

Coupling by Change of Measure, Harnack Inequality and Hypercontractivity

Feng-Yu Wang

1 **Abstract** The coupling method is a powerful tool in analysis of stochastic processes.
2 To make the coupling successful before a given time, it is essential that two marginal
3 processes are constructed under different probability measures. We explain the main
4 idea of establishing Harnack inequalities for Markov semigroups using these new
5 type couplings, and apply the coupling and Harnack inequality to the study of hyper-
6 contractivity of Markov semigroups.

7 **Keywords** ■■■

8 1 Coupling Method for Harnack Inequality

In 1887, Carl Gustav Axel Harnack found out the following inequality: for an open domain $D \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ and a compact set $K \subset D$, there exists a constant $C(D, K)$ such that for any positive harmonic function u on D ,

$$\sup_K u \leq C(D, K) \inf_K u.$$

This inequality can be reformulated as follows: for any open domain D there exists a locally bounded positive function C on $D \times D$ such that

$$u(x) \leq C(x, y)u(y), \quad x, y \in D$$

9 holds for all positive harmonic functions u on D . This type of inequality is called
10 Harnack inequality and has been extended and applied to positive solutions of many
11 other elliptic or parabolic PDEs.

12 In this part, we introduce the main idea of establishing Harnack inequalities for
13 Markov semigroups using the coupling method. Let P_t be a Markov semigroup on

F.-Y. Wang (✉)

Center for Applied Mathematics, Tianjin University, Tianjin 300072, China

e-mail: wangfy@tju.edu.cn

14 a Polish space E . Let $\mathcal{B}_b^+(E)$ be the class of all non-negative bounded measurable
 15 functions on E . Given $t > 0$ and $x, y \in E$, we aim to compare $P_t f(x)$ and $P_t f(y)$
 16 uniformly in $f \in \mathcal{B}_b^+(E)$.

17 To apply the coupling method, we assume that the semigroup P_t is associated to a
 18 strong Markov process. For fixed $x, y \in E$, we consider the processes $X^x(t), X^y(t)$
 19 on the same probability space starting from x and y respectively such that

$$20 \quad P_t f(x) = \mathbb{E}[f(X^x(t))], \quad P_t f(y) = \mathbb{E}[f(X^y(t))], \quad t \geq 0, f \in \mathcal{B}_b^+(E). \quad (1)$$

Let $\tau = \inf\{t \geq 0 : X^x(t) = X^y(t)\}$ be the coupling time. By the strong Markov
 property, we may and do let $X^x(t) = X^y(t)$ for $t \geq \tau$. If $\mathbb{P}(\tau > t) = 0$ then
 $X^x(t) = X^y(t)$ \mathbb{P} -a.s., so that (1) gives

$$P_t f(x) = P_t f(y), \quad f \in \mathcal{B}_b^+(E).$$

21 This is, however, too strong to be true. Indeed, in general τ is an unbounded random
 22 variable such that $\mathbb{P}(\tau > t) > 0$ for $t > 0$. But if $\mathbb{P}(X^x(t) \neq X^y(t)) > 0$, then (1)
 23 does not provide any non-trivial comparison of $P_t f(x)$ and $P_t f(y)$ up to a constant
 24 independent of f , since, when $X^x(t) \neq X^y(t)$, a function f may be zero at $X^x(t)$
 25 but arbitrarily large at $X^y(t)$. Therefore, to derive the Harnack inequality of P_t using
 26 coupling, it seems essential that $\tau \leq t$, which is however impossible as explained
 27 above. To avoid the contradiction, we will construct the coupling under different
 28 probability measures, which is called coupling by change of measure.

29 From now on, we fix a complete probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$. We shall define
 30 the coupling by change of measure for stochastic processes. Let $\mathcal{L}(X)|_{\mathbb{P}}$ denote the
 31 law of a process $X(t)$ under the probability \mathbb{P} .

32 **Definition 1.1** Let $X(t)$ and $Y(t)$ be two stochastic processes on E . A stochastic
 33 process $(\bar{X}(t), \bar{Y}(t))$ on $E \times E$ is called a coupling by change of measure for $X(t)$
 34 and $Y(t)$ with changed measure \mathbb{Q} , if $\mathcal{L}(X)|_{\mathbb{P}} = \mathcal{L}(\bar{X})|_{\mathbb{P}}$ and \mathbb{Q} is a probability
 35 measure on (Ω, \mathcal{F}) such that $\mathcal{L}(Y)|_{\mathbb{P}} = \mathcal{L}(\bar{Y})|_{\mathbb{Q}}$. If, in particular, $\mathbb{Q} = \mathbb{P}$, we call
 36 $(\bar{X}(t), \bar{Y}(t))$ a coupling for $X(t)$ and $Y(t)$.

