be obtained from γ^{b-1} by using $\Phi_{(j_s-b+1)}$ in Lemma 4.3, that is, for $1 \leq b \leq s$,
37
38
39

$$40 \quad \gamma^b = \Phi_{(j_s-b+1)}(\gamma^{b-1}).$$

41 Note that $\gamma^0 \in \mathbb{F}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, j_s}$, so by Lemma 4.3, we see that
42
43

$$44 \quad \gamma^1 \in \mathbb{F}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, j_{s-1}} \text{ and } |\gamma^1| = |\lambda| + 2(N_1 - j_s + 1),$$

45 and the first $(j_s - 1)$ 1-marked parts in the Göllnitz-Gordon marking of γ^1 and $\gamma^0 = \lambda$
46 are the same.
47
48
49

50 Employing Lemma 4.3 repeatedly, we derive that for $1 \leq b \leq s - 1$,
51
52

$$53 \quad \gamma^b \in \mathbb{F}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, j_{s-b}} \text{ and } |\gamma^b| = |\lambda| + 2 \sum_{r=1}^b (N_1 - j_{s-r+1} + 1),$$

54 and
55
56

$$57 \quad \gamma^s \in \overrightarrow{\mathbb{F}}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, j_1} \text{ and } |\gamma^s| = |\lambda| + 2 \sum_{r=1}^s (N_1 - j_{s-r+1} + 1).$$

58 Furthermore, for $1 \leq b \leq s$, the first $(j_{s-b+1} - 1)$ 1-marked parts in the Göllnitz-Gordon
59 marking of γ^b and $\gamma^0 = \lambda$ are the same. From the preceding fact, we see that the first
60
61
62
63
64
65

($j_1 - 1$) parts in the first sub-overpartition of λ are non-overlined even parts or overlined odd parts, and so we derive that there are no overlined even parts or non-overlined odd parts in γ^s . Hence

$$\mu = \gamma^s \in \mathbb{G}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i} \text{ and } |\mu| = |\lambda| + 2 \sum_{r=1}^s (N_1 - j_{s-r+1} + 1),$$

and it is easy to check that $|\tau| + |\mu| = |\lambda|$. Therefore Φ is well-defined.

To prove that Φ is a bijection, we shall give a brief description of the inverse map Ψ of Φ . Let μ be an overpartition in $\mathbb{G}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i}$ and τ be a partition with distinct negative even parts lying in $[2 - 2N_1, -2]$. We shall define $\Psi(\tau, \mu) = \lambda$ such that λ is an overpartition in $\mathbb{F}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i}$ and $|\lambda| = |\tau| + |\mu|$. There are two cases.

Case 1. $\tau = \emptyset$. In this event, set $\lambda = \mu$. Note that $\mathbb{G}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i}$, so $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i}$ and there are no overlined even parts and non-overlined odd parts in λ .

Case 2. $\tau \neq \emptyset$. In this event, assume that

$$\tau = (-2(N_1 - j_1 + 1), -2(N_1 - j_2 + 1), \dots, -2(N_1 - j_s + 1)),$$

where $1 < j_1 < j_2 < \dots < j_s \leq N_1$. The overpartition λ can be recovered from μ by using the bijection in Lemma 4.3 s times. We denote the intermediate overpartitions by $\delta^s, \dots, \delta^0$ with $\delta^s = \mu$ and $\delta^0 = \lambda$. For $1 \leq b \leq s$, the intermediate overpartition δ^{b-1} can be obtained from δ^b by using the bijection $\Phi_{(j_{s-b+1})}^{-1}$, that is $\delta^{b-1} = \Phi_{(j_{s-b+1})}^{-1}(\delta^b)$. It follows from Lemma 4.3 that λ is an overpartition in $\mathbb{F}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i}$ and $|\lambda| = |\tau| + |\mu|$, and $\Psi(\Phi(\lambda)) = \lambda$ for any λ in $\mathbb{F}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i}$. Hence Φ is a bijection between $\mathbb{F}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i}$ and $\mathbb{R}_{N_1-1} \times \mathbb{G}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i}$. This completes the proof of Theorem 4.1, and hence Lemma 3.5 is verified. \blacksquare

It remains to show Lemma 4.2. To this end, we shall divide $\mathbb{F}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, p}$ into four disjoint subsets $\mathbb{F}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, p}^{(l)}$ ($1 \leq l \leq 4$) and divide $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, p}$ into four disjoint subsets $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, p}^{(l)}$ ($1 \leq l \leq 4$). We then construct the bijection Φ_p consisting of four bijections $\Phi_{l, p}$ between $\mathbb{F}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, p}^{(l)}$ and $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, p}^{(l)}$ ($1 \leq l \leq 4$), which are presented in Lemmas 4.4, 4.5, 4.6 and 4.7 respectively.

For $1 < p \leq N_1$, let $\lambda^{(1)} = (\lambda_1^{(1)}, \lambda_2^{(1)}, \dots, \lambda_{N_1}^{(1)})$ be the first sub-overpartition of λ in $\mathbb{F}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, p}$, by definition, we see that $\lambda_p^{(1)}$ is a non-overlined odd part or an overlined even part and $\lambda_j^{(1)}$ is an overlined odd part or a non-overlined even part for all $j \in \{p+1, \dots, N_1\}$. The subsets $\mathbb{F}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, p}^{(l)}$ ($1 \leq l \leq 4$) can be described in terms of the first sub-overpartition of λ .

- (1) $\mathbb{F}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, p}^{(1)}$ is the set of overpartitions λ in $\mathbb{F}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, p}$ such that (i) $\lambda_p^{(1)}$ is a non-overlined odd part; (ii) if $|\lambda_{p-1}^{(1)}| \leq |\lambda_p^{(1)}| - 2$, then there are no non-overlined even parts of size $|\lambda_p^{(1)}| + 1$ in λ .

- 1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
- (2) $\mathbb{F}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, p}^{(2)}$ is the set of overpartitions λ in $\mathbb{F}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, p}$ such that (i) $\lambda_p^{(1)}$ is a non-overlined odd part; (ii) $|\lambda_{p-1}^{(1)}| \leq |\lambda_p^{(1)}| - 2$; (iii) there is at least one non-overlined even part of size $|\lambda_p^{(1)}| + 1$ in λ .
 - (3) $\mathbb{F}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, p}^{(3)}$ is the set of overpartitions λ in $\mathbb{F}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, p}$ such that (i) $\lambda_p^{(1)}$ is an overlined even part; (ii) there is no overlined odd part of size $|\lambda_p^{(1)}| + 1$ in λ .
 - (4) $\mathbb{F}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, p}^{(4)}$ is the set of overpartitions λ in $\mathbb{F}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, p}$ such that (i) $\lambda_p^{(1)}$ is an overlined even part; (ii) there is an overlined odd part of size $|\lambda_p^{(1)}| + 1$ in λ .

22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33

For $1 < p \leq N_1$, let $\mu^{(1)} = (\mu_1^{(1)}, \mu_2^{(1)}, \dots, \mu_{N_1}^{(1)})$ be the first sub-overpartition of μ in $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, p}$, by definition, we see that $\mu_p^{(1)}$ is an overlined odd part or a non-overlined even part, $\mu_{p+1}^{(1)}$ is a non-overlined odd part or an overlined even part, and $\mu_j^{(1)}$ is an overlined odd part or a non-overlined even part for all $j \in \{p+2, \dots, N_1\}$. We shall divide the set $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, p}$ into four disjoint subsets $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, p}^{(l)}$ ($1 \leq l \leq 4$) in terms of the first sub-overpartition of μ . When $p \geq N_1$, we see that $\mu_{p+1}^{(1)}$ does not occur in μ . For convenience, set $|\mu_{N_1+1}^{(1)}| = \infty$.

- 34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
- (1) $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, p}^{(1)}$ is the set of overpartitions μ in $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, p}$ such that (i) $\mu_p^{(1)}$ is an overlined odd part; (ii) if $|\mu_{p+1}^{(1)}| \geq |\mu_p^{(1)}| + 2$, then there are no non-overlined even parts of size $|\mu_p^{(1)}| + 1$ in μ .
 - (2) $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, p}^{(2)}$ is the set of overpartitions μ in $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, p}$ such that (i) $\mu_p^{(1)}$ is a non-overlined even part; (ii) there is an overlined odd part of size $|\mu_p^{(1)}| + 1$ in μ ; (iii) if $|\mu_{p+1}^{(1)}| > |\mu_p^{(1)}| + 2$, then there are no non-overlined even parts of size $|\mu_p^{(1)}| + 2$ in μ .
 - (3) $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, p}^{(3)}$ is the set of overpartitions μ in $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, p}$ such that (i) $\mu_p^{(1)}$ is a non-overlined even part; (ii) there is no overlined odd part of size $|\mu_p^{(1)}| + 1$ in μ .
 - (4) $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, p}^{(4)}$ is the set of overpartitions μ in $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, p}$ such that (i) if $\mu_p^{(1)}$ is an overlined odd part, then $|\mu_{p+1}^{(1)}| \geq |\mu_p^{(1)}| + 2$ and there is at least one non-overlined even part of size $|\mu_p^{(1)}| + 1$ in μ ; (ii) if $\mu_p^{(1)}$ is a non-overlined even part, then $|\mu_{p+1}^{(1)}| > |\mu_p^{(1)}| + 2$ and there are an overlined odd part of size $|\mu_p^{(1)}| + 1$ and at least one non-overlined even part of size $|\mu_p^{(1)}| + 2$ in μ .

60
61
62
63
64
65

We are now ready to define the bijections $\Phi_{l,p}$ between $\mathbb{F}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, p}^{(l)}$ and $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, p}^{(l)}$ ($1 \leq l \leq 4$).

Lemma 4.4. For $1 < p \leq N_1$, there is a bijection $\Phi_{1,p}$ between $\mathbb{F}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, p}^{(1)}$ and $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, p}^{(1)}$. Furthermore, for $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, p}^{(1)}$ and $\mu = \Phi_{1,p}(\lambda) \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, p}^{(1)}$, we have

$$|\mu| = |\lambda| + 2, \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_j^{(1)} = \mu_j^{(1)} \quad \text{for } j \neq p, p+1.$$

Proof. Let $\lambda^{(1)} = (\lambda_1^{(1)}, \lambda_2^{(1)}, \dots, \lambda_{N_1}^{(1)})$ be the first sub-overpartition of λ in $\mathbb{F}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, p}^{(1)}$. By definition, we see that $\lambda_p^{(1)}$ is a non-overlined odd part, set $\lambda_p^{(1)} = 2t + 1$. Since $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, p}^{(1)}$, we see that if $|\lambda_{p-1}^{(1)}| \leq 2t - 1$, then $2t + 2$ does not occur in λ , and $\lambda_j^{(1)}$ is an overlined odd part or a non-overlined even part for all $j \in \{p+1, \dots, N_1\}$. When $1 < p < N_1$, set $\lambda_{p+1}^{(1)} = \overline{2a+1}$ (resp. $2a+2$), it follows from the definition of Göllnitz-Gordon marking that $a \geq t+1$.

For $1 < p \leq N_1$, define $\mu = \Phi_{1,p}(\lambda)$, which can be obtained from λ by performing the following two operations.

(1) Replace $\lambda_p^{(1)} = 2t + 1$ by $\overline{2t+3}$.

(2) When $1 < p < N_1$, replace $\lambda_{p+1}^{(1)} = \overline{2a+1}$ (resp. $2a+2$) by $2a+1$ (resp. $\overline{2a+2}$); When $p = N_1$, we shall do nothing.

