

CENTERED SOBOLEV INEQUALITY AND EXPONENTIAL CONVERGENCE IN Φ -ENTROPY

LINGYAN CHENG AND LIMING WU

ABSTRACT. In this short paper we find that the Sobolev inequality

$$\frac{1}{p-2} \left[\left(\int f^p d\mu \right)^{\frac{2}{p}} - \int f^2 d\mu \right] \leq C \int |\nabla f|^2 d\mu$$

($p \geq 0$) is equivalent to the exponential convergence of the Markov diffusion semigroup (P_t) to the invariant measure μ , in some Φ -entropy. We provide the estimate of the exponential convergence in total variation and a bounded perturbation result under the Sobolev inequality. Finally in the one-dimensional case we get some two-sided estimates of the Sobolev constant by means of the generalized Hardy inequality.

MSC 2010: 26D10. 60J60. 47J20.

Keywords: Sobolev inequality, diffusion process, Φ -entropy, exponential convergence.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Centered Sobolev inequality. Let μ be a probability measure on some Polish space E equipped with the Borel σ -field \mathcal{B} . The main object of this paper is the following centered version of Sobolev inequality

$$\frac{1}{p-2} \left[\left(\int_E f^p d\mu \right)^{\frac{2}{p}} - \int_E f^2 d\mu \right] \leq C_S(p) \mathcal{E}[f], \quad 0 \leq f \in \mathbb{D}(\mathcal{E}), \quad (1.1)$$

where $p \in [0, +\infty)$, \mathcal{E} is a conservative Dirichlet form on $L^2(E, \mu)$ with domain $\mathbb{D}(\mathcal{E})$ and $C_S(p)$ is the best constant. This inequality will be denoted by (S_p) .

When $p = 1$, (1.1) becomes the usual Poincaré inequality

$$\text{Var}_\mu(f) := \mu(f^2) - [\mu(f)]^2 \leq C_S(1) \mathcal{E}[f], \quad f \in \mathbb{D}(\mathcal{E}), \quad (1.2)$$

where $\mu(f) := \int_E f d\mu$. Thus $C_S(1)$ is exactly the best Poincaré constant C_P .

When $p = 2$, the left-hand side (LHS in short) of (1.1), understood as the limit when $p \rightarrow 2$, equals to $\frac{1}{2}H(f^2)$, where

$$H(f) = \mu(f \log f) - \mu(f) \log \mu(f)$$

is the entropy of f . So the Sobolev-type inequality (1.1) becomes

$$H(f^2) \leq 2C_S(2) \mathcal{E}[f], \quad 0 \leq f \in \mathbb{D}(\mathcal{E}) \quad (1.3)$$

the usual log-Sobolev inequality (see [2]). Thus $C_S(2)$ coincides with the best log-Sobolev constant C_{LS} .

When $p > 2$, (S_p) is a centered version of the classic defective Sobolev inequality:

$$\left(\int_E f^p d\mu \right)^{\frac{2}{p}} \leq A\mathcal{E}[f] + B \int_E f^2 d\mu, \quad 0 \leq f \in \mathbb{D}(\mathcal{E}). \quad (1.4)$$

For example, when μ is the Lebesgue measure on $E = \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\mathcal{E}(f) = \int_E |\nabla f|^2 d\mu$, the above Sobolev inequality holds with $B = 0$, for $p = \frac{2n}{n-2}$ ($n > 2$, see Aubin [1]). Notice that the defective Sobolev inequality with $B = 0$ fails for probability measure μ .

The centered Sobolev inequality (S_p) was studied by Aubin [1] and Beckner [6] for the normalized volume measure on the unit sphere S^n in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} . They obtained the exact result: $C_S(p) = C_P = \frac{1}{n}$ if $2 < p \leq \frac{2n}{n-2}$ (for $n \geq 3$). Bakry and Ledoux [4], using the diffusion semigroup method, proved the following sharp and general result of (see also Ledoux [9, Theorem 3.1]):

Theorem 1.1. ([4]) *Let L be a Markov diffusion generator satisfying the Bakry-Emery's curvature-dimension condition $CD(R, n)$ for some $R > 0$ and $n > 2$. Then for every $1 \leq p \leq \frac{2n}{n-2}$, (1.1) holds with $C_S(p) \leq \frac{n-1}{nR}$.*

This deep theorem of Bakry-Ledoux generalizes the famous Lichrowicz bound about $C_S(1) = C_P$.

When $p = 0$, the LHS of (1.1), understood as the limit when $p \rightarrow 0^+$, equals to $\frac{1}{2}[\mu(f^2) - e^{\mu(\log f^2)}]$. Setting $f^2 = e^g$, we see that (S_0) becomes

$$\mu(e^g) - e^{\mu(g)} \leq 2C_S(0)\mathcal{E}[e^{g/2}], \quad g \in \mathbb{D}(\mathcal{E}) \cap L^\infty(\mu). \quad (1.5)$$

Relationship between the Sobolev inequalities for different p is summarized in

Theorem 1.2. (a) *For any $p \in \mathbb{R}^+ = [0, +\infty)$, $C_S(p) \geq C_S(1) = C_P$.*

(b) *$pC_S(p)$ is nondecreasing in $p \in \mathbb{R}^+$.*

(c) *For any $p \in (0, 2)$, the Sobolev inequality (S_p) is equivalent to the Poincaré inequality, more precisely*

$$C_S(1) \leq C_S(p) \leq \frac{C_S(1)}{p}, \quad p \in (0, 1);$$

$$C_S(1) \leq C_S(p) \leq \frac{C_S(1)}{2-p}, \quad p \in (1, 2).$$

This result is essentially contained in Bakry and Ledoux [4].

In other words this family of Sobolev inequalities for different p has four interesting cases: (1) $p = 0$; (2) $p = 1$; (3) $p = 2$ and (4) $p > 2$.