37 In applications, we assume that \mathbb{Q} is absolutely continuous with respect to \mathbb{P} . In
 38 this case, with a coupling by change of measure satisfying $\bar{X}(T) = \bar{Y}(T)$ \mathbb{Q} -a.s. for a
 39 fixed $T > 0$, one may compare the distributions of $X(T)$ and $Y(T)$ using the density
 40 $R := \frac{d\mathbb{Q}}{d\mathbb{P}}$ (we also denote $\mathbb{Q} = R\mathbb{P}$).

41 The following is a general result on Harnack type inequalities using coupling by
 42 change of measure.

43 **Theorem 1.1** Let P_t be the Markov semigroup and let $x, y \in E, T > 0$ be fixed.
 44 Suppose there is a coupling by change of measure $(\bar{X}(t), \bar{Y}(t))_{t \in [0, T]}$ with $\mathbb{Q} := R\mathbb{P}$
 45 such that $\bar{X}(T) = \bar{Y}(T)$ \mathbb{Q} -a.s. Then for any $f \in \mathcal{B}^+(E)$,

$$46 \quad \begin{aligned} (P_T f)^p(y) &\leq \{P_T f^p(x)\} \{\mathbb{E}[R^{p/(p-1)}]\}^{p-1}, \quad p > 1, \\ (P_T \log f)(y) &\leq \log(P_T f)(x) + \mathbb{E}[R \log R]. \end{aligned}$$

47 *Proof* By the definition of coupling by change of measure, we have $P_T f(x) =$
 48 $\mathbb{E}f(\bar{X}(T)), \mathbb{E}[Rf(\bar{Y}(T))] = P_T f(y)$. Combining with $\bar{X}(T) = \bar{Y}(T)$ \mathbb{Q} -a.s. and
 49 using the Hölder inequality, we obtain

$$50 \quad \begin{aligned} (P_T f)^p(y) &= \{\mathbb{E}[Rf(Y(T))]\}^p = \{\mathbb{E}[Rf(X(T))]\}^p \\ &\leq \{\mathbb{E}[f^p(X(T))]\} \{\mathbb{E}R^{p/(p-1)}\}^{p-1} = \{P_T f^p(x)\} \{\mathbb{E}[R^{p/(p-1)}]\}^{p-1}. \end{aligned}$$

51 Moreover, the Young inequality ([2, Lemma 2.4]) see implies

$$52 \quad \begin{aligned} (P_T \log f)(y) &= \mathbb{E}[R \log f(Y(T))] = \mathbb{E}[R \log f(X(T))] \\ 53 \quad &\leq \log \mathbb{E}[f(X(T))] + \mathbb{E}[R \log R] = \log(P_T f)(x) + \mathbb{E}[R \log R]. \end{aligned}$$

55

□

56 The Harnack inequality with a power $p > 1$ was first found in [16] for diffusion
 57 semigroups on manifolds with curvature bounded below using gradient estimates,
 58 and was then extended in [1, 2, 18] to unbounded below curvatures using coupling by
 59 change of measure. The log-Harnack inequality was introduced in [14, 19] for semi-
 60 linear SPDEs and Neumann semigroups on manifolds respectively. Both inequalities
 61 have been intensively investigated and applied for many other models, see e.g. [9,
 62 10, 18, 25] for non-linear SPDEs, [12–14, 28] for semi-linear SPDEs, [3, 5, 15, 27]
 63 for functional SDEs, [8, 22, 26] for degenerate SDEs, and [6, 24] for SDEs driven
 64 by Lévy and fractional noises. We refer to the survey paper [17] and the monograph
 65 [21] for more applications of coupling by change of measure and the above type
 66 Harnack inequalities.

67 In the next section, we introduce a general result on the hypercontractivity using
 68 coupling and Harnack inequality. Then we apply this result to degenerate SDEs and
 69 functional SPDEs in Sects. 3 and 4 respectively.