Obviously, $|\mu| = |\lambda| + 2$. We first prove that the parts from λ in μ have the same marks as in λ and the newly generated parts $\overline{2t+3}$ and $2a+1$ (resp. $\overline{2a+2}$) in μ are marked with 1. This leads to $\mu \in \mathbb{F}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i}$. By the definition of μ , it is obvious that this assertion is true for the parts of size not exceeding $2t+1$ in μ . We next show that the parts $2t+2$ in μ have the same marks as in λ and the newly generated part $\overline{2t+3}$ in μ is marked with 1. There are two cases: if $\lambda_{p-1}^{(1)} = \overline{2t}$, or $2t$, or $\overline{2t+1}$, or $2t+1$, then $\mu_{p-1}^{(1)} = \overline{2t}$, or $2t$, or $\overline{2t+1}$, or $2t+1$, it follows from the definition of Göllnitz-Gordon marking that the parts $2t+2$ in μ have the same marks as in λ even if the part $\lambda_p^{(1)} = 2t+1$ has been replaced by $\overline{2t+3}$ in μ . Furthermore, the newly generated part $\overline{2t+3}$ in μ is marked with 1 since there is no 1-marked part of size $2t+2$ in μ . If $|\lambda_{p-1}^{(1)}| \leq 2t-1$, then $2t+2$ does not occur in λ , and so neither in μ . It follows that the newly generated part $\overline{2t+3}$ in μ is marked with 1. Therefore, in either case, the parts $2t+2$ in μ have the same marks as in λ and the newly generated part $\overline{2t+3}$ in μ is marked with 1. By the definition of Göllnitz-Gordon marking, it is easy to see that the newly generated part $2a+1$ (resp. $\overline{2a+2}$) in μ replacing $\lambda_{p+1}^{(1)}$ is marked with 1, which has the same size with $\lambda_{p+1}^{(1)}$ in λ , and so the parts of size larger than $2t+2$ in μ have the same marks as in λ . Thus, we arrive at our assertion and prove that $\mu \in \mathbb{F}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i}$.

Let $\mu^{(1)} = (\mu_1^{(1)}, \mu_2^{(1)}, \dots, \mu_{N_1}^{(1)})$ be the first sub-overpartition of μ . It follows from the above proof that $\lambda_j^{(1)} = \mu_j^{(1)}$ for $j \neq p, p+1$. Furthermore, $\mu_p^{(1)} = \overline{2t+3}$, $\mu_{p+1}^{(1)}$ is a non-overlined odd part (resp. an overlined even part), and $\mu_j^{(1)}$ is an overlined odd part or a non-overlined even part for all $j \in \{p+2, \dots, N_1\}$. Moreover, if $|\mu_{p+1}^{(1)}| \geq 2t+5$, then $2t+4$ does not occur in μ . This is because that if $|\lambda_{p+1}^{(1)}| \geq 2t+5$ and $\lambda_p^{(1)} = 2t+1$, then

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

$2t + 4$ does not occur in λ . Therefore, $\mu \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, p}^{(1)}$. Furthermore, it is not difficult to show that $\Phi_{1,p}$ is reversible. So, we conclude that $\Phi_{1,p}$ is a bijection between $\mathbb{F}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, p}^{(1)}$ and $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, p}^{(1)}$. This completes the proof. ■

For example, for $p = 3$, let

$$GG(\lambda) = \begin{bmatrix} & & & 6 & & \\ & 2 & & & \bar{7} & \\ \bar{1} & & \mathbf{3^2} & 4 & & \\ & & & \mathbf{6} & & \\ & & & & & \end{bmatrix} \begin{matrix} 3 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{matrix}$$

be the Göllnitz-Gordon marking representation of λ in $\mathbb{F}_{4,3,1;3,3}^{(1)}$. Applying the bijection $\Phi_{1,3}$ to λ , we get

$$GG(\mu) = \begin{bmatrix} & & & 6 & & \\ & 2 & & & \bar{7} & \\ \bar{1} & & 3 & & \bar{5} & \bar{6} \\ & & & & & \end{bmatrix} \begin{matrix} 3 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{matrix},$$

which is in $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{4,3,1;3,3}^{(1)}$.

Lemma 4.5. *For $1 < p \leq N_1$, there is a bijection $\Phi_{2,p}$ between $\mathbb{F}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, p}^{(2)}$ and $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, p}^{(2)}$. Furthermore, for $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, p}^{(2)}$ and $\mu = \Phi_{2,p}(\lambda) \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, p}^{(2)}$, we have*

$$|\mu| = |\lambda| + 2, \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_j^{(1)} = \mu_j^{(1)} \quad \text{for } j \neq p, p + 1.$$

Proof. Let $\lambda^{(1)} = (\lambda_1^{(1)}, \lambda_2^{(1)}, \dots, \lambda_{N_1}^{(1)})$ be the first sub-overpartition of λ in $\mathbb{F}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, p}^{(2)}$. By definition, we see that $\lambda_p^{(1)}$ is a non-overlined odd part, set $\lambda_p^{(1)} = 2t + 1$, $|\lambda_{p-1}^{(1)}| \leq 2t - 1$ and there is at least one part $2t + 2$ in λ . Furthermore, $\lambda_{p+1}^{(1)}$ is an overlined odd part (resp. a non-overlined even part), set $\lambda_{p+1}^{(1)} = \overline{2a + 1}$ (resp. $2a + 2$). From the definition of Göllnitz-Gordon marking, we see that $a \geq t + 1$.

To define $\Phi_{2,p}$, we introduce an index r which is related to the marks of the parts $2t + 2$ in λ . If there exists b such that there are b -marked parts $2t$ and $2t + 2$ in λ , then set $r = b$; Otherwise, we define r as the largest mark of the parts $2t + 2$ in λ . Since $2t + 2$ occurs in λ and $\lambda_p^{(1)} = 2t + 1$, by the definition of Göllnitz-Gordon marking, we deduce that the marks of the parts $2t + 2$ in λ are larger than 1. Hence $r \geq 2$.

For $1 < p \leq N_1$, define $\mu = \Phi_{2,p}(\lambda)$, which can be obtained from λ by doing the following two operations.

- (1) Replace $\lambda_p^{(1)} = 2t + 1$ by $2t + 2$ and replace the r -marked part $2t + 2$ in λ by $\overline{2t + 3}$.
- (2) When $1 < p < N_1$, replace $\lambda_{p+1}^{(1)} = \overline{2a + 1}$ (resp. $2a + 2$) by $2a + 1$ (resp. $\overline{2a + 2}$); When $p = N_1$, we shall do nothing.

Obviously, $|\mu| = |\lambda| + 2$. We first show that μ is an overpartition in $\mathbb{F}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i}$. To this end, we shall show that the parts from λ in μ have the same marks as in λ and the

newly generated parts $2t+2$ and $2a+1$ (resp. $\overline{2a+2}$) in μ are marked with 1, and the newly generated part $\overline{2t+3}$ in μ is marked with r . By the definition of μ , it is obvious that the marks of parts of size not exceeding $2t+1$ in μ are the same as in λ . Note that $|\lambda_{p-1}^{(1)}| \leq 2t-1$, $\lambda_p^{(1)} = 2t+1$ and $|\lambda_{p+1}^{(1)}| > 2t+1$, this implies that there are no 1-marked parts $\overline{2t}$, $2t$ and $\overline{2t+1}$ in λ , and there is only one part $2t+1$ in λ , that is $\lambda_p^{(1)}$. Therefore there are no 1-marked parts $\overline{2t}$, $2t$, $\overline{2t+1}$ and $2t+1$ in μ . By the definition of Göllnitz-Gordon marking, we see that the newly generated part $2t+2$ in μ replacing $\lambda_p^{(1)} = 2t+1$ should be marked with 1 and the parts $2t+2$ from λ in μ have the same marks as in λ . We proceed to show that the newly generated part $\overline{2t+3}$ in μ replacing the r -marked part $2t+2$ in λ is also marked with r . By the definition of Göllnitz-Gordon marking, we see that there are 2-marked, \dots , $(r-1)$ -marked $(2t+2)$'s in λ . It follows from the preceding proof that there are also 1-marked, \dots , $(r-1)$ -marked $(2t+2)$'s in μ . Therefore the newly generated part $\overline{2t+3}$ in μ replacing the r -marked $2t+2$ in λ is also marked with r . Again, from the definition of Göllnitz-Gordon marking, it is easy to see that the newly generated part $2a+1$ (resp. $\overline{2a+2}$) in μ replacing $\lambda_{p+1}^{(1)}$ is marked with 1, which has the same size with $\lambda_{p+1}^{(1)}$ in λ , and so the parts of size larger than $2t+1$ in μ have the same marks as in λ . Thus, we prove that μ is an overpartition in $\mathbb{F}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i}$.

Let $\mu^{(1)} = (\mu_1^{(1)}, \mu_2^{(1)}, \dots, \mu_{N_1}^{(1)})$ be the first sub-overpartition of μ . From the above proof, we see that $\lambda_j^{(1)} = \mu_j^{(1)}$ for $j \neq p, p+1$. Furthermore $\mu_p^{(1)} = 2t+2$, $\mu_{p+1}^{(1)}$ is a non-overlined odd part (resp. an overlined even part), and $\mu_j^{(1)}$ is an overlined odd part or a non-overlined even part for all $j \in \{p+2, \dots, N_1\}$. This proves that μ is an overpartition in $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, p}$. Again by the preceding proof, we also see that there is an r -marked $\overline{2t+3}$ in μ . Furthermore, from the definition of λ , we see that if $|\lambda_{p+1}^{(1)}| > 2t+4$, then $2t+4$ does not occur in λ , otherwise, it contradicts to the assumptions that $\lambda_p^{(1)} = 2t+1$ and $|\lambda_{p+1}^{(1)}| > 2t+4$. Hence, we derive that if $|\mu_{p+1}^{(1)}| > 2t+4$, then $2t+4$ does not occur in μ . This proves that μ is an overpartition in $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, p}^{(2)}$. Moreover, it can be checked that $\Phi_{2,p}$ is reversible. So we conclude that $\Phi_{2,p}$ is a bijection between $\mathbb{F}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, p}^{(2)}$ and $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, p}^{(2)}$. This completes the proof. ■

For example, for $p = 3$, let

$$GG(\lambda) = \begin{bmatrix} & & 4 & & \mathbf{8} & & & & & & & & & & 3 \\ & & & 2 & & 4 & & & & & & & & \overline{11} & & 2 \\ & & & & \overline{1} & & \overline{4} & & & & & & & & & & 1 \\ & & & & & & & \mathbf{7} & & & & \mathbf{10} & & & & & \overline{13} \end{bmatrix}$$

be a Göllnitz-Gordon marking representation of λ in $\mathbb{F}_{5,4,2;3,3}^{(2)}$. Applying the bijection $\Phi_{2,3}$ to λ , we get

$$GG(\mu) = \begin{bmatrix} & & 4 & & \mathbf{9} & & & & & & & & & & 3 \\ & & & 2 & & 4 & & & & & & & & & \overline{11} & & 2 \\ & & & & \overline{1} & & \overline{4} & & & & & & & & & & & 1 \\ & & & & & & & \mathbf{8} & & & & \overline{10} & & & & & & \overline{13} \end{bmatrix},$$

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 which is in $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{5,4,2;3,3}^{(2)}$
9

10 **Lemma 4.6.** For $1 < p \leq N_1$, there is a bijection $\Phi_{3,p}$ between $\mathbb{F}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, p}^{(3)}$ and
11 $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, p}^{(3)}$. Furthermore, for $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, p}^{(3)}$ and $\mu = \Phi_{3,p}(\lambda) \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, p}^{(3)}$, we
12 have
13

$$14 \quad |\mu| = |\lambda| + 2, \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_j^{(1)} = \mu_j^{(1)} \quad \text{for } j \neq p, p+1.$$

15 *Proof.* Let $\lambda^{(1)} = (\lambda_1^{(1)}, \lambda_2^{(1)}, \dots, \lambda_{N_1}^{(1)})$ be the first sub-overpartition of λ in $\mathbb{F}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, p}^{(3)}$.
16 By definition, we see that $\lambda_p^{(1)}$ is an overlined even part, set $\lambda_p^{(1)} = \overline{2t}$. Note that $\lambda \in$
17 $\mathbb{F}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, p}^{(3)}$, so $\overline{2t+1}$ does not occur in λ and $\lambda_j^{(1)}$ is an overlined odd part or a non-
18 overlined even part for all $j \in \{p+1, \dots, N_1\}$. This implies that there are no parts of
19 size $2t+1$ in λ . Set $\lambda_{p+1}^{(1)} = \overline{2a+1}$ (resp. $2a+2$), where $a \geq t+1$.
20