1.2. Semigroup. Let (P_t) be a Markov semigroup such that $\mu P_t = \mu$ for all $t \geq 0$ (i.e. μ is an invariant measure), strongly continuous on $L^2(\mu)$. Let \mathcal{L} be the generator of (P_t) , whose domain in $L^p(\mu) := L^p(E, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ is denoted by $\mathbb{D}_p(\mathcal{L})$ ($1 \leq p < \infty$). We always assume that

(A1) $\mathbb{D}_2(\mathcal{L})$ is contained in $\mathbb{D}(\mathcal{E})$ and dense in $\mathbb{D}(\mathcal{E})$ w.r.t. the norm $\sqrt{\mu(f^2) + \mathcal{E}[f]}$ (i.e. $\mathbb{D}_2(\mathcal{L})$ is a form core of \mathcal{E}), and

$$\int f(-\mathcal{L}f)d\mu = \mathcal{E}[f], \quad f \in \mathbb{D}_2(\mathcal{L}).$$

In other words \mathcal{E} is the symmetrized Dirichlet form of \mathcal{L} . This assumption holds automatically if \mathcal{L} is self-adjoint (i.e. (P_t) is symmetric on $L^2(\mu)$).

It is well known that the Poincaré inequality (S_1) is equivalent to the exponential convergence of P_t to μ in $L^2(\mu)$:

$$\mathrm{Var}_\mu[P_t f] \leq e^{-2t/C_S(1)} \mathrm{Var}_\mu[f], \quad t > 0, \quad f \in L^2(\mu).$$

And if (P_t) is a diffusion semigroup, the log-Sobolev inequality (S_2) is equivalent to the exponential convergence of P_t to μ in the relative entropy

$$H(P_t f) \leq e^{-2t/C_S(2)} H(f), \quad t > 0, \quad 0 \leq f \in L^1(\mu).$$

See Bakry [2]. Notice that the later equivalence is false in the jump case (see Wu [10]).

But unlike Poincaré and log-Sobolev, the role of the Sobolev inequality (1.1) for p different from 1, 2 in the exponential convergence of P_t is unknown. Our first purpose of this paper is to fill this gap.

This paper is organized as follows. In the next section we establish the equivalence between the Sobolev inequality and the exponential convergence of P_t to μ , in some Φ -entropy sense. Several corollaries and applications are derived for illustrating the usefulness of our result, especially for the rate of the exponential convergence of P_t to μ in total variation.

In §3 we recall the relationship between the defective Sobolev inequality and centered Sobolev inequality when $p > 2$ and present a bounded perturbation result.

In §4 we present some two-sided estimates of the optimal constant $C_S(p)$ of Sobolev inequality when $p > 2$ on the real line, by the method in Barthe and Roberto [5].

2. EQUIVALENCE BETWEEN SOBOLEV INEQUALITY AND EXPONENTIAL CONVERGENCE

2.1. Framework. Besides **(A1)**, we assume

(A2) (Existence of the carré-du-champs operator) *there is an algebra \mathcal{A} contained in $\mathbb{D}_2(\mathcal{L})$ and dense in $\mathbb{D}(\mathcal{E})$ w.r.t. the norm $\|f\|_{2,1} := \sqrt{\mu(f^2) + \mathcal{E}[f]}$. So the carré-du-champs operator*

$$\Gamma(f, g) := \frac{1}{2}[\mathcal{L}(fg) - f\mathcal{L}g - g\mathcal{L}f], \quad \forall f, g \in \mathcal{A}$$

is well defined. $\Gamma(f, g)$ can be extended as a continuous mapping from $\mathbb{D}(\mathcal{E}) \times \mathbb{D}(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow L^1(\mu)$.

(A3) *(P_t) is a diffusion semigroup, i.e. (P_t) is the transition probability semigroup of a **continuous** Markov process (X_t) valued in E defined on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_t, \mathbb{P}_\mu)$.*

Under those assumptions, for every $f \in \mathbb{D}_2(\mathcal{L})$,

$$M_t(f) := f(X_t) - f(X_0) - \int_0^t \mathcal{L}f(X_s) ds$$

is a $L^2(\mathbb{P}_\mu)$ -martingale, and

$$\langle M(f), M(g) \rangle_t = 2 \int_0^t \Gamma(f, g)(X_s) ds$$

(this holds at first for $f, g \in \mathcal{A}$, then for $f \in \mathbb{D}_2(\mathcal{L})$ by continuous extension). Consequently if $f_1, \dots, f_n \in \mathbb{D}_\infty(\mathcal{L}) = \{f \in \mathbb{D}_2(\mathcal{L}); f, \mathcal{L}f \in L^\infty(\mu)\}$ and $F : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is infinitely

differentiable, then by Ito's formula, we have $F(f_1, \dots, f_n) \in \mathbb{D}_2(\mathcal{L})$ and

$$\mathcal{L}F(f_1, \dots, f_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n \partial_i F(f_1, \dots, f_n) \mathcal{L}f_i + \sum_{i,j=1}^n \partial_i \partial_j F(f_1, \dots, f_n) \Gamma(f_i, f_j). \quad (2.1)$$

We write $\Gamma[f] = \Gamma(f, f)$. For any C^∞ -function Φ on \mathbb{R} , integrating (2.1) we have

$$\int \Phi'(f)(-\mathcal{L}f) d\mu = \int \Phi''(f) \Gamma[f] d\mu, \quad f \in \mathbb{D}_\infty(\mathcal{L}). \quad (2.2)$$

Next (2.1) implies that Γ is a derivation,

$$\Gamma(\Phi(f), g) = \Phi'(f) \Gamma(f, g), \quad f \in \mathbb{D}_\infty(\mathcal{L}), \quad g \in \mathbb{D}_2(\mathcal{L}). \quad (2.3)$$