70 2 Hypercontractivity Using Coupling and Harnack 71 Inequality

Let (E, \mathcal{B}, μ) be a probability space, and let P_t be a Markov semigroup on $\mathcal{B}_b(E)$
 such that μ is P_t -invariant, i.e. $\mu(P_t f) = \mu(f)$ for $f \in L^1(\mu)$ and $t \geq 0$. P_t
 is called hypercontractive with respect to the invariant probability measure μ , if
 $\|P_t\|_{L^2(\mu) \rightarrow L^4(\mu)} = 1$ for large enough $t > 0$. By the interpolation theorem, one may
 replace the operator norm $\|\cdot\|_{L^2(\mu) \rightarrow L^4(\mu)}$ by $\|\cdot\|_{L^p(\mu) \rightarrow L^q(\mu)}$ for any $q > p > 1$.
 This property was found by Nelson [11] for the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroup. In
 general, the hypercontractivity of P_t implies the exponential convergence in entropy,
 i.e.

$$\text{Ent}_\mu(P_t f) \leq ce^{-\lambda t} \text{Ent}_\mu(f), \quad t \geq 0, f \in \mathcal{B}_b^+(E)$$

holds for some constants $c, \lambda > 0$, where $\text{Ent}_\mu(f) := \mu\left(f \log \frac{f}{\mu(f)}\right)$, see [23] and references therein. According to L. Gross (see e.g. [7]), the hypercontractivity of P_t follows from the log-Sobolev inequality

$$\mu(f^2 \log f^2) - \mu(f^2) \log \mu(f^2) \leq C \mu(-fLf), \quad f \in \mathbb{D}(L)$$

72 for some constant $C > 0$, where $(L, \mathbb{D}(L))$ is the generator of P_t in $L^2(\mu)$. When
 73 P_t is symmetric in $L^2(\mu)$, the hypercontractivity and the log-Sobolev inequality
 74 are equivalent. However, in the non-symmetric case, the log-Sobolev inequality is
 75 essentially stronger than the hypercontractivity, see Sects. 3 and 4 for hypercontractive
 76 semigroups for which the log-Sobolev inequality is not available.

77 We introduce below a general result on hypercontractivity using coupling and
 78 Harnack inequality. A process $(X(t), Y(t))$ on $E \times E$ is called a coupling of the
 79 Markov process with semigroup P_t , if

$$(P_t f)(X(0)) = \mathbb{E}[f(X_t)|X(0)], \quad (P_t f)(Y(0)) = \mathbb{E}[f(Y(t))|Y(0)], \quad f \in \mathcal{B}_b(E), t \geq 0.$$

80

81 **Theorem 2.1** ([23]) *Assume that the following three conditions hold for some*
 82 *measurable functions $\rho : E \times E \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ and $\phi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ with*
 83 *$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \phi(t) = 0$:*

(i) *There exist two constants $t_0, c_0 > 0$ such that*

$$(P_{t_0} f(\xi))^2 \leq (P_{t_0} f^2(\eta)) e^{c_0 \rho(\xi, \eta)^2}, \quad f \in \mathcal{B}_b(E), \xi, \eta \in E;$$

(ii) *For any $(X(0), Y(0)) \in E \times E$, there exists a coupling $(X(t), Y(t))$ associated
 to P_t such that*

$$\rho(X(t), Y(t)) \leq \phi(t) \rho(X(0), Y(0)), \quad t \geq 0;$$

84 (iii) *There exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $(\mu \times \mu)(e^{\varepsilon \rho^2}) < \infty$.*

85 *Then μ is the unique invariant probability measure and P_t is hypercontractive. Con-*
 86 *sequently, P_t is compact in $L^2(\mu)$ for large $t > 0$ and is exponentially convergent in*
 87 *entropy.*

88 *Proof (Sketch)* The Harnack inequality implies that P_t has a density with respect
 89 to μ , so that besides the exponential convergence in entropy, the hypercontractivity
 90 also implies the compactness of P_t in $L^2(\mu)$ for large $t > 0$, see [23] and references
 91 therein for details.

92 According to [27, Proposition 3.1], (i) implies that μ is the unique invariant
 93 probability measure for P_{t_0} , and P_{t_0} has a density with respect to μ . It remains to prove
 94 $\|P_t\|_{L^2(\mu) \rightarrow L^4(\mu)}^4 < 2$ for large enough $t > 0$, which implies the hypercontractivity
 95 according to [23, Proposition 2.2].