21 To define $\Phi_{3,p}$, we introduce an index r which is related to the marks of the parts $2t$
22 in λ . There are three cases: If $\lambda_{p-1}^{(1)} = \overline{2t-2}$, or $2t-2$, or $\overline{2t-1}$, or $2t-1$, then set $r = 1$;
23 If $|\lambda_{p-1}^{(1)}| \leq 2t-3$ and there exists b such that there are b -marked parts $2t-2$ and $2t$ in λ ,
24 then set $r = b$; Otherwise, set r to be the largest mark of the parts of size $2t$ in λ . Since
25 $\lambda_p^{(1)} = \overline{2t}$, we see that $r \geq 1$.
26

27 For $1 < p \leq N_1$, define $\mu = \Phi_{3,p}(\lambda)$ which can be obtained from λ by the following
28 two operations:
29

30 (1) When $r = 1$, replace $\lambda_p^{(1)} = \overline{2t}$ by $2t+2$; When $r \geq 2$, replace $\lambda_p^{(1)} = \overline{2t}$ by $2t$ and
31 replace the r -marked part $2t$ in λ by $2t+2$.
32

33 (2) When $1 < p < N_1$, replace $\lambda_{p+1}^{(1)} = \overline{2a+1}$ (resp. $2a+2$) by $2a+1$ (resp. $\overline{2a+2}$);
34 When $p = N_1$, we shall do nothing.
35

36 Obviously, $|\mu| = |\lambda| + 2$. We first show that μ is an overpartition in $\mathbb{F}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i}$. We
37 assert that the parts from λ in μ have the same marks as in λ and the newly generated
38 part $2a+1$ (resp. $\overline{2a+2}$) in μ is marked with 1; When $r \geq 1$, the newly generated part
39 $2t+2$ in μ replacing the r -marked part of size $2t$ is marked with r ; When $r \geq 2$, the
40 newly generated part $2t$ replacing $\lambda_p^{(1)} = \overline{2t}$ is marked with 1. From the construction of
41 μ and the definition of Göllnitz-Gordon marking, it is obvious that the marks of parts of
42 size not exceeding $2t-1$ in μ are the same as in λ . We proceed to show that this assertion
43 holds for parts of size not exceeding $2t+2$ in μ . We consider the following two cases:
44

45 (1) When $r = 1$, there are two subcases:
46

47 (1.1) When $\lambda_{p-1}^{(1)} = \overline{2t-2}$, or $2t-2$, or $\overline{2t-1}$, or $2t-1$, by the definition of Göllnitz-
48 Gordon marking, we see that the parts $2t$ from λ in μ have the same marks as in λ and
49 the newly generated part $2t+2$ in μ replacing $\lambda_p^{(1)} = \overline{2t}$ should be marked with 1. Since
50 there are no parts of size $2t+1$ in λ , from the construction of μ , we see that there are no
51 parts of size $2t+1$ in μ . Note that the newly generated part $2t+2$ in μ is marked with
52 1, so we conclude that the marks of parts $2t+2$ from λ in μ are the same as in λ .
53

54 (1.2) When $|\lambda_{p-1}^{(1)}| \leq 2t-3$, and there is only one part of size $2t$ in λ , that is, $\lambda_p^{(1)} = \overline{2t}$,
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 from the construction of μ , we see that there are no parts of size $2t$ or $2t + 1$ in μ and the
9 newly generated part $2t + 2$ in μ replacing $\lambda_p^{(1)} = \overline{2t}$ is marked with 1. Furthermore, the
10 marks of the parts $2t + 2$ from λ in μ stay the same as in λ .
11

12 (2) When $r \geq 2$, then either there are r -marked parts $2t - 2$ and $2t$ in λ , or r is the
13 largest mark of the parts $2t$ in λ . By the definition of Göllnitz-Gordon marking, we see
14 that there are 1-marked, \dots , r -marked parts of size $2t$ in λ . It follows that the marks of
15 the parts $2t + 2$ in λ are greater than r . Note that $|\lambda_{p-1}^{(1)}| \leq 2t - 3$, so the newly generated
16 part $2t$ in μ replacing $\lambda_p^{(1)} = \overline{2t}$ is marked with 1 and the marks of parts $2t$ from λ in μ
17 are the same as in λ . This means that there are 1-marked, \dots , $(r - 1)$ -marked $2t$'s in
18 μ . Since there are no parts of size $2t + 1$ in μ , we deduce that the newly generated part
19 $2t + 2$ in μ replacing the r -marked part $2t$ in λ is marked with r and the marks of the
20 parts $2t + 2$ from λ in μ are the same as in λ . Furthermore, r is the smallest mark of the
21 parts $2t + 2$ in μ .
22
23
24
25

26 It remains to show that the assertion holds for parts of size greater than $2t + 2$ in μ . By
27 the definition of Göllnitz-Gordon marking, it is easy to see that the newly generated part
28 $2a + 1$ (resp. $\overline{2a + 2}$) in μ replacing $\lambda_{p+1}^{(1)}$ is marked with 1, which has the same size with
29 $\lambda_{p+1}^{(1)}$ in λ . Furthermore, it should be noted that the newly generated r -marked part $2t + 2$
30 in μ replacing the r -marked part of size $2t$ in λ could affect the mark of the r -marked part
31 of size $2t + 3$ (resp. $2t + 4$) in μ . Hence it suffices to show that the r -marked part of size
32 $2t + 3$ (resp. $2t + 4$) from λ in μ is also marked with r in μ . We consider the following
33 two cases:
34
35
36

37 (1) If $r = 1$ and note that $\lambda_p^{(1)} = \overline{2t}$ and $|\lambda_{p+1}^{(1)}| \geq 2t + 3$, then $\lambda_{p+1}^{(1)} = \overline{2t + 3}$ (resp.
38 $2t + 4$), this part will become $2t + 3$ (resp. $\overline{2t + 4}$) in μ , and by the definition of Göllnitz-
39 Gordon marking, we see that $2t + 3$ (resp. $\overline{2t + 4}$) in μ is also marked with 1.
40

41 (2) If $r \geq 2$, then we shall first show that there is no r -marked $\overline{2t + 3}$ in μ . By the
42 definition of λ , we see that the marks of the parts of size $2t + 2$ in λ are greater than r .
43 It follows that $\overline{2t + 3}$ in λ is marked with 1, and so there is no r -marked $\overline{2t + 3}$ in μ . If
44 there is an r -marked $2t + 4$ in λ , then there are 2-marked, \dots , $(r - 1)$ -marked parts $2t + 4$
45 and a 1-marked $\overline{2t + 3}$ (resp. $2t + 4$) in λ . Note that $\lambda_{p+1}^{(1)} = \overline{2t + 3}$ (resp. $2t + 4$) will
46 become $2t + 3$ (resp. $\overline{2t + 4}$) in μ , which is also marked with 1 in μ . Moreover, there is
47 no 1-marked $\overline{2t + 2}$ or $2t + 2$ or $\overline{2t + 3}$ in μ , and r is the smallest mark of the parts $2t + 2$
48 in μ , so the r -marked part $2t + 4$ in λ will also be marked with r in μ .
49
50
51
52

53 Hence we have proved that the assertion holds for parts of size greater than $2t + 2$ in
54 μ . So we conclude that μ is an overpartition in $\mathbb{F}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i}$.
55

56 Let $\mu^{(1)} = (\mu_1^{(1)}, \mu_2^{(1)}, \dots, \mu_{N_1}^{(1)})$ be the first sub-overpartition of μ . From the above
57 proof, we see that $\lambda_j^{(1)} = \mu_j^{(1)}$ for $j \neq p, p + 1$. Furthermore, $\mu_p^{(1)}$ is a non-overlined
58 even part, $\mu_{p+1}^{(1)}$ is a non-overlined odd part (resp. an overlined even part), and $\mu_j^{(1)}$ is an
59 overlined odd part or a non-overlined even part for all $j \in \{p + 2, \dots, N_1\}$. Again, by the
60 above proof, we see that there is no overlined odd part of size $|\mu_p^{(1)}| + 1$ in μ . This proves
61
62
63
64
65

that $\mu \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, p}^{(3)}$.

To prove that $\Phi_{3,p}$ is a bijection, we construct the inverse map $\Psi_{3,p}$ of $\Phi_{3,p}$. Let $\mu^{(1)} = (\mu_1^{(1)}, \mu_2^{(1)}, \dots, \mu_{N_1}^{(1)})$ be the first sub-overpartition of μ in $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, p}^{(3)}$. By definition, we see that $\mu_p^{(1)}$ is a non-overlined even part and $\mu_{p+1}^{(1)}$ is a non-overlined odd part (resp. an overlined even part). Let $\mu_p^{(1)} = 2t$ and $\mu_{p+1}^{(1)} = 2a + 1$ (resp. $\overline{2a + 2}$). Note that $p > 1$, so $t \geq 2$. By the definition of Göllnitz-Gordon marking, we see that $a \geq t$. Since $\mu \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, p}^{(3)}$, $\overline{2t + 1}$ does not occur in μ .

To define $\Psi_{3,p}$, we define an index r' related to the sizes of $\mu_p^{(1)} = 2t$ and $\mu_{p+1}^{(1)} = 2a + 1$ (resp. $\overline{2a + 2}$). If $a = t$, or $a > t$ and $2t + 2$ does not occur in μ , then set $r' = 1$; If $a > t$ and $2t + 2$ occurs in μ , then set r' to be the smallest mark of the parts $2t + 2$ in μ .

For $1 < p \leq N_1$, define $\lambda = \Psi_{3,p}(\mu)$ which is obtained from μ by doing the following two operations.

(1) When $p = N_1$, we shall do nothing; When $1 < p < N_1$, replace $\mu_{p+1}^{(1)} = 2a + 1$ (resp. $\overline{2a + 2}$) by $\overline{2a + 1}$ (resp. $2a + 2$).

(2) When $r' = 1$, replace $\mu_p^{(1)} = 2t$ by $\overline{2t - 2}$; When $r' \geq 2$, replace the r' -marked $2t + 2$ in μ by $2t$ and replace $\mu_p^{(1)} = 2t$ by $\overline{2t}$.

Obviously, $|\mu| = |\lambda| + 2$. It can be proved that $\lambda = \Psi_{3,p}(\mu) \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, p}^{(3)}$ and $\Psi_{3,p}$ is the inverse map of $\Phi_{3,p}$. So, we conclude that $\Phi_{3,p}$ is a bijection between $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, p}^{(3)}$ and $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, p}^{(3)}$. This completes the proof. \blacksquare

For example, for $p = 5$, let

$$GG(\lambda) = \left[\begin{array}{cccccc} & & 4 & & \mathbf{10} & & 14 \\ & 2 & & & \overline{7} & & 10 \\ \overline{1} & & 3^2 & & 6 & & \overline{10} & & \overline{13} \end{array} \right] \begin{array}{l} 3 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{array}$$

be the Göllnitz-Gordon marking representation of λ in $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{6,5,3;3,5}^{(3)}$. It can be checked that $r = 3$. Applying the bijection $\Phi_{3,5}$ to λ , we get

$$GG(\mu) = \left[\begin{array}{cccccc} & & 4 & & \mathbf{12} & & 14 \\ & 2 & & & 10 & & 14 \\ \overline{1} & & 3^2 & & 6 & & \mathbf{10} & & \mathbf{13} \end{array} \right] \begin{array}{l} 3 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{array},$$

which is in $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{6,5,3;3,5}^{(3)}$. Applying $\Psi_{3,5}$ to μ , we see that $r' = 3$ and $\Psi_{3,5}(\mu) = \lambda$.