2.2. Exponential convergence in the Φ -entropy.

Definition 2.1. Given a lower bounded convex function $\Phi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow (-\infty, +\infty]$, the Φ -entropy of a function $f \in L^1(\mu)$ is defined as

$$H_\Phi^\mu(f) = \mu(\Phi(f)) - \Phi(\mu(f)).$$

The main result of this section is

Theorem 2.2. For the diffusion Markov semigroup (P_t) with invariant probability measure μ satisfying **(A1)**, **(A2)** and **(A3)**, the Sobolev inequality (1.1) is equivalent to the exponential convergence in the Φ -entropy

$$H_\Phi^\mu(P_t f) \leq e^{-\frac{2t}{C_S(p)}} H_\Phi^\mu(f), \quad f \in L^1(\mu), \quad (2.4)$$

where

$$\Phi(x) = \begin{cases} -|x|^{\frac{2}{p}}, & \text{if } p \in (2, +\infty); \\ |x| \log |x|, & \text{if } p = 2; \\ |x|^{\frac{2}{p}}, & \text{if } p \in (0, 2); \\ e^x, & \text{if } p = 0. \end{cases} \quad (2.5)$$

We begin with a known result (see Chafai [7]).

Lemma 2.3. Let Φ be a lower bounded C^2 -convex function and \mathcal{D} be a class of functions in $\mathbb{D}_\infty(\mathcal{L})$, stable for (P_t) (i.e. if $f \in \mathcal{D}$, $P_t f \in \mathcal{D}$). The exponential convergence in the Φ -entropy

$$H_\Phi^\mu(P_t f) \leq e^{-\frac{2t}{C(\Phi)}} H_\Phi^\mu(f), \quad f \in \mathcal{D}$$

for some positive constant $C(\Phi)$ is equivalent to

$$H_\Phi^\mu(f) \leq \frac{C(\Phi)}{2} \int \Phi''(f) \Gamma[f] d\mu, \quad f \in \mathcal{D}. \quad (2.6)$$

Proof. Since for $f \in \mathcal{D}$,

$$\frac{d}{dt} H_\Phi^\mu(P_t f) = \int \Phi'(P_t f) \mathcal{L}P_t f d\mu = - \int \Phi''(P_t f) \Gamma[P_t f] d\mu$$

by (2.2), the equivalence above follows from Gronwall's lemma. \square

Proof of Theorem 2.2. For the exponential convergence in the Φ -entropy we may restrict to $f \in \mathcal{D} = \{f \in \mathbb{D}_\infty(\mathcal{L}); \exists \varepsilon > 0, f \geq \varepsilon\}$. In that case as Φ is C^2 on $(0, +\infty)$, we can apply Lemma 2.3.

At first this equivalence is well known for $p = 1, 2$ as recalled in the Introduction. We begin with the case $p > 2$.

By Lemma 2.3, the exponential convergence (2.4) is equivalent to

$$[\mu(f)]^{\frac{2}{p}} - \mu(f^{\frac{2}{p}}) \leq C_S(p) \frac{p-2}{p^2} \int_E f^{\frac{2-2p}{p}} \Gamma[f] d\mu, \quad f \in \mathcal{D}. \quad (2.7)$$

Setting $h = f^{1/p}$, (2.7) is equivalent to

$$[\mu(h^p)]^{\frac{2}{p}} - \mu(h^2) \leq C_S(p)(p-2) \int_E \Gamma[h] d\mu, \quad \varepsilon^{1/p} \leq h \in \mathbb{D}_\infty(\mathcal{L}),$$

which is exactly the Sobolev inequality (1.1).

For $p \in (0, 2)$, by Lemma 2.3, the exponential convergence (2.4) is equivalent to

$$\mu(f^{\frac{2}{p}}) - [\mu(f)]^{\frac{2}{p}} \leq C_S(p) \frac{2-p}{p^2} \int_E f^{\frac{2-2p}{p}} \Gamma[f] d\mu, \quad f \in \mathcal{D}. \quad (2.8)$$

Setting $h = f^{1/p}$, (2.8) is equivalent to

$$\mu(h^2) - [\mu(h^p)]^{\frac{2}{p}} \leq C_S(p)(2-p) \int_E \Gamma[h] d\mu, \quad \varepsilon^{1/p} \leq h \in \mathbb{D}_\infty(\mathcal{L}),$$

which is exactly the Sobolev inequality (1.1).

Finally for $p = 0$, by Lemma 2.3, the exponential convergence (2.4) is equivalent to

$$\mu(e^f) - e^{\mu(f)} \leq \frac{C_S(0)}{2} \int_E e^f \Gamma[f] d\mu, \quad f \in \mathcal{D},$$

which is exactly the Sobolev inequality (1.5) for $p = 0$. □

2.3. Exponential convergence in Hellinger metric. Now we present an application to the exponential convergence in the Hellinger metric $d_{\mathcal{H}}$. Recall that for two probability measures $\nu = g d\alpha, \mu = f d\alpha$ where α is some reference measure,

$$d_{\mathcal{H}}^2(\nu, \mu) := \int (\sqrt{g} - \sqrt{f})^2 d\alpha.$$

In fact $d_{\mathcal{H}}$ is independent of the choice of α .

Corollary 2.4. *Assume that the adjoint operator \mathcal{L}^* of \mathcal{L} satisfies also (A1), (A2) and (A3). The Sobolev inequality (1.1) for $p = 4$ is equivalent to*

$$d_{\mathcal{H}}(P_t^* f \mu, \mu) \leq e^{-t/C_S(4)} d_{\mathcal{H}}(f \mu, \mu), \quad t > 0$$

for any μ -probability density function f .

Recall that the distribution of X_t is $P_t^* f \mu$ if the initial distribution of X_0 is $f \mu$.