96 Let $f \in \mathcal{B}_b(E)$ with $\mu(f^2) \leq 1$. By (i) and (ii) we have

$$97 \quad (P_{t+t_0} f(\xi))^2 \leq \mathbb{E}[P_{t_0} f(X(t))]^2 \leq \mathbb{E}\left[\{P_{t_0} f^2(Y(t))\}e^{c_0 \rho(X(t), Y(t))^2}\right]$$

$$98 \quad \leq (P_{t_0+t} f^2(\eta))e^{c_0 \phi(t)^2 \rho(\xi, \eta)^2}, \quad t \geq 0, (\xi, \eta) \in E \times E.$$

Equivalently,

$$(P_{t_0+t} f(\xi))^2 e^{-c_0 \phi(t)^2 \rho(\xi, \eta)^2} \leq P_{t_0+t} f^2(\eta), \quad t \geq 0, (\xi, \eta) \in E \times E.$$

100 Integrating with respect to $\mu(d\eta)$ gives

$$101 \quad (P_{t_0+t} f(\xi))^2 \int_E e^{-c_0 \phi(t)^2 \rho(\xi, \eta)^2} \mu(d\eta)$$

$$102 \quad \leq \int_E P_{t_0+t} f^2(\eta) \mu(d\eta) = \mu(f^2) \leq 1, \quad t \geq 0, \xi \in E.$$

Thus,

$$(P_{t_0+t} f(\xi))^4 \leq \frac{1}{\left(\int_E \exp[-c_0 \phi(t)^2 \rho(\xi, \eta)^2] \mu(d\eta)\right)^2}, \quad \mu(f^2) \leq 1, t \geq 0, \xi \in E.$$

104 Then by Jensen's inequality, for $t \geq 0$

$$105 \quad \sup_{\mu(f^2) \leq 1} \int_E (P_{t+t_0} f(\xi))^4 \mu(d\xi) \leq \int_E \frac{\mu(d\xi)}{\left(\int_E \exp[-c_0 \phi(t)^2 \rho(\xi, \eta)^2] \mu(d\eta)\right)^2} \quad (2)$$

$$\leq \int_E \left(\int_E e^{c_0 \phi(t)^2 \rho(\xi, \eta)^2} \mu(d\eta)\right)^2 \mu(d\xi) \leq \int_{E \times E} e^{2c_0 \phi(t)^2 \rho(\xi, \eta)^2} \mu(d\xi) \mu(d\eta).$$

Since $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \phi(t) = 0$, it follows from (iii) that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{E \times E} e^{2c_0 \phi(t)^2 \rho(\xi, \eta)^2} \mu(d\xi) \mu(d\eta) = 1.$$

106 Combining this with (2) we prove $\|P_t\|_{2 \rightarrow 4}^4 < 2$ for large enough $t > 0$. \square

107 3 Hypercontractivity for Degenerate SDEs

108 We only consider finite-dimensional stochastic Hamiltonian systems, see [23] for
109 extensions to infinite-dimensions and typical examples.

110 Consider the following degenerate SDE for $(X(t), Y(t))$ on $\mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^d$:

$$111 \quad \begin{cases} dX(t) = (AX(t) + BY(t)) dt, \\ dY(t) = Z(X(t), Y(t))dt + \sigma dW(t), \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

112 where $W(t)$ is a d -dimensional Brownian motion, and

113 (A1) A is an $m \times m$ -matrix, B is a $d \times m$ -matrix, σ is a $d \times d$ -matrix, such that σ
114 is invertible and $\text{Rank}[B, AB, \dots, A^{m-1}B] = m$.

115 (A2) $Z : \mathbb{R}^{m+d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ is Lipschitz continuous.

116 (A3) There exist constants $r, \theta > 0$ and $r_0 \in (-\|B\|^{-1}, \|B\|^{-1})$ such that

$$117 \quad \begin{aligned} & \langle r^2(x - \bar{x}) + rr_0B(y - \bar{y}), A(x - \bar{x}) + B(y - \bar{y}) \rangle \\ & + \langle Z(x, y) - Z(\bar{x}, \bar{y}), y - \bar{y} + rr_0B^*(x - \bar{x}) \rangle \\ & \leq -\theta(|x - \bar{x}|^2 + |y - \bar{y}|^2), \quad (x, y), (\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \in \mathbb{R}^{m+d}. \end{aligned}$$