For another example, for $p = 5$, let

$$GG(\lambda) = \left[\begin{array}{cccccc} & & 4 & & & & 14 \\ & 2 & & & 8 & & 14 \\ \overline{1} & & \overline{4} & & 7^2 & & \overline{8} & & \overline{13} \end{array} \right] \begin{array}{l} 3 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{array}$$

be the Göllnitz-Gordon marking representation of λ in $\mathbb{F}_{6,4,2;3,5}^{(3)}$. Applying the bijection $\Phi_{3,5}$ to λ , we see that $r = 1$ and

$$GG(\mu) = \begin{bmatrix} & 4 & & & 14 & & & & & 3 \\ & & 2 & & & & & & & & 2 \\ \bar{1} & & \bar{4} & & 7^2 & & \mathbf{10} & & \mathbf{13} & & & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

which is in $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{6,4,2;3,5}^{(3)}$. Applying $\Psi_{3,5}$ to μ , we obtain that $r' = 1$ and $\Psi_{3,5}(\mu) = \lambda$.

Lemma 4.7. *For $1 < p \leq N_1$, there is a bijection $\Phi_{4,p}$ between $\mathbb{F}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, p}^{(4)}$ and $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, p}^{(4)}$. Furthermore, for $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, p}^{(4)}$ and $\mu = \Phi_{4,p}(\lambda) \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, p}^{(4)}$, we have*

$$|\mu| = |\lambda| + 2, \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_j^{(1)} = \mu_j^{(1)} \quad \text{for } j \neq p, p+1.$$

Proof. Let $\lambda^{(1)} = (\lambda_1^{(1)}, \lambda_2^{(1)}, \dots, \lambda_{N_1}^{(1)})$ be the first sub-overpartition of λ in $\mathbb{F}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, p}^{(4)}$. By definition, we see that $\lambda_p^{(1)}$ is an overlined even part, set $\lambda_p^{(1)} = \overline{2t}$, and $\overline{2t+1}$ occurs in λ , assume that it is marked with s in λ . From the definition of Göllnitz-Gordon marking, it follows that $s \geq 2$. Note that $\lambda_j^{(1)}$ is an overlined odd part or a non-overlined even part for all $j \in \{p+1, \dots, N_1\}$, set $\lambda_{p+1}^{(1)} = \overline{2a+1}$ (resp. $2a+2$), by the definition of Göllnitz-Gordon marking, we see that $a \geq t+1$.

To define $\Phi_{4,p}$, we also need to use the index r defined in the bijection $\Phi_{3,p}$. Recall that if $\lambda_{p-1}^{(1)} = \overline{2t-2}$, or $2t-2$, or $\overline{2t-1}$, or $2t-1$, then $r = 1$. If $|\lambda_{p-1}^{(1)}| \leq 2t-3$ and there exists b such that there are b -marked parts $2t-2$ and $2t$ in λ , then $r = b$. Otherwise, set r to be the largest mark of the parts of size $2t$ in λ . By definition, we see that $s > r \geq 1$.

For $1 < p \leq N_1$, define $\mu = \Phi_{4,p}(\lambda)$ which can be obtained from λ by doing the following two operations.

(1) When $r = 1$, replace $\lambda_p^{(1)} = \overline{2t}$ by $\overline{2t+1}$ and replace the s -marked $\overline{2t+1}$ in λ by $2t+2$; When $r \geq 2$, first replace $\lambda_p^{(1)} = \overline{2t}$ by $2t$, and then replace the r -marked $2t$ in λ by $\overline{2t+1}$ and the s -marked $\overline{2t+1}$ in λ by $2t+2$.

(2) When $1 < p < N_1$, replace $\lambda_{p+1}^{(1)} = \overline{2a+1}$ (resp. $2a+2$) by $2a+1$ (resp. $\overline{2a+2}$); When $p = N_1$, we shall do nothing.

Obviously, $|\mu| = |\lambda| + 2$. We first show that μ is an overpartition in $\mathbb{F}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i}$. We will assert that the parts from λ in μ have the same marks as in λ and the newly generated parts in μ replacing the parts in λ have the same marks as their original parts in λ . By the definition of μ , it is obvious that the marks of parts of size not exceeding $2t-1$ in μ stay the same as in λ . We proceed to show that the marks of parts of size $2t$ and $2t+1$ from λ in μ are the same as in λ , the newly generated part $\overline{2t+1}$ replacing $\lambda_p^{(1)} = \overline{2t}$ is marked with 1 when $r = 1$, and the newly generated part $2t$ replacing $\lambda_p^{(1)} = \overline{2t}$ is marked with 1 and the newly generated part $\overline{2t+1}$ replacing the r -marked $2t$ in λ is marked with r when $r \geq 2$. It should be mentioned that $2t+1$ does not occur in λ . We consider the following two cases:

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 (1) When $r = 1$, in this case, we see that $2t - 2 \leq |\lambda_{p-1}^{(1)}| \leq 2t - 1$, or $|\lambda_{p-1}| \leq 2t - 3$
9 and there is only one part of size $2t$ in λ . There are two subcases:
10

11 (1.1) When $\lambda_{p-1}^{(1)} = \overline{2t - 2}$, or $2t - 2$, or $\overline{2t - 1}$, or $2t - 1$, by the definition of Göllnitz-
12 Gordon marking, we see that the marks of parts $2t$ from λ in μ are the same as in λ .
13 Note that $|\lambda_{p-1}^{(1)}| \leq 2t - 1$, so the newly generated part $\overline{2t + 1}$ in μ replacing $\lambda_p^{(1)}$ should
14 be marked with 1.
15

16 (1.2) When $|\lambda_{p-1}| \leq 2t - 3$ and there is only one part of size $2t$ in λ , that is, $\lambda_p^{(1)} = \overline{2t}$,
17 there are no parts of size $2t$ in μ , so the newly generated part $\overline{2t + 1}$ in μ replacing $\lambda_p^{(1)} = \overline{2t}$
18 is marked with 1.
19

20 (2) When $r \geq 2$, either $|\lambda_{p-1}^{(1)}| \leq 2t - 3$ and there are r -marked parts $2t - 2$ and $2t$
21 in λ , or r is the largest mark of the parts $2t$ in λ . By the definition of Göllnitz-Gordon
22 marking, we see that there are 1-marked, \dots , r -marked parts of size $2t$ in λ . Note that
23 $|\lambda_{p-1}| \leq 2t - 3$, it follows that the newly generated part $2t$ replacing $\lambda_p^{(1)} = \overline{2t}$ is marked
24 with 1 and the marks of parts $2t$ from λ in μ stay the same as in λ . Hence there are 1-
25 marked, \dots , $(r - 1)$ -marked $2t$'s in μ . Therefore the newly generated part $\overline{2t + 1}$ replacing
26 the r -marked $2t$ in λ is marked with r in μ .
27
28

29 Next, we show that the newly generated part $2t + 2$ in μ replacing the s -marked $\overline{2t + 1}$
30 in λ is marked with s and the marks of parts $2t + 2$ from λ in μ are the same as in λ .
31 Since there is an s -marked $\overline{2t + 1}$ in λ , there are 1-marked, \dots , $(s - 1)$ -marked parts of
32 size $2t$ in λ . From the preceding proof and the definition of μ , it follows that there are
33 1-marked, \dots , $(r - 1)$ -marked, $(r + 1)$ -marked, \dots , $(s - 1)$ -marked $2t$'s in μ , and there
34 is an r -marked $\overline{2t + 1}$ in μ . Hence the newly generated part $2t + 2$ in μ replacing the
35 s -marked $\overline{2t + 1}$ in λ should be marked with s . Furthermore, the marks of parts $2t + 2$
36 from λ in μ are the same as in λ .
37
38

39 It remains to show that the marks of parts of size greater than $2t + 2$ in μ stay the
40 same as in λ . By the definition of Göllnitz-Gordon marking, it is easy to see that the
41 newly generated s -marked $2t + 2$ in μ replacing the s -marked $\overline{2t + 1}$ in λ only affects the
42 mark of the s -marked part of size $2t + 3$ or $2t + 4$ in μ . Since $s \geq 2$, we see that there
43 is no s -marked $\overline{2t + 3}$ in λ , and so there is no s -marked $\overline{2t + 3}$ in μ . Hence it suffices to
44 show that the s -marked $2t + 4$ in λ is also marked with s in μ even if the s -marked $\overline{2t + 1}$
45 in λ is replaced by the s -marked $2t + 2$ in μ .
46
47

48 Note that there is an s -marked $\overline{2t + 1}$ in λ , so there are a 1-marked $\overline{2t}$ and 2-marked,
49 \dots , $(s - 1)$ -marked $2t$'s in λ . It follows that the marks of the parts $2t + 2$ in λ are greater
50 than s . Hence we conclude that if there exists an s -marked $2t + 4$ in λ , then there are a
51 1-marked $\overline{2t + 3}$ (resp. $2t + 4$) and 2-marked, \dots , $(s - 1)$ -marked $(2t + 4)$'s in λ . Note that
52 $\lambda_{p+1}^{(1)} = \overline{2t + 3}$ (resp. $2t + 4$) will become $2t + 3$ (resp. $\overline{2t + 4}$) in μ , which is also marked
53 with 1 in μ . Moreover, there is no 1-marked $\overline{2t + 2}$ or $2t + 2$ or $\overline{2t + 3}$ in μ , and s is the
54 least mark of the parts $2t + 2$ in μ , so by the definition of Göllnitz-Gordon marking, we
55 see that the s -marked $2t + 4$ in λ will also be marked with s in μ .
56
57
58
59
60
61

62 Thus, we have shown that the marks of parts in μ are the same as the marks of their
63
64
65

original parts in λ . Hence μ is an overpartition in $\mathbb{F}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i}$.

Let $\mu^{(1)} = (\mu_1^{(1)}, \mu_2^{(1)}, \dots, \mu_{N_1}^{(1)})$ be the first sub-overpartition of μ . It can be seen from the above proof that $\lambda_j^{(1)} = \mu_j^{(1)}$ for $j \neq p, p+1$ and $\mu_p^{(1)}$ is an overlined odd part or a non-overlined even part. Furthermore, if $\mu_p^{(1)}$ is an overlined odd part, then there is a non-overlined even part of size $|\mu_p^{(1)}| + 1$ in μ , and $|\mu_{p+1}^{(1)}| \geq |\mu_p^{(1)}| + 2$. If $\mu_p^{(1)}$ is a non-overlined even part, then there are an overlined odd part of size $|\mu_p^{(1)}| + 1$ and a non-overlined even part of size $|\mu_p^{(1)}| + 2$ in μ , and $|\mu_{p+1}^{(1)}| > |\mu_p^{(1)}| + 2$. Moreover, it is easy to see that $\mu_{p+1}^{(1)}$ is a non-overlined odd part (resp. an overlined even part), and $\mu_j^{(1)}$ is an overlined odd part or a non-overlined even part for all $j \in \{p+2, \dots, N_1\}$. This proves that μ is an overpartition in $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, p}^{(4)}$.

We proceed to construct the inverse map $\Psi_{4,p}$ of $\Phi_{4,p}$, where $1 < p \leq N_1$. Let $\mu^{(1)} = (\mu_1^{(1)}, \mu_2^{(1)}, \dots, \mu_{N_1}^{(1)})$ be the first sub-overpartition of μ in $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, p}^{(4)}$. By definition, we see that $\mu_p^{(1)}$ is an overlined odd part or a non-overlined even part and $\mu_{p+1}^{(1)}$ is a non-overlined odd part (resp. an overlined even part). If $\mu_p^{(1)}$ is an overlined odd part, set $\mu_p^{(1)} = \overline{2t+1}$ and $\mu_{p+1}^{(1)} = 2a+1$ (resp. $\overline{2a+2}$), then by definition, we see that $2t+2$ occurs in μ and $a \geq t+1$. If $\mu_p^{(1)}$ is a non-overlined even part, set $\mu_p^{(1)} = 2t$ and $\mu_{p+1}^{(1)} = 2a+1$ (resp. $\overline{2a+2}$), then $\overline{2t+1}$ and $2t+2$ occur in μ and $a \geq t+1$.

Let r' be the mark of $\overline{2t+1}$ in μ and s' be the smallest mark of the parts $2t+2$ in μ . It follows from the definition of Göllnitz-Gordon marking that $s' > r' \geq 1$. Define $\lambda = \Psi_{4,p}(\mu)$ which is obtained from μ by doing the following two operations.