Proof. We have for any μ -probability density function f ,

$$d_{\mathcal{H}}^2(f \mu, \mu) = \int (\sqrt{f} - 1)^2 d\mu = 2 \left(1 - \mu(\sqrt{f})\right),$$

and for the exponential convergence in (2.4) (with $p = 4$), one may restrict to the functions $f \geq 0$ such that $\mu(f) = 1$ by homogeneity. So this corollary follows directly by Theorem 2.2. \square

Remark 2.5. Let $\|\nu - \mu\|_{TV} := \sup_{|f| \leq 1} |\nu(f) - \mu(f)|$ (the total variation). It is known that (see Gibbs and Su [8])

$$d_{\mathcal{H}}^2(\nu, \mu) \leq \|\nu - \mu\|_{TV} \quad \text{and} \quad \|\nu - \mu\|_{TV} \leq 2d_{\mathcal{H}}(\nu, \mu).$$

So under the Sobolev inequality (1.1) with $p = 4$, we have

$$\|P_t^* f \mu - \mu\|_{TV} \leq 2e^{-t/C_S(4)} d_{\mathcal{H}}(f \mu, \mu) \leq 2\sqrt{2}e^{-t/C_S(4)},$$

which is an explicit estimate of the exponential convergence in total variation.

2.4. Exponential convergence in total variation. We now generalize the result above to general $p > 2$ different from 4.

Corollary 2.6. *Assume that \mathcal{L}^* satisfies (A1), (A2), (A3). If the Sobolev inequality holds for some $p > 2$, then for any μ -probability density f ,*

$$\|P_t^* f \mu - \mu\|_{TV} \leq 2p \sqrt{\frac{1}{p-2}} e^{-t/C_S(p)} \left(1 - \mu\left(f^{\frac{2}{p}}\right)\right)^{1/2} \leq 2p \sqrt{\frac{1}{p-2}} e^{-t/C_S(p)}.$$

Proof. It follows from Theorem 2.2 and the lemma below. \square

Lemma 2.7. *Let $a \in (0, 1)$. Then for any $f \geq 0$ such that $\mu(f) = 1$, we have*

$$1 - \mu(f^a) \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_E |f - 1| d\mu \tag{2.9}$$

and

$$1 - \mu(f^a) \geq \frac{a(1-a)}{8} \left(\int_E |f - 1| d\mu \right)^2. \tag{2.10}$$

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - \mu(f^a) &= \int_E (1 - f^a) d\mu \leq \int_{\{f < 1\}} (1 - f^a) d\mu \\ &\leq \int_{\{f < 1\}} (1 - f) d\mu = \frac{1}{2} \int_E |f - 1| d\mu, \end{aligned}$$

that is (2.9).

For (2.10) we may assume that $\mu(f = 1) < 1$. Letting $A = \{f < 1\}$,

$$\bar{f} = \frac{\mu(f1_A)}{\mu(A)} 1_A + \frac{\mu(f1_{A^c})}{\mu(A^c)} 1_{A^c}$$

(which is the conditional expectation of f knowing $\sigma(A)$), by Jensen's inequality we have

$$1 - \mu(f^a) \geq 1 - \mu(\bar{f}^a), \quad \int_E |f - 1| d\mu = 2 \int_{\{f < 1\}} (1 - f) d\mu = \int_E |\bar{f} - 1| d\mu.$$

So it is enough to prove (2.10) for $f = \bar{f}$, a two-valued function. Let $x < y$ be the two values of f (so $0 \leq x < 1 < y$), and

$$\alpha := \mu(f = x) = 1 - \mu(f = y) =: 1 - \beta.$$

Since $\mu(f) = \alpha x + \beta y = 1$, $y = \frac{1-\alpha x}{\beta}$, consider

$$h(x) = 1 - \mu(f^a) = 1 - [\alpha x^a + \beta y^a],$$

we have $h(1) = h'(1) = 0$ and $\int |f - 1| d\mu = 2\alpha(1 - x)$. Hence for (2.10), by Taylor's formula we have only to show that

$$\min_{x \in (0,1)} h''(x) \geq a(1-a)\alpha^2. \quad (2.11)$$

Notice that

$$\begin{aligned} h''(x) &= -a(a-1)\alpha[x^{a-2} + \frac{\alpha}{\beta}y^{a-2}], \\ h'''(x) &= -a(a-1)(a-2)\alpha[x^{a-3} - (\frac{\alpha}{\beta})^2y^{a-3}] \end{aligned}$$

and $h^{(4)}(x) > 0$ for all $x \in (0, 1)$. We now divide our discussion into two cases.

Case 1. $\alpha \leq 1/2$. In this case, $h'''(1) \leq 0$, then $h'''(x) < 0$ for all $x \in (0, 1)$, consequently

$$h''(x) \geq h''(1) = a(1-a)\alpha^2 \frac{1}{\alpha\beta} \geq 4a(1-a)\alpha^2,$$

which implies (2.11).

Case 2. $\alpha > 1/2$. Since $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} h'''(x) = -\infty$ and $h'''(1) > 0$, there is a unique $x_0 \in (0, 1)$ such that $h'''(x_0) = 0$, i.e. $x_0^{a-3} = (\alpha/\beta)^2 y_0^{a-3}$ ($y_0 = \frac{1-\alpha x_0}{\beta}$) or $x_0 = \frac{1}{\alpha} \frac{(\alpha/\beta)^{(a-1)/(a-3)}}{1+(\alpha/\beta)^{(a-1)/(a-3)}}$. Consequently

$$\min_{x \in (0,1)} h''(x) = h''(x_0) = a(1-a)\alpha^2 \left(\frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\beta} \left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha} \right)^{\frac{2(a-2)}{a-3}} \right) x_0^{a-2} \geq a(1-a)\alpha^2$$

for $x_0^{a-2} > 1$. The last bound is optimal because it becomes equality if $\alpha \rightarrow 1$. That completes the proof of (2.11). \square