118 **Theorem 3.1** ([23]) *Assume (A1), (A2) and (A3). Let P_t be the Markov semigroup*
119 *associated with (3). Then P_t has a unique invariant probability measure μ and it*
120 *is hypercontractive. Consequently, P_t is compact in $L^2(\mu)$ for large $t > 0$, and is*
121 *exponentially convergent in entropy.*

Proof (Sketch). Firstly, by (A1) and (A2) we may construct a coupling by change
of measure such that Theorem 3.1 gives the following Harnack inequality: for any
 $t_0 > 0$,

$$(P_{t_0} f)^2(\xi) \leq (P_{t_0} f^2(\eta))e^{c_0|\xi - \eta|^2}, \quad f \in \mathcal{B}_b(\mathbb{R}^{m+d}), \xi, \eta \in \mathbb{R}^{m+d}$$

122 holds for some constant $c_0 > 0$.

Secondly, if (A3) holds then we may find out two constants $c, \lambda > 0$ such that
for any two solutions $(X(t), Y(t))$ and $(X(\tau), Y(\tau))$ of (3),

$$|X(t) - X(\tau)|^2 + |Y(t) - Y(\tau)|^2 \leq ce^{-\lambda t} (|X(0) - X(0)|^2 + |Y(0) - Y(0)|^2), \quad t \geq 0.$$

123 Finally, if (A3) holds then the standard argument using a Lyapunov condition
124 implies that P_t has an invariant probability measure μ such that $\mu(e^{\varepsilon|\cdot|^2}) < \infty$ for
125 some constant $\varepsilon > 0$.

126 Therefore, the proof is finished by Theorem 2.1. □

127 4 Hypercontractivity for Functional SPDEs

128 We will only consider non-degenerate functional semi-linear SPDEs, see [4] for
129 results on degenerate functional SPDEs and specific examples.

Let \mathbb{H} be a separable Hilbert space. For a fixed constant $r_0 > 0$, consider the path space $\mathcal{C} = C([-r_0, 0]; \mathbb{H})$ equipped with the uniform norm $\|f\|_\infty := \sup_{-r_0 \leq \theta \leq 0} |f(\theta)|$. For a map $h(\cdot) : [-r_0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$, we define its segment functional $\bar{h} : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ by letting

$$h_t(\theta) = h(t + \theta), \quad \theta \in [-r_0, 0].$$

130 Consider the following SPDE on \mathbb{H} :

$$131 \quad dX(t) = \{AX(t) + b(X_t)\}dt + \sigma dW(t), \quad t > 0, \quad X_0 = \xi \in \mathcal{C}, \quad (4)$$

where $W(t)$ is a cylindrical Brownian motion on \mathbb{H} ; is,

$$W(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i(t)e_i, \quad t \geq 0$$

132 for an orthonormal basis $\{e_i\}_{i \geq 1}$ on \mathbb{H} and a sequence of independent one-dimensional
133 Brownian motions $\{B_i(t)\}_{i \geq 1}$. Moreover:

(H1) $(-A, \mathcal{D}(A))$ is a self-adjoint operator on \mathbb{H} with discrete spectrum $0 < \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots$ counting multiplicities such that $\lambda_i \uparrow \infty$, such that for some constant $\delta \in (0, 1)$,

$$\int_0^1 \|e^{-t(-A)^{1-\delta}} \sigma\|_{HS}^2 dt < \infty, \quad t > 0,$$

134 where $\|\cdot\|_{HS}$ stands for the Hilbert-Schmidt norm.

(H2) $b : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ is such that

$$|b(\xi) - b(\eta)| \leq L \|\xi - \eta\|_\infty, \quad \xi, \eta \in \mathcal{C}$$

135 holds for some constant $L > 0$.

136 **(H3)** $(\sigma, \mathbb{D}(\sigma))$ is an invertible linear operator on \mathbb{H} , i.e. there exists bounded oper-
137 ator σ^{-1} such that $\sigma^{-1}\mathbb{H} \subset \mathbb{D}(\sigma)$ and $\sigma\sigma^{-1} = I$, the identity operator.