(1) When $p = N_1$, we shall do nothing; When $p < N_1$, replace $\mu_{p+1}^{(1)} = 2a+1$ (resp. $\overline{2a+2}$) by $\overline{2a+1}$ (resp. $2a+2$).

(2) When $r' = 1$, replace $\mu_p^{(1)} = \overline{2t+1}$ by $\overline{2t}$ and replace the s' -marked $2t+2$ in μ by $\overline{2t+1}$. When $r' \geq 2$, replace $\mu_p^{(1)} = 2t$ by $\overline{2t}$, replace the r' -marked $\overline{2t+1}$ in μ by $2t$ and replace the s' -marked $2t+2$ in μ by $\overline{2t+1}$.

It can be verified that $\lambda = \Psi_{4,p}(\mu) \in \mathbb{F}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, p}^{(4)}$ and $|\mu| = |\lambda| + 2$, and $\Psi_{4,p}$ is the inverse map of $\Phi_{4,p}$. So, we conclude that $\Phi_{4,p}$ is a bijection between $\mathbb{F}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, p}^{(4)}$ and $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, p}^{(4)}$. This completes the proof. \blacksquare

For example, for $p = 4$, let

$$GG(\lambda) = \begin{bmatrix} & & & & \overline{7} & 10 &] & 3 \\ & & & & & 10 &] & 2 \\ \overline{1} & 2 & & & 6 & &] & \\ & & 4 & 5 & \overline{6} & &] & 10 &] & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

be the Göllnitz-Gordon marking representation of λ in $\mathbb{F}_{5,3,2;3,4}^{(4)}$. Applying the bijection

$\Phi_{4,4}$ to λ , we see that $r = 1$, $s = 3$, and

$$GG(\mu) = \begin{bmatrix} & & & & \mathbf{8} & 10 \\ & 2 & & 6 & & 10 \\ \bar{1} & & 4 & 5 & \bar{7} & \bar{10} \\ & & & & & \end{bmatrix} \begin{matrix} 3 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{matrix},$$

which is in $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{5,3,2;3,4}^{(4)}$. Applying $\Psi_{4,4}$ to μ , we have $r' = 1$, $s' = 3$, and $\Psi_{4,4}(\mu) = \lambda$.

For example, for $p = 3$, let

$$GG(\lambda) = \begin{bmatrix} & & & \bar{7} & & 14 \\ & 2 & & \mathbf{6} & 10 & \bar{13} \\ \bar{1} & & 3 & \bar{6} & \bar{9} & 12 \\ & & & & & \end{bmatrix} \begin{matrix} 3 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{matrix}$$

be the Göllnitz-Gordon marking representation of λ in $\mathbb{F}_{5,4,2;3,3}^{(4)}$. Applying the bijection $\Phi_{4,3}$ to λ , we see that $r = 2$, $s = 3$, and

$$GG(\mu) = \begin{bmatrix} & & & \mathbf{8} & & 14 \\ & 2 & & \bar{7} & 10 & \bar{13} \\ \bar{1} & & 3 & \mathbf{6} & \mathbf{9} & 12 \\ & & & & & \end{bmatrix} \begin{matrix} 3 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{matrix},$$

which is in $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{5,4,2;3,3}^{(4)}$. Applying $\Psi_{4,3}$ to μ , we have $r' = 2$, $s' = 3$, and $\Psi_{4,3}(\mu) = \lambda$.

We conclude this section by giving a proof of Lemma 4.2.

Proof of Lemma 4.2. Supposed that $k \geq i \geq 1$, $N_1 \geq N_2 \geq \dots \geq N_{k-1} \geq 0$ and $1 < p \leq N_1$. From the definitions of $\mathbb{F}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, p}^{(l)}$ and $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, p}^{(l)}$ ($1 \leq l \leq 4$), we have

$$\mathbb{F}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, p} = \bigcup_{l=1}^4 \mathbb{F}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, p}^{(l)}$$

and

$$\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, p} = \bigcup_{l=1}^4 \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, p}^{(l)}.$$

Let $\lambda \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, p}$, define

$$\mu = \Phi_p(\lambda) = \begin{cases} \Phi_{1,p}(\lambda), & \text{if } \lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, p}^{(1)}; \\ \Phi_{2,p}(\lambda), & \text{if } \lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, p}^{(2)}; \\ \Phi_{3,p}(\lambda), & \text{if } \lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, p}^{(3)}; \\ \Phi_{4,p}(\lambda), & \text{if } \lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, p}^{(4)}. \end{cases}$$

Combining Lemma 4.4, Lemma 4.5, Lemma 4.6 and Lemma 4.7, we conclude that Φ_p is a bijection between $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, p}$ and $\mathbb{F}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, p}$. Furthermore, for $\lambda \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, p}$ and $\mu = \Phi_p(\lambda) \in \mathbb{F}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, p}$, we have

$$|\mu| = |\lambda| + 2, \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_j^{(1)} = \mu_j^{(1)} \quad \text{for } j \neq p, p+1.$$

5 Proof of Lemma 3.6

Let \mathbb{D}_N denote the set of partitions $\eta = (\eta_1, \eta_2, \dots, \eta_\ell)$ with distinct negative odd parts which lie in $[1 - 2N, -1]$, that is, η_j is negative and odd for $1 \leq j \leq \ell$ and $1 - 2N \leq \eta_1 < \eta_2 < \dots < \eta_\ell \leq -1$. It is clear to see that the generating function for partitions in \mathbb{D}_N is:

$$\sum_{\eta \in \mathbb{D}_N} q^{|\eta|} = (1 + q^{1-2N})(1 + q^{3-2N}) \cdots (1 + q^{-1}) = (-q^{1-2N}; q^2)_N.$$

Hence Lemma 3.6 is equivalent to the following combinatorial statement.

Theorem 5.1. *For $k \geq i \geq 1$ and $N_1 \geq N_2 \geq \dots \geq N_{k-1} \geq 0$, there is a bijection Θ between $\mathbb{G}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i}$ and $\mathbb{D}_{N_1} \times \mathbb{E}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i}$ such that for $\mu \in \mathbb{G}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i}$ and $\Theta(\mu) = (\eta, \nu) \in \mathbb{D}_{N_1} \times \mathbb{E}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i}$, we have $|\mu| = |\eta| + |\nu|$.*

Let $\mu^{(r)} = (\mu_1^{(r)}, \mu_2^{(r)}, \dots, \mu_{N_r}^{(r)})$ be the r -th sub-overpartition of μ in $\mathbb{G}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i}$, where $1 \leq r \leq k-1$. From the definition of $\mathbb{G}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i}$, it is easy to see that $\mu_j^{(r)}$ is an overlined odd part or a non-overlined even part for $1 \leq r \leq k-1$ and $1 \leq j \leq N_r$. Observe that $\mathbb{E}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i}$ is the set of overpartitions in $\mathbb{G}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i}$ for which there are no overlined odd parts, so the key point in the construction of the bijection Θ is to remove all overlined odd parts of an overpartition in $\mathbb{G}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i}$ to get a new overpartition in $\mathbb{E}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i}$.

Similarly to the bijection Φ in Section 4, we will define three subsets $\mathbb{G}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, p}$, $\overline{\mathbb{G}}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, p}$ and $\overrightarrow{\mathbb{G}}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, p}$ of $\mathbb{G}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i}$. Then we build a bijection Θ_p between $\mathbb{G}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, p}$ and $\overline{\mathbb{G}}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, p}$ and a bijection $\Theta_{(p)}$ between $\mathbb{G}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, p}$ and $\overrightarrow{\mathbb{G}}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, p}$. Similarly, $\Theta_{(p)}$ can be obtained by successively using the bijection Θ_p and plays a crucial role in the construction of the bijection Θ in Theorem 5.1.

Let $\mu^{(1)} = (\mu_1^{(1)}, \mu_2^{(1)}, \dots, \mu_{N_1}^{(1)})$ be the first sub-overpartition of μ in $\mathbb{G}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i}$. To define the above three subsets of $\mathbb{G}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i}$, we divide the parts in $\mu^{(1)}$ into two classes. A part $\mu_j^{(1)}$ is of type O if $\mu_j^{(1)}$ is an overlined odd part or there is an overlined odd part of size $|\mu_j^{(1)}| + 1$ in μ , and a part $\mu_j^{(1)}$ is of type E if $\mu_j^{(1)}$ is a non-overlined even part and there is no overlined odd part of size $|\mu_j^{(1)}| + 1$ in μ . We say that two parts $\mu_{j_1}^{(1)}$ and $\mu_{j_2}^{(1)}$ are of the same type if they are both of type O or they are both of type E. For example, let

$$GG(\mu) = \begin{bmatrix} \mu^{(3)} \\ \mu^{(2)} \\ \mu^{(1)} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} & & 4 & & 10 & & 14 & & \\ & 2 & & 6 & & \bar{9} & & 12 & & \\ \bar{1} & & 4 & & 8 & & & 12 & & 16 & \\ & & & & & & & & & & \end{bmatrix} \begin{matrix} 3 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{matrix}$$

be the Göllnitz-Gordon marking representation of μ in $\mathbb{G}_{5,4,3,3}$. By definition, we see that the parts $\mu_1^{(1)} = \bar{1}$ and $\mu_3^{(1)} = 8$ are of type O, and the parts $\mu_2^{(1)} = 4$, $\mu_4^{(1)} = 12$ and $\mu_5^{(1)} = 16$ are of type E.

Let $k \geq i \geq 1$ and $N_1 \geq N_2 \geq \dots \geq N_{k-1} \geq 0$ be given. For $1 \leq p \leq N_1$, the subsets $\mathbb{G}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, p}$, $\overline{\mathbb{G}}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, p}$ and $\overrightarrow{\mathbb{G}}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, p}$ are described by using the first sub-overpartition $\mu^{(1)} = (\mu_1^{(1)}, \mu_2^{(1)}, \dots, \mu_{N_1}^{(1)})$ of an overpartition μ in $\mathbb{G}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i}$, where $\mu_1^{(1)} < \mu_2^{(1)} < \dots < \mu_{N_1}^{(1)}$.

◇ Let $\mathbb{G}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, p}$ be the set of overpartitions μ in $\mathbb{G}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i}$ such that (1) $\mu_p^{(1)}$ is of type O; (2) $\mu_j^{(1)}$ is of type E for all $j \in \{p+1, \dots, N_1\}$.

◇ Let $\overline{\mathbb{G}}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, p}$ be the set of overpartitions μ in $\mathbb{G}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i}$ such that (1) $\mu_p^{(1)}$ is of type E; (2) $\mu_{p+1}^{(1)}$ is of type O; (3) $\mu_j^{(1)}$ is of type E for all $j \in \{p+2, \dots, N_1\}$.

◇ Let $\overrightarrow{\mathbb{G}}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, p}$ be the set of overpartitions μ in $\mathbb{G}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i}$ such that $\mu_j^{(1)}$ is of type E for all $j \in \{p, \dots, N_1\}$.

By definition, it is easy to see that for $1 \leq p \leq N_1 - 2$,

$$\overline{\mathbb{G}}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, p} \subseteq \mathbb{G}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, p+1} \subseteq \overrightarrow{\mathbb{G}}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, p+2}.$$

Lemma 5.2. *For $1 \leq p \leq N_1$, there is a bijection Θ_p between $\mathbb{G}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, p}$ and $\overline{\mathbb{G}}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, p}$. Furthermore, for $\mu \in \mathbb{G}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, p}$ and $\nu = \Theta_p(\mu) \in \overline{\mathbb{G}}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, p}$, we have*

- (1) $\mu_j^{(1)}$ and $\nu_j^{(1)}$ are of the same type for $j \neq p, p+1$, and
- (2) $|\nu| = |\mu| + 2 - \delta_p^{N_1}$, where

$$\delta_p^{N_1} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } p = N_1; \\ 0, & \text{if } p \neq N_1. \end{cases}$$

Applying in succession the bijection in Lemma 5.2 leads to the following bijection between $\mathbb{G}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, p}$ and $\overrightarrow{\mathbb{G}}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, p}$.