3. DEFECTIVE SOBOLEV INEQUALITY IMPLIES CENTERED SOBOLEV INEQUALITY AND A BOUNDED PERTURBATION RESULT

3.1. Defective Sobolev inequality implies Sobolev inequality.

Theorem 3.1. ([3]) *If the defective Sobolev inequality (1.4) holds with some positive constants A, B for some $p > 2$, and the Poincaré inequality (1.2) holds with the best constant $C_P > 0$, then we have*

$$\left(\int_E |f|^p d\mu \right)^{\frac{2}{p}} - \int_E f^2 d\mu \leq ((p-1)A + C_P[(p-1)B - 1]^+) \mathcal{E}[f]. \quad (3.1)$$

The above theorem 3.1 is a direct consequence of the following lemma.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $p > 2$ and $f : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a square integrable function on a probability space (E, μ) . Then for all $a \in \mathbb{R}$, we have*

$$\left(\int_E |f|^p d\mu \right)^{\frac{2}{p}} - \int_E f^2 d\mu \leq (p-1) \left(\int_E |f-a|^p d\mu \right)^{\frac{2}{p}} - \int_E (f-a)^2 d\mu. \quad (3.2)$$

This lemma is also contained in [3] and will be used in the next section.

Notice that if the defective Sobolev inequality holds for some $p > 2$, then $P_t(x, dy) = p_t(x, y)\mu(dy)$ with the density $p_t(x, y)$ bounded ([4]). That implies P_t is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator, then compact on $L^2(\mu)$: in particular the Poincaré inequality holds true.

3.2. Bounded perturbation. It is well known that Φ -entropy $H_\Phi^\mu(f)$ defined in definition 2.1 has the following variational form:

$$H_\Phi^\mu(f) = \mu(\Phi(f)) - \Phi(\mu(f)) = \inf_{c \in \mathbb{R}} \int_E \Phi(f) - \Phi(c) - \Phi'(c)(f - c) d\mu \quad (3.3)$$

for all $f \in L^1(\mu)$. The following proposition shows that the Sobolev inequality (1.1) is stable by bounded transformation of the probability measure μ .

Proposition 3.3. *Assume that the Dirichlet form $\mathcal{E}[f] = \int \Gamma[f] d\mu$ for some **carré-du-champs** operator Γ which is a derivation, i.e. $\Gamma(\Phi(f), g) = \Phi'(f)\Gamma(f, g)$ for all $f, g \in \mathbb{D}(\mathcal{E}) \cap L^\infty(\mu)$ and $\Phi \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$. Assume that the probability measure μ satisfies Sobolev inequality (1.1) with the best constant $C_S(p)$ for $p \geq 0$. Let $\tilde{\mu}$ be the probability measure defined by $d\tilde{\mu} = \frac{1}{Z}e^{-V(x)}d\mu$ such that $\mathbf{Osc}(V) := \sup_{x, y \in E} |V(x) - V(y)| < +\infty$, where $Z > 0$ is the normalization constant. Then $\tilde{\mu}$ satisfies Sobolev inequality*

$$\frac{1}{p-2} \left[\left(\int_E f^p d\tilde{\mu} \right)^{\frac{2}{p}} - \int_E f^2 d\tilde{\mu} \right] \leq e^{\mathbf{Osc}(V)} C_S(p) \int \Gamma[f] d\tilde{\mu}, \quad 0 \leq f \in \mathbb{D}(\mathcal{E}) \cap L^\infty(\mu).$$

Proof. By the proof of Theorem 2.2, the Sobolev inequality (1.1) is equivalent to

$$H_\Phi^\mu(f) \leq \frac{C_S(p)}{2} \int_E \Phi''(f)\Gamma(f) d\mu, \quad f \in \mathcal{D} := \{g \in \mathbb{D}(\mathcal{E}); \exists \varepsilon > 0, \varepsilon \leq g \leq 1/\varepsilon\},$$

where $\Phi(x)$ is the same in (2.5). We have by (3.3),

$$\begin{aligned} H_\Phi^{\tilde{\mu}}(f) &= \inf_{c \in \mathbb{R}} \int_E [\Phi(f) - \Phi(c) - \Phi'(c)(f - c)] \frac{1}{Z} e^{-V} d\mu \\ &\leq \frac{1}{Z} \exp\left(-\inf_{x \in E} V(x)\right) H_\Phi^\mu(f) \\ &= \frac{1}{2Z} \exp\left(-\inf_{x \in E} V(x)\right) C_S(p) \int_E \Phi''(f)\Gamma(f) Z e^V d\tilde{\mu} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \exp\left(\sup_{x \in E} V(x) - \inf_{x \in E} V(x)\right) C_S(p) \int_E \Phi''(f)\Gamma(f) d\tilde{\mu} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} e^{\mathbf{Osc}(V)} C_S(p) \int_E \Phi''(f)\Gamma(f) d\tilde{\mu}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies the result. \square

3.3. Reflected Brownian motion. Given a domain Ω of \mathbb{R}^d , let $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ be the Sobolev space of the functions on Ω with the norm $\|f\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)} = \left(\int_\Omega (|\nabla f|^p + |f|^p) dx\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$. Recall the extension theorem on Sobolev space:

Theorem 3.4. *Suppose that $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is a bounded domain with Lipschitz boundary. Then there exist a bounded linear operator $L : W^{1,p}(\Omega) \ni u \rightarrow v \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and a constant $C > 0$ such that*

- (1) $v(x) = u(x)$ for a.e. $x \in \Omega$;
(2) $\|v\|_{W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C\|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}$.

According to the well known Sobolev inequality on \mathbb{R}^d , we have the following corollary.