It is easy to see that **(H1)** and **(H2)** imply

$$\int_0^1 \|e^{tA} \sigma\|_{HS}^{2(1+\varepsilon)} dt < \infty$$

for some $\varepsilon > 0$. So, according to e.g. [21, Theorem 4.1.3], for any initial point $\xi \in \mathcal{C}$, the equation (4) has a unique continuous mild solution $(X^\xi(t))_{t \geq 0}$. Let $\{X_t^\xi\}_{t \geq 0}$ be the corresponding segment solution. Then the associated Markov semigroup is given by

$$P_t f(\xi) := \mathbb{E} f(X_t^\xi), \quad f \in \mathcal{B}_b(\mathcal{C}), \quad \xi \in \mathcal{C}.$$

138 **Theorem 4.1** ([4]) *Let (H1)–(H3) hold. If $\lambda := \sup_{s \in (0, \lambda_1]} (s - Le^{sr_0}) > 0$, then P_t*
 139 *has a unique invariant probability measure and is hypercontractive. Consequently,*
 140 *P_t is compact in $L^2(\mu)$ for large $t > 0$ and is exponentially convergent in entropy.*

Proof (Sketch) By constructing a suitable coupling by change of measure in terms of (H1) and (H2), we establish the following Harnack inequality according to Theorem 3.1: for any $t_0 > r_0$, there exists a constant $c_0 > 0$ such that (see [21, Theorem 4.2.4]):

$$(P_{t_0} f(\eta))^2 \leq (P_{t_0} f^2(\xi)) e^{c_0 \|\xi - \eta\|_\infty^2}, \quad \xi, \eta \in \mathcal{C}, f \in \mathcal{B}_b(\mathcal{C}).$$

141 Next, by (H1) and (H2) we have

$$142 \quad e^{\lambda_1 t} |X^\xi(t) - X^\eta(t)| \leq |\xi(0) - \eta(0)| + L \int_0^t e^{\lambda_1 s} \|X_s^\xi - X_s^\eta\|_\infty ds.$$

By Gronwall's inequality this implies

$$\|X_t^\xi - X_t^\eta\|_\infty \leq e^{\lambda_1 r_0} e^{-\lambda t} \|\xi - \eta\|_\infty, \quad t \geq 0, \quad \xi, \eta \in \mathcal{C}.$$

143 According to Theorem 2.1, it remains to verify $\mu(e^{\varepsilon \|\cdot\|_\infty^2}) < \infty$ for some constant
 144 $\varepsilon > 0$. This can be done by applying an infinite-dimensional Fernique inequality. \square

145 **Acknowledgements** The author would like to thank the referee for helpful comments and a number
 146 of corrections. The work is supported by NNSFC (11771326, 11431014).

147 References

- 148 1. Arnaudon, M., Thalmaier, A., Wang, F.-Y.: Harnack inequality and heat kernel estimates on
 149 manifolds with curvature unbounded below. *Bull. Sci. Math.* **130**, 223–233 (2006)
- 150 2. Arnaudon, M., Thalmaier, A., Wang, F.-Y.: Gradient estimates and Harnack inequalities on
 151 non-compact Riemannian manifolds. *Stoch. Process. Appl.* **119**, 3653–3670 (2009)
- 152 3. Bao, J., Wang, F.-Y., Yuan, C.: Derivative formula and Harnack inequality for degenerate
 153 functional SDEs. *Stoch. Dyn.* **13**, 1250013, 22 pp (2011)
- 154 4. Bao, J., Wang, F.-Y., Yuan, C.: Hypercontractivity for functional stochastic partial differential
 155 equations. *Comm. Electr. Probab.* **20**(9), 1–15 (2015)
- 156 5. Es-Sarhir, A., v. Renesse, M.-K., Scheutzow, M.: Harnack inequality for functional SDEs with
 157 bounded memory. *Electr. Commun. Probab.* **14**, 560–565 (2009)
- 158 6. Fan, X.-L.: Harnack inequality and derivative formula for SDE driven by fractional Brownian
 159 motion. *Sci. China-Math.* **56**(1), 515–524 (2013)
- 160 7. Gross, L.: Logarithmic Sobolev inequalities. *Am. J. Math.* **97**, 1061–1083 (1976)
- 161 8. Guillin, A., Wang, F.-Y.: Degenerate Fokker-Planck equations: Bismut formula, gradient esti-
 162 mate and Harnack inequality. *J. Differ. Equ.* **253**, 20–40 (2012)
- 163 9. Liu, W.: Harnack inequality and applications for stochastic evolution equations with monotone
 164 drifts. *J. Evol. Equ.* **9**, 747–770 (2009)
- 165 10. Liu, W., Wang, F.-Y.: Harnack inequality and strong Feller property for stochastic fast-diffusion
 166 equations. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **342**, 651–662 (2008)