Lemma 5.3. *For $1 \leq p \leq N_1$, there is a bijection $\Theta_{(p)}$ between $\mathbb{G}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, p}$ and $\overrightarrow{\mathbb{G}}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, p}$. Furthermore, for $\mu \in \mathbb{G}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, p}$ and $\nu = \Theta_{(p)}(\mu) \in \overrightarrow{\mathbb{G}}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, p}$, we have*

- (1) $\mu_j^{(1)}$ and $\nu_j^{(1)}$ are of the same type for $j < p$, and
- (2) $|\nu| = |\mu| + 2N_1 - 2p + 1$.

Proof. Define $\Theta_{(p)} = \Theta_{N_1} \Theta_{N_1-1} \dots \Theta_p$, by Lemma 5.2, it is easy to verify that $\Theta_{(p)}$ is a bijection between $\mathbb{G}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, p}$ and $\overrightarrow{\mathbb{G}}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, p}$ as desired. ■

Before giving a proof of Lemma 5.2, we give a proof of Theorem 5.1. Note that $\mathbb{E}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i}$ is the set of overpartitions in $\mathbb{G}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i}$ for which there are no overlined odd parts, so we could use the bijection $\Theta_{(p)}$ in succession to remove all overlined odd parts from an overpartition in $\mathbb{G}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i}$. Let μ be an overpartition in $\mathbb{G}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, p}$ and $\nu = \Theta_{(p)}(\mu)$, by Lemma 5.3, we see that the number of overlined odd parts in ν is one less than that in μ . Applying Lemma 5.3 repeatedly in μ , we can obtain an overpartition belonging to $\mathbb{E}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i}$.

Proof of Theorem 5.1. Let μ be an overpartition in $\mathbb{G}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i}$. We aim to define $\Theta(\mu) = (\eta, \nu)$ such that $|\eta| + |\nu| = |\mu|$, η is a partition in \mathbb{D}_{N_1} and ν is an overpartition in $\mathbb{E}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i}$. We consider the following two cases.

Case 1. If there are no overlined odd parts in μ , then set $\nu = \mu$ and $\eta = \emptyset$. It is easy to see that $\nu \in \mathbb{E}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i}$ and $|\nu| = |\mu|$.

Case 2. If there are $s \geq 1$ overlined odd parts in μ , then there are s parts of type O in the first sub-overpartition of μ . Note that if there is an overlined odd part in μ , say $\overline{2t+1}$, then it follows from the definition of Göllnitz-Gordon marking that there exists a 1-marked $\overline{2t+1}$ or $2t$ in μ . So, each overlined odd part in μ uniquely determines a part of type O in the first sub-overpartition of μ .

Let $\mu_{j_1}^{(1)}, \mu_{j_2}^{(1)}, \dots, \mu_{j_{s-1}}^{(1)}, \mu_{j_s}^{(1)}$ be the parts of type O in the first sub-overpartition $\mu^{(1)} = (\mu_1^{(1)}, \mu_2^{(1)}, \dots, \mu_{N_1}^{(1)})$ of μ , where $1 \leq j_1 < j_2 < \dots < j_s \leq N_1$. It is easy to see that $\mu \in \mathbb{G}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, j_s}$. Set

$$\eta = (1 - 2(N_1 - j_1 + 1), 1 - 2(N_1 - j_2 + 1), \dots, 1 - 2(N_1 - j_s + 1)).$$

Obviously, $\eta \in \mathbb{D}_{N_1}$. The overpartition ν can be obtained from μ by employing the bijection in Lemma 5.3 s times. We denote the intermediate overpartitions by $\gamma^0, \gamma^1, \dots, \gamma^s$ with $\gamma^0 = \mu$ and $\gamma^s = \nu$. For $1 \leq b \leq s$, the intermediate overpartition γ^b can be obtained from γ^{b-1} by using $\Theta_{(j_s-b+1)}$ in Lemma 5.3, that is, for $1 \leq b \leq s$,

$$\gamma^b = \Theta_{(j_s-b+1)}(\gamma^{b-1}).$$

Note that $\gamma^0 \in \mathbb{G}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, j_s}$, so by Lemma 5.3, we see that

$$\gamma^1 \in \mathbb{G}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, j_{s-1}} \text{ and } |\gamma^1| = |\mu| + 2N_1 - 2j_s + 1,$$

and the first $(j_s - 1)$ parts in the first sub-overpartitions of γ^1 and γ^0 are of the same type.

Successively employing Lemma 5.3, we derive that for $1 \leq b \leq s - 1$,

$$\gamma^b \in \mathbb{G}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, j_{s-p}} \text{ and } |\gamma^b| = |\mu| + \sum_{r=1}^b (2N_1 - 2j_{s-r+1} + 1),$$

and

$$\gamma^s \in \overrightarrow{\mathbb{G}}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, j_1} \text{ and } |\gamma^s| = |\mu| + \sum_{r=1}^s (2N_1 - 2j_{s-r+1} + 1).$$

Furthermore, for $1 \leq b \leq s$, the first $(j_{s-b+1} - 1)$ parts in the first sub-overpartitions of γ^b and γ^0 are of the same type. From the definition of μ , the first $(j_1 - 1)$ parts in the first sub-overpartition of μ are of type E, and by the definition of $\overrightarrow{\mathbb{G}}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, j_1}$, we derive that there are no overlined odd parts in γ^s . Hence

$$\nu = \gamma^s \in \mathbb{E}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i} \text{ and } |\nu| = |\mu| + \sum_{r=1}^s (2N_1 - 2j_{s-r+1} + 1).$$

It is easy to check that $|\eta| + |\nu| = |\mu|$. Therefore Θ is well-defined.

To prove that Θ is a bijection, we shall give a brief description of the inverse map Λ of Θ . Let ν be an overpartition in $\mathbb{E}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i}$ and η be a partition into distinct negative odd parts lying in $[1 - 2N_1, -1]$. We shall define $\Lambda(\eta, \nu) = \mu$ such that μ is an overpartition in $\mathbb{G}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i}$ and $|\eta| + |\nu| = |\mu|$. There are two cases.

Case 1. If $\eta = \emptyset$, then set $\mu = \nu$. Note that $\mathbb{E}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i} \subseteq \mathbb{G}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i}$, so $\mu \in \mathbb{G}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i}$ and there are no overlined odd parts in μ .

Case 2. If $\eta \neq \emptyset$, assume that

$$\eta = (1 - 2(N_1 - j_1 + 1), 1 - 2(N_1 - j_2 + 1), \dots, 1 - 2(N_1 - j_s + 1)),$$

where $1 \leq j_1 < j_2 < \dots < j_s \leq N_1$. Then μ can be recovered from ν by using the bijection in Lemma 5.3 s times. We denote the intermediate overpartitions by $\delta^s, \dots, \delta^0$ with $\delta^s = \nu$ and $\delta^0 = \mu$. For $1 \leq b \leq s$, the intermediate overpartition δ^{b-1} can be obtained from δ^b by using the bijection $\Theta_{(j_{s-b+1})}^{-1}$ in Lemma 5.3, that is $\delta^{b-1} = \Theta_{(j_{s-b+1})}^{-1}(\delta^b)$. By Lemma 5.3, we derive that μ is an overpartition in $\mathbb{G}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i}$ and $|\mu| = |\eta| + |\nu|$, and $\Lambda(\Theta(\mu)) = \mu$ for any μ in $\mathbb{G}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i}$. Hence we conclude that Θ is a bijection between $\mathbb{G}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i}$ and $\mathbb{D}_{N_1} \times \mathbb{E}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i}$. This completes the proof of Theorem 5.1. \blacksquare

We proceed to give a proof of Lemma 5.2.

Proof of Lemma 5.2. Let $\mu^{(1)} = (\mu_1^{(1)}, \mu_2^{(1)}, \dots, \mu_{N_1}^{(1)})$ be the first sub-overpartition of μ in $\mathbb{G}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, p}$. By definition, we see that $\mu_p^{(1)}$ is of type O and $\mu_j^{(1)}$ is of type E for all $j \in \{p+1, \dots, N_1\}$. If $\mu_p^{(1)}$ is an overlined odd part, then set $\mu_p^{(1)} = \overline{2t+1}$; If $\mu_p^{(1)}$ is a non-overlined even part, then set $\mu_p^{(1)} = 2t$, by the definition of type O, we see that there is an s -marked $\overline{2t+1}$ in μ , where $s \geq 2$.

For $1 \leq p \leq N_1$, define $\nu = \Theta_p(\mu)$ as follows. There are three cases.

Case 1 $1 \leq p < N_1$ and $\mu_p^{(1)} = \overline{2t+1}$. We see that $\mu_{p+1}^{(1)}$ is of type E, set $\mu_{p+1}^{(1)} = 2b+2$, and it follows from the definition of Göllnitz-Gordon marking that $b \geq t+1$. There are two subcases.

Case 1.1 If $b = t+1$, that is, $\mu_{p+1}^{(1)} = 2t+4$, then replace $\mu_p^{(1)} = \overline{2t+1}$ by $2t+2$ and replace $\mu_{p+1}^{(1)} = 2t+4$ by $\overline{2t+5}$.

Case 1.2 If $b > t + 1$, and set r to be the largest mark of the parts $2b + 2$ in μ , then replace $\mu_p^{(1)} = \overline{2t + 1}$ by $2t + 2$ and replace the r -marked $2b + 2$ in μ by $\overline{2b + 3}$.

Case 2 $1 \leq p < N_1$ and $\mu_p^{(1)} = 2t$. We see that $\mu_{p+1}^{(1)}$ is of type E, set $\mu_{p+1}^{(1)} = 2b + 2$ and $b \geq t + 1$. Note that there is an s -marked $\overline{2t + 1}$ in μ . There are two subcases.

Case 2.1 If there is an s -marked $2t + 4$ in μ , then replace the s -marked $\overline{2t + 1}$ in μ by $2t + 2$ and replace the s -marked $2t + 4$ in μ by $\overline{2t + 5}$.

Case 2.2 If there is no s -marked $2t + 4$ in μ , and set r to be the largest mark of the parts $2b + 2$ in μ , then replace the s -marked $\overline{2t + 1}$ in μ by $2t + 2$ and replace the r -marked $2b + 2$ in μ by $\overline{2b + 3}$.

Case 3 $p = N_1$. We consider the following two subcases.

Case 3.1 If $\mu_p^{(1)} = \overline{2t + 1}$, then replace $\mu_p^{(1)} = \overline{2t + 1}$ by $2t + 2$.

Case 3.2 If $\mu_p^{(1)} = 2t$, and there is an s -marked $\overline{2t + 1}$ in μ , then replace the s -marked $\overline{2t + 1}$ in μ by $2t + 2$.

Obviously, when $1 \leq p < N_1$, $|\nu| = |\mu| + 2$, and when $p = N_1$, $|\nu| = |\mu| + 1$. We next show that the parts from μ in ν have the same marks as in μ and the newly generated parts in ν replacing the parts in μ have the same marks as their original parts in μ . This implies that $\nu \in \mathbb{G}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i}$. By the definition of ν , it is obvious that the marks of parts of size not exceeding $2t - 1$ in ν stay the same as in μ . We now consider the marks of the newly generated parts in ν . There are two cases:

- If $\mu_p^{(1)} = \overline{2t + 1}$, then $|\mu_{p-1}^{(1)}| \leq 2t - 1$, and so there is no 1-marked $2t$ in ν . Hence the newly generated part $2t + 2$ replacing $\mu_p^{(1)} = \overline{2t + 1}$ in μ should be marked with 1 in ν and the parts $2t + 2$ from μ in ν have the same marks as in μ . Thus, the marks of parts $2t + 2$ in ν stay the same as in μ when $\mu_p^{(1)} = \overline{2t + 1}$ for $1 \leq p \leq N_1$.

For Case 1.1, since $\nu_p^{(1)} = 2t + 2$, it follows that the newly generated part $\overline{2t + 5}$ replacing $\mu_{p+1}^{(1)} = 2t + 4$ is marked with 1 in ν .