Corollary 3.5. *For any bounded domain $\Omega \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ($d \geq 2$) with Lipschitz boundary, the Sobolev inequality (1.1) holds for $u \in W^{1,2}(\Omega)$ with the normalized Lebesgue measure $\mu(dx) = \frac{dx}{\text{Vol}(\Omega)}$ on Ω for any $p \in (2, \frac{2d}{d-2}]$ (this last quantity is interpreted as $+\infty$ if $d = 2$).*

Proof. By Theorem 3.4, we have for all $u \in W^{1,2}(\Omega)$,

$$\left(\int_{\Omega} |u|^p dx \right)^{\frac{2}{p}} \leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |v|^p dx \right)^{\frac{2}{p}} \leq C(d, p) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\nabla v|^2 dx \leq C(d, p) C \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla u|^2 + |u|^2) dx,$$

where $v = Lu$, $C(d, p)$ is the best Sobolev constant. Then the defective Sobolev inequality (1.4) holds with $A = B = C(d, p)C$. The result follows by Theorem 3.1. \square

4. SOBOLEV INEQUALITY IN DIMENSION ONE

In [5], F. Barthe and C. Roberto provide the estimate of the optimal constant of Sobolev inequality when $1 < p \leq 2$ on the real line. In this section we generalize the estimate of the optimal constant to the case $p > 2$ on the real line (i.e. $E = \mathbb{R}$) by the method in [5].

Theorem 4.1. *Let $p > 2$ and μ, ν (non-negative) be Borel measures on \mathbb{R} with $\mu(\mathbb{R}) = 1$ and $d\nu(x) = n(x)dx$, where $n(x)dx$ is the absolutely continuous component of ν . Let m be a median of μ . Let $C > 0$ be the optimal constant satisfying:*

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f|^p d\mu \right)^{\frac{2}{p}} - \int_{\mathbb{R}} f^2 d\mu \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}} f^2 d\nu \quad (4.1)$$

for every smooth function $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

Then we have $\max(b_-(p), b_+(p)) \leq C \leq 4 \max(B_-(p), B_+(p))$, where

$$\begin{aligned} b_+(p) &= \sup_{x > m} \{ \mu([x, +\infty)) \left[\left(1 + \frac{1}{2\mu([x, +\infty))} \right)^{\frac{p-2}{p}} - 1 \right] \int_m^x \frac{1}{n(t)} dt \}; \\ b_-(p) &= \sup_{x < m} \{ \mu((-\infty, x]) \left[\left(1 + \frac{1}{2\mu((-\infty, x])} \right)^{\frac{p-2}{p}} - 1 \right] \int_x^m \frac{1}{n(t)} dt \}; \\ B_+(p) &= \sup_{x > m} \{ \mu([x, +\infty)) \left[\left(1 + \frac{(p-1)^{\frac{p}{p-2}}}{\mu([x, +\infty))} \right)^{\frac{p-2}{p}} - 1 \right] \int_m^x \frac{1}{n(t)} dt \}; \\ B_-(p) &= \sup_{x < m} \{ \mu((-\infty, x]) \left[\left(1 + \frac{(p-1)^{\frac{p}{p-2}}}{\mu((-\infty, x])} \right)^{\frac{p-2}{p}} - 1 \right] \int_x^m \frac{1}{n(t)} dt \}. \end{aligned}$$

We will use the following Proposition and Lemmas to prove Theorem 4.1.

Proposition 4.2. (See [5]) *Let μ, ν (non-negative) be Borel measures on $[m, \infty)$, where m is a median of μ and $d\nu(x) = n(x)dx$, where $n(x)dx$ is the absolutely continuous component of ν . Let G be a family of non-negative Borel measurable functions on $[m, \infty)$.*

We set $\phi(f) = \sup_{g \in G} \int_m^\infty fg d\mu$ for any measurable function f . Let A be the smallest constant such that for every smooth function f with $f(m) = 0$, we have

$$\phi(f^2) \leq A \int_m^\infty f'^2 d\nu.$$

Then $B \leq A \leq 4B$, where

$$B = \sup_{x > m} \phi(1_{[x, \infty)}) \int_m^x \frac{dt}{n(t)}.$$

Lemma 4.3. Let φ be a non-negative integrable function on a probability space (E, μ) . Let $A > 0$ and $a > 1$ be some constants, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} A [\mu(\varphi^a)]^{\frac{1}{a}} - \mu(\varphi) &= \sup \left\{ \int \varphi g d\mu; g \geq -1 \text{ and } \int (g+1)^{\frac{a}{a-1}} d\mu \leq A^{\frac{a}{a-1}} \right\} \\ &\leq \sup \left\{ \int \varphi g d\mu; g \geq 0 \text{ and } \int (g+1)^{\frac{a}{a-1}} d\mu \leq A^{\frac{a}{a-1}} + 1 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. For any Borel measurable function $h \geq 0$, by Hölder's inequality, we have

$$[\mu(\varphi^a)]^{\frac{1}{a}} = \sup \left\{ \int \varphi h d\mu; h \geq 0 \text{ and } \int h^{\frac{a}{a-1}} d\mu \leq 1 \right\}.$$

Hence

$$A [\mu(\varphi^a)]^{\frac{1}{a}} = \sup \left\{ \int \varphi h d\mu; h \geq 0 \text{ and } \int h^{\frac{a}{a-1}} d\mu \leq A^{\frac{a}{a-1}} \right\}. \quad (4.2)$$

Using (4.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} A [\mu(\varphi^a)]^{\frac{1}{a}} - \mu(\varphi) &= \sup \left\{ \int \varphi h d\mu - \int \varphi d\mu; h \geq 0 \text{ and } \int h^{\frac{a}{a-1}} d\mu \leq A^{\frac{a}{a-1}} \right\} \\ &= \sup \left\{ \int \varphi (h-1) d\mu; h \geq 0 \text{ and } \int h^{\frac{a}{a-1}} d\mu \leq A^{\frac{a}{a-1}} \right\} \\ &= \sup \left\{ \int \varphi g d\mu; g \geq -1 \text{ and } \int (g+1)^{\frac{a}{a-1}} d\mu \leq A^{\frac{a}{a-1}} \right\} \\ &\leq \sup \left\{ \int \varphi g 1_{g \geq 0} d\mu; g \geq -1 \text{ and } \int (g+1)^{\frac{a}{a-1}} d\mu \leq A^{\frac{a}{a-1}} \right\} \\ &\leq \sup \left\{ \int \varphi g d\mu; g \geq 0 \text{ and } \int (g+1)^{\frac{a}{a-1}} d\mu \leq A^{\frac{a}{a-1}} + 1 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