For Case 1.2, since $\nu_p^{(1)} = 2t + 2$ and $\mu_{p+1}^{(1)} = 2b + 2$, where $b > t + 1$, it follows from the definition of Göllnitz-Gordon marking that the newly generated part $\overline{2b + 3}$ replacing the r -marked $2b + 2$ in μ is marked with r in ν .

- If $\mu_p^{(1)} = 2t$, then there is an s -marked $\overline{2t + 1}$ in μ . It follows from the definition of Göllnitz-Gordon marking that there are 1-marked, \dots , $(s - 1)$ -marked $2t$'s in μ , and hence the newly generated part $2t + 2$ replacing the s -marked $\overline{2t + 1}$ in μ is also marked with s in ν and the marks of the parts $2t + 2$ from μ in ν are the same as in μ when $\mu_p^{(1)} = 2t$ for $1 \leq p \leq N_1$.

For Case 2.1, note that there is an s -marked $2t + 4$ in μ , so there are 1-marked, \dots , s -marked $(2t + 4)$'s in μ , and the parts $2t + 4$ from μ in ν have the same marks as

in μ . Furthermore the newly generated part $\overline{2t+5}$ replacing the s -marked $2t+4$ in μ is marked with s in ν .

For Case 2.2, note that there are 1-marked, \dots , r -marked $(2b+2)$'s in μ , so we derive that the parts $2b+2$ from μ in ν have the same marks as in μ and the newly generated part $\overline{2b+3}$ in ν replacing the r -marked $2b+2$ in μ should be marked with r in ν .

In all cases, we see that the marks of newly generated parts in ν are the same as the marks of their original parts in μ . Furthermore, the marks of the other parts from μ in ν are the same as in μ . Hence $\nu \in \mathbb{G}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i}$.

From the definition of ν , it is easy to check that $\nu_p^{(1)}$ is of type E, $\nu_{p+1}^{(1)}$ is of type O, and $\nu_j^{(1)}$ is of type E for all $j \in \{p+2, \dots, N_1\}$. Hence, we deduce that $\nu \in \overline{\mathbb{G}}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, p}$. Therefore, Θ_p is well-defined. Furthermore, $\mu_j^{(1)}$ and $\nu_j^{(1)}$ are of the same type for $j \neq p, p+1$.

To prove that Θ_p is a bijection, we give a brief description of the inverse map Λ_p of Θ_p for $1 \leq p \leq N_1$. Let $\nu^{(1)} = (\nu_1^{(1)}, \nu_2^{(1)}, \dots, \nu_{N_1}^{(1)})$ be the first sub-overpartition of ν in $\overline{\mathbb{G}}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, p}$. By definition, $\nu_p^{(1)}$ is of type E, $\nu_{p+1}^{(1)}$ is of type O, and $\nu_j^{(1)}$ is of type E for all $j \in \{p+2, \dots, N_1\}$. For $1 \leq p < N_1$, if $\nu_{p+1}^{(1)}$ is an overlined odd part, then set $\nu_{p+1}^{(1)} = \overline{2b+3}$; if $\nu_{p+1}^{(1)}$ is a non-overlined even part, then set $\nu_{p+1}^{(1)} = 2b+2$, by the definition of type O, we see that there is an r' -marked $\overline{2b+3}$ in ν , where $r' \geq 2$.

For $1 \leq p \leq N_1$, define $\mu = \Lambda_p(\nu)$ as follows. Here we set $\nu_p^{(1)} = 2t+2$. There are three cases.

Case 1 If $1 \leq p < N_1$ and $\nu_{p+1}^{(1)} = \overline{2b+3}$, then by the definition of Göllnitz-Gordon marking, we see that $t \leq b-1$. There are three subcases.

Case 1.1 If $t = b-1$, that is, $\nu_p^{(1)} = 2b$, then replace $\nu_{p+1}^{(1)} = \overline{2b+3}$ by $2b+2$ and replace $\nu_p^{(1)} = 2b$ by $\overline{2b-1}$.

Case 1.2 If $t < b-1$ and $2t+4$ does not occur in ν , then replace $\nu_p^{(1)} = 2t+2$ by $\overline{2t+1}$ and replace $\nu_{p+1}^{(1)} = \overline{2b+3}$ by $2b+2$.

Case 1.3 If $t < b-1$ and $2t+4$ occurs in ν , set s' to be the smallest mark of the parts $2t+4$ in ν , then replace $\nu_{p+1}^{(1)} = \overline{2b+3}$ by $2b+2$ and replace the s' -marked $2t+4$ in ν by $\overline{2t+3}$.

Case 2 If $1 \leq p < N_1$ and $\nu_{p+1}^{(1)} = 2b+2$, then it follows from the definition of Göllnitz-Gordon marking that $t < b-1$ and there is an r' -marked $\overline{2b+3}$ in ν . There are two subcases.

Case 2.1 If $2t+4$ does not occur in ν , then replace the r' -marked $\overline{2b+3}$ in ν by $2b+2$ and replace $\nu_p^{(1)} = 2t+2$ by $\overline{2t+1}$.

Case 2.2 If $2t + 4$ occurs in ν and set s' to be the smallest mark of the parts $2t + 4$ in ν , then replace the r' -marked $\overline{2b + 3}$ in ν by $2b + 2$ and replace the s' -marked $2t + 4$ in ν by $\overline{2t + 3}$.

Case 3 If $p = N_1$, then there are two subcases.

Case 3.1 If $2t + 4$ does not occur in ν , then replace $\nu_p^{(1)} = 2t + 2$ by $\overline{2t + 1}$.

Case 3.2 If $2t + 4$ occurs in ν , and set s' to be the smallest mark of the parts $2t + 4$ in ν , then replace the s' -marked $2t + 4$ in ν by $\overline{2t + 3}$.

It can be verified that $\Lambda_p(\nu) \in \mathbb{G}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i, p}$ and Λ_p is the inverse map of Θ_p . So, we conclude that Θ_p is a bijection. ■

We conclude this section by the following three examples for the bijection Θ_p in Lemma 5.2.

(1) For $p = 1$, let

$$GG(\mu) = \begin{bmatrix} & & 8 & & & & & & 3 \\ & & & 8 & & 12 & & & 2 \\ \bar{1} & 2 & & & 10 & & & & 1 \\ & & \mathbf{6} & & & & & & & 14 \end{bmatrix}$$

be the Göllnitz-Gordon marking representation of μ in $\mathbb{G}_{4,3,1;3,1}$. Note that $\mu_1^{(1)} = \bar{1}$ and $|\mu_2^{(1)}| = 6 > 4$, which satisfy the conditions in Case 1.2 in the definition of Θ_p , so $r = 1$. Applying the bijection Θ_1 to μ , we get

$$GG(\nu) = \begin{bmatrix} & & 8 & & & & & & 3 \\ & & & 8 & & 12 & & & 2 \\ \mathbf{2} & & & & 10 & & & & 1 \\ & & \bar{7} & & & & & & & 14 \end{bmatrix},$$

which is in $\overline{\mathbb{G}}_{4,3,1;3,1}$. Note that $\nu_1^{(1)} = 2$ and $\nu_2^{(1)} = \bar{7}$ and 4 does not occur in ν , which satisfy the conditions in Case 1.2 in the definition of Λ_p . Applying Λ_1 to ν , we recover μ .

(2) For $p = 3$, let

$$GG(\mu) = \begin{bmatrix} & & & 6 & & & & & 12 & & & & & 3 \\ & & & & \bar{5} & & \bar{9} & & & \mathbf{12} & & & & 2 \\ \bar{1} & 2 & & 4 & & & 8 & & & & \mathbf{12} & & & 1 \\ & & & & & & & & & & & & & & 12 \end{bmatrix}$$

be the Göllnitz-Gordon marking representation of μ in $\mathbb{G}_{4,4,2;3,3}$. Note that $\mu_3^{(1)} = 8$, $\mu_3^{(2)} = \bar{9}$ and $\mu_4^{(2)} = 12$, which satisfy the conditions in Case 2.1 in the definition of Θ_p , so $s = 2$. Employing the bijection Θ_3 to μ , we get

$$GG(\nu) = \begin{bmatrix} & & & 6 & & & & & 12 & & & & & 3 \\ & & & & \bar{5} & & \mathbf{10} & & & & \bar{13} & & & 2 \\ \bar{1} & 2 & & 4 & & & 8 & & & & & & & 1 \\ & & & & & & & & & & & & & & 12 \end{bmatrix},$$

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 which is in $\overline{\mathbb{G}}_{4,4,2;3,3}$. Note that $\nu_3^{(1)} = 8$, $\nu_3^{(2)} = 10$, $\nu_4^{(1)} = 12$ and $\nu_4^{(2)} = \overline{13}$, which satisfy
9 the conditions in Case 2.2 in the definition of Λ_p , so $r' = 2$. Applying Λ_3 to ν , we recover
10 μ .
11

12 (3) For $p = 4$, let
13

$$14 \quad GG(\mu) = \begin{bmatrix} & & 6 & & & 12 \\ & 2 & & 6 & & & \\ \overline{1} & & 4 & & \overline{7} & 10 & \overline{11} \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \end{bmatrix} \begin{matrix} 3 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{matrix}$$

15
16
17
18
19
20 be the Göllnitz-Gordon marking representation of μ in $\mathbb{G}_{4,3,2;3,4}$. Note that $\mu_4^{(1)} = 10$
21 and $\mu_3^{(2)} = \overline{11}$, which satisfy the conditions in Case 3.2 in the definition of Θ_p , so $s = 2$.
22 Applying the bijection Θ_4 to μ , we get
23

$$24 \quad GG(\nu) = \begin{bmatrix} & & 6 & & & 12 \\ & 2 & & 6 & & & \\ \overline{1} & & 4 & & \overline{7} & 10 & \mathbf{12} \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \end{bmatrix} \begin{matrix} 3 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{matrix},$$

25
26
27
28
29
30 which is in $\overline{\mathbb{G}}_{4,3,2;3,4}$. Note that $\nu_4^{(1)} = 10$ and $\nu_3^{(2)} = 12$, which satisfy the conditions in
31 Case 3.2 in the definition Λ_p , so $s' = 2$. Applying Λ_4 to ν , we recover μ .
32
33
34

35 6 Proof of Theorem 1.9

36
37
38 In this section, we complete the proof of Theorem 1.9. Using Lemma 3.5 and Lemma 3.6,
39 we first give a proof of the formula for the generating function of $F_{k,i}(m, n)$ in Theorem
40 3.4.
41

42
43 **Proof of Theorem 3.4.** First, we derive the following formula for the generating function
44 of the number of overpartitions λ in $\mathbb{E}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i}$ with the aid of the identity (3.1) due to
45 Kurşungöz.
46

$$47 \quad \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{E}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i}} q^{|\lambda|} = \frac{q^{2(N_1^2 + \dots + N_{k-1}^2 + N_i + \dots + N_{k-1})}}{(q^2; q^2)_{N_1 - N_2} \cdots (q^2; q^2)_{N_{k-2} - N_{k-1}} (q^2; q^2)_{N_{k-1}}}. \quad (6.1)$$

48
49
50
51
52 Recall that $\mathbb{B}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i}$ is the set of ordinary partitions η for which

$$53 \quad f_1(\eta) \leq i - 1 \quad \text{and} \quad f_t(\eta) + f_{t+1}(\eta) \leq k - 1 \quad (6.2)$$

54
55 such that there are N_r r -marked parts in the Gordon marking of η for $1 \leq r \leq k - 1$.
56

57
58 From the definitions in Section 3, we see that $\mathbb{E}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i}$ is also the set of ordinary
59 partitions λ without odd parts for which

$$60 \quad f_2(\lambda) \leq i - 1 \quad \text{and} \quad f_{2t}(\lambda) + f_{2t+2}(\lambda) \leq k - 1 \quad (6.3)$$

such that there are N_r r -marked parts in the Göllnitz-Gordon marking of λ for $1 \leq r \leq k-1$.