The last inequality is derived by

$$\int (g 1_{g \geq 1} + 1)^{\frac{a}{a-1}} d\mu = \int (g+1)^{\frac{a}{a-1}} 1_{g \geq 0} d\mu + \mu(g < 0) \leq A^{\frac{a}{a-1}} + 1.$$

□

Lemma 4.4. Let $a > 1$, μ be a finite measure on X . Let $A \subset X$ be a measurable subset with $\mu(A) > 0$ and K be a constant with $K > \mu(X)$. Then we have

$$\sup \left\{ \int_X 1_A g d\mu; g \geq 0 \text{ and } \int_X (g+1)^{\frac{a}{a-1}} d\mu \leq K \right\} = \mu(A) \left[\left(1 + \frac{K - \mu(X)}{\mu(A)} \right)^{\frac{a-1}{a}} - 1 \right].$$

Proof. Simply, we denote by S the left hand side of the above equality. Without loss of generality, we can assume $g = 0$ on A^c , hence

$$S = \sup \left\{ \int_A g d\mu; g \geq 0 \text{ and } \int_A (g+1)^{\frac{a}{a-1}} d\mu + \mu(A^c) \leq K \right\}.$$

For any $g \geq 0$ and $\int_A (g+1)^{\frac{a}{a-1}} d\mu + \mu(A^c) \leq K$, by Jensen's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left(1 + \int_A g \frac{d\mu}{\mu(A)} \right)^{\frac{a}{a-1}} &= \left(\int_A (1+g) \frac{d\mu}{\mu(A)} \right)^{\frac{a}{a-1}} \leq \int_A (1+g)^{\frac{a}{a-1}} \frac{d\mu}{\mu(A)} \\ &\leq \frac{K - \mu(A^c)}{\mu(A)} = 1 + \frac{K - \mu(X)}{\mu(A)}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\int_A g d\mu \leq \mu(A) \left[\left(1 + \frac{K - \mu(X)}{\mu(A)} \right)^{\frac{a-1}{a}} - 1 \right]. \quad (4.3)$$

We take $g = \left(1 + \frac{K - \mu(X)}{\mu(A)} \right)^{\frac{a-1}{a}} - 1$, then equality in (4.3) holds. Hence

$$S = \mu(A) \left[\left(1 + \frac{K - \mu(X)}{\mu(A)} \right)^{\frac{a-1}{a}} - 1 \right],$$

which is the desired result. \square

Now we prove Theorem 4.1.

Proof of Theorem 4.1. Step 1. We estimate the upper bound of C . For any smooth function $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, let $F = f - f(m)$, $F_+ = F1_{(m, \infty)}$ and $F_- = F1_{(-\infty, m)}$. It is easy to see they are all continuous and $F^2 = F_+^2 + F_-^2$, $|F|^p = |F_+|^p + |F_-|^p$ when $p > 2$. We set $A = p - 1 > 0$ and $a = \frac{p}{2} > 1$, then $\frac{a}{a-1} = \frac{p}{p-2}$. By Lemma 3.2 and Lemma 4.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(\int |f|^p d\mu \right)^{\frac{2}{p}} - \int f^2 d\mu \\ &\leq (p-1) \left(\int |f - f(m)|^p d\mu \right)^{\frac{2}{p}} - \int (f - f(m))^2 d\mu \\ &= (p-1) \left(\int |F|^p d\mu \right)^{\frac{2}{p}} - \int F^2 d\mu \\ &= (p-1) \left(\int |F_+|^p d\mu \right)^{\frac{2}{p}} - \int F_+^2 d\mu + (p-1) \left(\int |F_-|^p d\mu \right)^{\frac{2}{p}} - \int F_-^2 d\mu \\ &\leq \sup \left\{ \int F_+^2 g d\mu; g \geq 0 \text{ and } \int (g+1)^{\frac{p}{p-2}} d\mu \leq (p-1)^{\frac{p}{p-2}} + 1 \right\} \\ &\quad + \sup \left\{ \int F_-^2 g d\mu; g \geq 0 \text{ and } \int (g+1)^{\frac{p}{p-2}} d\mu \leq (p-1)^{\frac{p}{p-2}} + 1 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Now we deal with F_+ . Since $F_+ = 0$ on $(-\infty, m]$, by Proposition 4.2 we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (p-1) \left(\int |F_+|^p d\mu \right)^{\frac{2}{p}} - \int F_+^2 d\mu \\ & \leq \sup \left\{ \int F_+^2 g d\mu; g \geq 0 \text{ and } \int (g+1)^{\frac{p}{p-2}} d\mu \leq (p-1)^{\frac{p}{p-2}} + 1 \right\} \leq 4\tilde{B}_+(p) \int F_+^{\prime 2} d\nu, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\tilde{B}_+(p) = \sup_{x>m} \left[\sup \left\{ \int 1_{[x, \infty)} g d\mu; g \geq 0 \text{ and } \int (g+1)^{\frac{p}{p-2}} d\mu \leq (p-1)^{\frac{p}{p-2}} + 1 \right\} \int_m^x \frac{1}{n(t)} dt \right].$$

By Lemma 4.4, we have

$$\tilde{B}_+(p) = \sup_{x>m} \{ \mu([x, +\infty)) \left[\left(1 + \frac{(p-1)^{\frac{p}{p-2}}}{\mu[x, +\infty)} \right)^{\frac{p-2}{p}} - 1 \right] \int_m^x \frac{1}{n(t)} dt \} = B_+(p).$$