To show (6.1), we aim to build a bijection ϕ between $\mathbb{E}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i}$ and $\mathbb{B}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i}$ such that for $\lambda \in \mathbb{E}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i}$ and $\eta = \phi(\lambda) \in \mathbb{B}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i}$, we have $|\lambda| = 2|\eta|$. In terms of generating functions, we have

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{E}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i}} q^{|\lambda|} = \sum_{\eta \in \mathbb{B}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i}} q^{2|\eta|}. \quad (6.4)$$

Let $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_\ell)$ be a partition in $\mathbb{E}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i}$, where $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_\ell$, we see that λ_j is a non-overlined even part for $1 \leq j \leq \ell$ and λ satisfies (6.3). Define

$$\eta = \phi(\lambda) = \left(\frac{\lambda_1}{2}, \frac{\lambda_2}{2}, \dots, \frac{\lambda_\ell}{2} \right).$$

Clearly, $|\lambda| = 2|\eta|$. Furthermore, $f_t(\eta) = f_{2t}(\lambda)$ for $t \geq 1$, which implies that η satisfies (6.2). Hence it remains to show that there are N_r r -marked parts in the Gordon marking of η for $1 \leq r \leq k-1$.

By the definition of Göllnitz-Gordon marking, we see that the Göllnitz-Gordon marking of $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_\ell)$, where λ_j is a non-overlined even part for $1 \leq j \leq \ell$ can be described as follows: First, λ_1 is marked with 1, and for $p > 1$, assume that the part λ_j for $j < p$ has been assigned a mark. Then λ_p is marked with the least positive integer that is not used to mark the parts λ_j with $\lambda_p - \lambda_j \leq 2$ for $j < p$. For example, the Göllnitz-Gordon marking of $\lambda = (2, 2, 4, 4, 4, 6, 8, 10, 10, 12, 12, 12)$ is

$$GG(\lambda) = (2_1, 2_2, 4_3, 4_4, 4_5, 6_1, 8_2, 10_1, 10_3, 12_2, 12_4, 12_5).$$

We now consider the Gordon marking of $\eta = (\eta_1, \eta_2, \dots, \eta_\ell)$ where $\eta_1 \leq \eta_2 \leq \dots \leq \eta_\ell$. By definition, we see that η_1 is marked with 1, and for $p > 1$, assume that the part η_j has been assigned a mark for $j < p$. Then η_p is marked with the least positive integer that is not used to mark the parts η_j with $\eta_p - \eta_j \leq 1$ for $j < p$. Since $\eta_j = \lambda_j/2$ for $1 \leq j \leq \ell$, it can be checked that the mark of η_j in the Gordon marking of η is the same as the mark of λ_j in the Göllnitz-Gordon marking of λ for $1 \leq j \leq \ell$. For example, the Gordon marking of $\eta = \phi(\lambda) = (1, 1, 2, 2, 2, 3, 4, 5, 5, 6, 6, 6)$ is

$$G(\eta) = (1_1, 1_2, 2_3, 2_4, 2_5, 3_1, 4_2, 5_1, 5_3, 6_2, 6_4, 6_5).$$

Hence there are N_r r -marked parts in the Gordon marking of η for $1 \leq r \leq k-1$, and so $\eta \in \mathbb{B}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i}$. Furthermore, it is easy to see that this process is reversible. Therefore, we conclude that ϕ is a bijection between $\mathbb{E}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i}$ and $\mathbb{B}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i}$, and (6.4) holds. Substituting (3.1) into (6.4), we obtain (6.1).

Substituting (6.1) into the relation (3.13) in Lemma 3.6, we obtain the following generating function of the number of overpartitions in $\mathbb{G}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i}$.

$$\sum_{\mu \in \mathbb{G}_{N_1, \dots, N_{k-1}; i}} q^{|\mu|} = \frac{(-q^{1-2N_1}; q^2)_{N_1} q^{2(N_1^2 + \dots + N_{k-1}^2 + N_i + \dots + N_{k-1})}}{(q^2; q^2)_{N_1 - N_2} \cdots (q^2; q^2)_{N_{k-2} - N_{k-1}} (q^2; q^2)_{N_{k-1}}}. \quad (6.5)$$

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 Plugging (6.5) into the relation (3.12) in Lemma 3.5, we obtain (3.11), which yields the
9 generating function of $F_{k,i}(m, n)$ in Theorem 3.4. Thus we complete the proof. ■
10

11 By Theorem 3.4 and Lemma 3.3, we obtain the following generating function of
12 $H_{k,i}(m, n)$.
13

14 **Theorem 6.1.** For $k \geq i \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{m,n \geq 0} H_{k,i}(m, n) x^m q^n \\
 &= \sum_{N_1 \geq \dots \geq N_{k-1} \geq 0} \frac{(-q^{2-2N_1}; q^2)_{N_1-1} (-q^{1-2N_1}; q^2)_{N_1} q^{2(N_1^2 + \dots + N_{k-1}^2 + N_{i+1} + \dots + N_{k-1})} x^{N_1 + \dots + N_{k-1}}}{(q^2; q^2)_{N_1-N_2} \cdots (q^2; q^2)_{N_{k-2}-N_{k-1}} (q^2; q^2)_{N_{k-1}}}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{6.6}$$

15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26 *Proof.* From the relation (3.7) in Lemma 3.3, we deduce that for $1 \leq i \leq k-1$,
27

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{m,n \geq 0} H_{k,i}(m, n) x^m q^n \\
 &= \sum_{m,n \geq 0} F_{k,i+1}(m, n) x^m q^n \\
 &= \sum_{N_1 \geq \dots \geq N_{k-1} \geq 0} \frac{(-q^{2-2N_1}; q^2)_{N_1-1} (-q^{1-2N_1}; q^2)_{N_1} q^{2(N_1^2 + \dots + N_{k-1}^2 + N_{i+1} + \dots + N_{k-1})} x^{N_1 + \dots + N_{k-1}}}{(q^2; q^2)_{N_1-N_2} \cdots (q^2; q^2)_{N_{k-2}-N_{k-1}} (q^2; q^2)_{N_{k-1}}}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{6.7}$$

28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35 For $i = k$, from (3.8) in Lemma 3.3, it follows that
36

$$\sum_{m,n \geq 0} H_{k,k}(m, n) x^m q^n = \sum_{m,n \geq 0} F_{k,1}(m, n) (xq^{-2})^m q^n.$$

37
38
39
40
41
42 Using the generating function of $F_{k,1}(m, n)$, we obtain
43

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{m,n \geq 0} H_{k,k}(m, n) x^m q^n \\
 &= \sum_{N_1 \geq \dots \geq N_{k-1} \geq 0} \frac{(-q^{2-2N_1}; q^2)_{N_1-1} (-q^{1-2N_1}; q^2)_{N_1} q^{2(N_1^2 + \dots + N_{k-1}^2)} x^{N_1 + \dots + N_{k-1}}}{(q^2; q^2)_{N_1-N_2} \cdots (q^2; q^2)_{N_{k-2}-N_{k-1}} (q^2; q^2)_{N_{k-1}}}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{6.8}$$

44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52 Observe that the above formula (6.7) for $1 \leq i \leq k-1$ and (6.8) for $i = k$ take the same
53 form as in Theorem 6.1. Thus, we complete the proof of Theorem 6.1. ■
54
55

56 We conclude this paper with the proof of Theorem 1.9.
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

Proof of Theorem 1.9. Substituting (3.9) and (6.6) into the relation (3.6), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{m,n \geq 0} O_{k,i}(m,n)x^m q^n \\
&= \sum_{m,n \geq 0} F_{k,i}(m,n)x^m q^n + \sum_{m,n \geq 0} H_{k,i}(m,n)x^m q^n \\
&= \sum_{N_1 \geq \dots \geq N_{k-1} \geq 0} \frac{(-q^{2-2N_1}; q^2)_{N_1-1} (-q^{1-2N_1}; q^2)_{N_1} q^{2(N_1^2 + \dots + N_{k-1}^2 + N_{i+1} + \dots + N_{k-1})} (1 + q^{2N_i}) x^{N_1 + \dots + N_{k-1}}}{(q^2; q^2)_{N_1 - N_2} \cdots (q^2; q^2)_{N_{k-2} - N_{k-1}} (q^2; q^2)_{N_{k-1}}},
\end{aligned}$$

which is (1.6). This completes the proof. ■

Acknowledgments. This work was supported by the National Science Foundation of China. We are greatly indebted to referees for their helpful suggestions that improved the presentation of this paper.

References

- [1] A.K. Agarwal, G.E. Andrews and D.M. Bressoud, The Bailey lattice, *J. Ind. Math. Soc.* 51 (1987) 57–73.
- [2] G.E. Andrews, An analytical proof of the Rogers-Ramanujan-Gordon identities, *Amer. J. Math.* 88 (1966) 844–846.
- [3] G.E. Andrews, A generalization of the Göllnitz-Gordon partition theorem, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 18 (1967) 945–952.
- [4] G.E. Andrews, An analytic generalization of the Rogers-Ramanujan identities for odd moduli, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA* 71 (1974) 4082–4085.
- [5] G.E. Andrews, *The Theory of partitions*, Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., 1976.
- [6] G.E. Andrews, Multiple series Rogers-Ramanujan type identities, *Pacific J. Math.* 114 (1984) 267–283.
- [7] G.E. Andrews, *q-Series: Their Development and Application in Analysis, Number Theory, Combinatorics, Physics, and Computer Algebra*, American Mathematical Soc, 1986.
- [8] G.E. Andrews, Bailey’s transform, lemma, chains and tree, In: *Special Functions 2000*, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 2001, pp. 1–22.
- [9] W.N. Bailey, Identities of the Rogers-Ramanujan type, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* 50(2) (1949) 1–10.
- [10] D.M. Bressoud, Analytic and combinatorial generalizations of Rogers-Ramanujan identities, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* 24(227) (1980) 54pp.
- [11] D.M. Bressoud, M. Ismail and D. Stanton, Change of base in Bailey pairs, *Ramanujan J.* 4 (2000) 435–453.

- 1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
- [12] W.Y.C. Chen, D.D.M. Sang and D.Y.H. Shi, The Rogers-Ramanujan-Gordon theorem for overpartitions, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* 106 (3) (2013) 1371–1393.
 - [13] S. Corteel and O. Mallet, Overpartitions, lattice paths and Rogers-Ramanujan identities, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* 114 (8) (2007) 1407–1437.
 - [14] S. Corteel, J. Lovejoy, and O. Mallet, An extension to overpartitions of the Rogers-Ramanujan identities for even moduli, *J. Number Theory* 128 (2008) 1602–1621.
 - [15] H. Göllnitz, Partitionen mit differenzenbedingungen, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 225 (1967) 154–190.
 - [16] B. Gordon, A combinatorial generalization of the Rogers-Ramanujan identities, *Amer. J. Math.* 83 (1961) 393–399.
 - [17] B. Gordon, Some continued fractions of the Rogers-Ramanujan type, *Duke Math. J.* 31 (1965) 741–748.
 - [18] K. Kurşungöz, Parity considerations in Andrews-Gordon identities, *European J. Combin.* 31 (2010) 976–1000.
 - [19] J. Lovejoy, Gordon’s theorem for overpartitions, *J. Combin. Theory, Ser. A* 103 (2003) 393–401.
 - [20] J. Lovejoy, Overpartition theorems of the Rogers-Ramanujan type, *J. London Math. Soc.* 69 (2004) 562–574.
 - [21] J. Lovejoy, A Bailey lattice, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 132 (2004) 1507–1516.
 - [22] J. Lovejoy, Partitions and overpartitions with attached parts, *Arch. Math. (Basel)* 88 (2007) 316–322.
 - [23] J. Lovejoy, Partitions with rounded occurrences and attached parts, *Ramanujan J.* 23 (2010) 307–313.
 - [24] P. Paule, A note on Bailey’s lemma, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* 44 (1987) 164–167.
 - [25] L.J. Slater, Further identities of Rogers-Ramanujan type, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* 54(2) (1952) 147–167.
 - [26] S.O. Warnaar, 50 years of Bailey’s lemma, In: *Algebraic combinatorics and applications*, Springer, Berlin, 2001, pp. 333–347.