Similarly, we have

$$B_-(p) = \sup_{x<m} \{ \mu((-\infty, x]) \left[\left(1 + \frac{(p-1)^{\frac{p}{p-2}}}{\mu(-\infty, x]} \right)^{\frac{p-2}{p}} - 1 \right] \int_x^m \frac{1}{n(t)} dt \}.$$

Since $F_+^{\prime 2} + F_-^{\prime 2} = f^{\prime 2}$ on $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{m\}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\int |f|^p d\mu \right)^{\frac{2}{p}} - \int f^2 d\mu \leq 4B_+(p) \int F_+^{\prime 2} d\nu + 4B_-(p) \int F_-^{\prime 2} d\nu \\ & \leq 4 \max\{B_+(p), B_-(p)\} \left(\int F_+^{\prime 2} d\nu + \int F_-^{\prime 2} d\nu \right) \\ & = 4 \max\{B_+(p), B_-(p)\} \int f^{\prime 2} d\nu. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $C \leq 4 \max\{B_+(p), B_-(p)\}$.

Step 2. We estimate the lower bound of C . At first, we suppose that f is a continuous function which vanishes on $(-\infty, m]$ and is smooth on $[m, \infty)$. By approximation, f satisfies (4.1). Noting that in order to approach the supremum, the test function $g = -1$ on $(-\infty, m]$. By Lemma 4.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f|^p d\mu \right)^{\frac{2}{p}} - \int_{\mathbb{R}} f^2 d\mu = \sup \left\{ \int_m^{\infty} f^2 g d\mu; g \geq -1 \text{ and } \int_m^{\infty} (g+1)^{\frac{p}{p-2}} d\mu \leq 1 \right\} \\ & \geq \sup \left\{ \int_m^{\infty} f^2 g d\mu; g \geq 0 \text{ and } \int_m^{\infty} (g+1)^{\frac{p}{p-2}} d\mu \leq 1 \right\} \end{aligned}$$

Since $\mu([m, \infty)) \leq \frac{1}{2}$, we have $\int_m^{\infty} (g+1)^{\frac{p}{p-2}} d\mu \leq 1$ for many non-negative functions g . By (4.1), for such functions f with $f(m) = 0$, we have

$$\sup \left\{ \int_m^{\infty} f^2 g d\mu; g \geq 0 \text{ and } \int_m^{\infty} (g+1)^{\frac{p}{p-2}} d\mu \leq 1 \right\} \leq C \int_m^{\infty} f^{\prime 2} d\nu.$$

By Proposition 4.2, we have

$$C \geq \sup_{x>m} \left[\sup \left\{ \int_m^\infty 1_{[x,\infty)} g d\mu; g \geq 0 \text{ and } \int_m^\infty (g+1)^{\frac{p}{p-2}} d\mu \leq 1 \right\} \int_m^x \frac{1}{n(t)} dt \right].$$

Since $\mu([m, \infty)) \leq \frac{1}{2}$, using Lemma 4.4, we get

$$C \geq \sup_{x>m} \{ \mu([x, +\infty)) \left[\left(1 + \frac{1}{2\mu[x, +\infty)} \right)^{\frac{p-2}{p}} - 1 \right] \int_m^x \frac{1}{n(t)} dt \} = b_+(p).$$

Then we suppose that f is a continuous function which vanishes on $[m, \infty)$ and is smooth on $(-\infty, m]$. Similarly, we have

$$b_-(p) = \sup_{x<m} \{ \mu((-\infty, x]) \left[\left(1 + \frac{1}{2\mu(-\infty, x]} \right)^{\frac{p-2}{p}} - 1 \right] \int_x^m \frac{1}{n(t)} dt \}.$$

Hence $C \geq \max\{b_+(p), b_-(p)\}$. The proof is completed. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] Th. Aubin. Nonlinear analysis on manifolds. Monge-Ampère equations. *Springer*, 1982.
- [2] D. Bakry. L'hypercontractivité et son utilisation en théorie des semigroupes. In *Ecole d'Eté de Probabilités de Saint-Flour (1992)*, number 1581 in Lecture Notes in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, 1994.
- [3] D. Bakry, I. Gentil and M. Ledoux. Analysis and Geometry of Markov diffusion operators. In *Revue Européenne De Psychologie Appliquée*, 62(1): 9–17, 2014.
- [4] D. Bakry and M. Ledoux. Sobolev inequalities and Myers's diameter theorem for an abstract Markov generator. In *Duke Math. J.*, 85(1): 253–270, 1996.
- [5] F. Barthe and C. Roberto. Sobolev inequalities for probability measures on the real line. *Studia Math.*, 159(3): 481–497, 2003.
- [6] W. Beckner. Sobolev inequalities, the Poisson semigroup and analysis on the sphere S^n . *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci.*, 89(11): 4816–4819, 1992.
- [7] D. Chafai. Entropies, convexity, and functional inequalities: on Φ -entropies and Φ -Sobolev inequalities. *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.*, 44(2): 325–363, 2004.
- [8] A. Gibbs and F. Su. On choosing and bounding probability metrics. *Internat. Statist. Rev.*, 70(3): 419–435, 2002.
- [9] M. Ledoux. The geometry of Markov diffusion generators. *Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse IX*, 9(2): 305–366, 1998.
- [10] L. Wu. A new modified logarithmic Sobolev inequality for Poisson point processes and several applications. *Probab. Th. Rel. Fields*, 118(3): 427–438, 2000.

LINGYAN CHENG. CENTER FOR APPLIED MATHEMATICS, TIANJIN UNIVERSITY, TIANJIN 300072, PR CHINA.

E-mail address: chengly@amss.ac.cn

LIMING WU. LABORATOIRE DE MATHÉMATIQUES APPLIQUÉES, CNRS-UMR 6620, UNIVERSITÉ BLAISE PASCAL, 63177 AUBIÈRE, FRANCE.

E-mail address: Li-Ming.Wu@math.univ-bpclermont.fr