

Littlewood–Paley–Stein square functions for the fractional discrete Laplacian on $\ensuremath{\mathbb{Z}}$

Huaiqian Li¹ • Liying Mu¹

Received: 29 August 2023 / Accepted: 5 June 2024 © Universidad Complutense de Madrid 2024

Abstract

We investigate the boundedness of "vertical" Littlewood–Paley–Stein square functions for the nonlocal fractional discrete Laplacian on the lattice \mathbb{Z} , where the underlying graphs are not locally finite. When $q \in [2, \infty)$, we prove the l^q boundedness of the square function by exploring the corresponding Markov jump process and applying the martingale inequality. When $q \in (1, 2]$, we consider a modified version of the square function and prove its l^q boundedness through a careful in on the generalized carré du champ operator. A counterexample is constructed to show that it is necessary to consider the modified version. Moreover, we extend the study to a class of nonlocal Schrödinger operators for $q \in (1, 2]$.

Keywords Fractional discrete Laplacian · Square function · Schrödinger operator · Jump process

Mathematics Subject Classification Primary $60G51 \cdot 42B25$; Secondary $60J60 \cdot 60J74$

1 Introduction

In classical harmonic analysis, the Littlewood–Paley square function plays an important role in the study of the boundedness of Riesz transform, the boundedness of Fourier multipliers, the convergence of non-tangential maximal functions, and so on. The pivotal status has led to the in-depth investigation of Littlewood–Paley square functions in different settings and for diverse objectives. However, when it comes to

Partially supported by the National Key R&D Program of China (Grant No. 2022YFA1006000) and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11831014).

Published online: 18 June 2024

Center for Applied Mathematics, Tianjin University, Tianjin 300072, People's Republic of China



applications on partial differential equations for instance, what kind of square function we employ depends on the semigroup chosen. Consequently, it stimulates the intensive study of specific square functions associated with a given semigroup. See e.g. [9, 21, 28–30]. Last but not the least, the probabilistic counterpart of square functions is the (predictable) quadratic variation of martingales, which have been also investigated a lot; refer to [4, 5, 22, 23, 26, 27, 32] for instance.

The motivation of the present work is two fold. On the one hand, the boundedness of "horizontal" (i.e., derivative w.r.t. the time variable) Littlewood–Paley–Stein square function for discrete Laplacian was proved in some weight l^p space over the one-dimensional lattice \mathbb{Z} in [6], and the regularity and extension problems of the fractional discrete Laplacian on \mathbb{Z} was studied recently in [7]. On the other hand, the Littlewood–Paley–Stein square function for pure jump Lévy process on \mathbb{R}^d was investigated in [3], which was also extended recently to the more general setting of Dirichlet forms of pure jump type on metric measure spaces in [18] and in the setting of nonlocal Schrödinger type operators in [17]; see also the very recent papers [16, 19] in the Dunkl setting where the corresponding jump process may not be a Lévy process.

So, it would be interesting to consider the "vertical" (i.e., derivative w.r.t. the space variable) Littlewood–Paley–Stein square function for the fractional discrete Laplacian on lattices. In contrast to related results appeared in the literature, such as Dungey's consideration on uniformly locally finite graphs (see [13]), the graphs underlying the Markov chain generated by the fractional discrete Laplacian on \mathbb{Z} are locally infinite (see Remark 2.1 for details).

2 Preparations and main results

In this section, we aim to present the main results. For this purpose, we shall introduce some notions and notations which will be frequently used below.

Let \mathbb{Z} be the one-dimensional lattice endowed with the counting measure #. Let $q \in [1, \infty]$. For convenience, we use l^q to denote the standard space $l^q(\mathbb{Z}, \#)$ with the norm

$$||f||_q := \left(\sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}} |f(x)|^q\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}, \quad 1 \le q < \infty,$$

and

$$||f||_{\infty} := \sup_{x \in \mathbb{Z}} |f(x)|.$$

Note that for any $p \ge q \ge 1$, we have $l^q \subset l^p \subset l^\infty$.

Recall that the discrete Laplacian on \mathbb{Z} , denoted by Δ , is defined as

$$\Delta u(j) = u(j+1) - 2u(j) + u(j-1), \quad j \in \mathbb{Z},$$

for every function $u : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{R}$.



There are a couple of ways to introduce the fractional discrete Laplacian; see e.g. [2, 10, 14, 24, 25, 30, 31]. Here we choose the semigroup approach; see e.g. [7, 24, 30]. We always assume that 0 < s < 1. For any suitable function $u : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{R}$, define the fractional discrete Laplacian $L := (-\Delta)^s$ on \mathbb{Z} as

$$Lu = \frac{1}{\Gamma(-s)} \int_0^\infty (e^{t\Delta}u - u) \, \frac{dt}{t^{1+s}},$$

where Γ denotes the Gamma function and $s\Gamma(-s) = -\Gamma(1-s)$. Indeed, we have the following pointwise nonlocal formula, established recently in [7, Theorem 1.1], i.e., for every $u \in \mathcal{D}_s$,

$$Lu(j) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} (u(j) - u(m)) K_s(j - m), \quad j \in \mathbb{Z},$$
 (2.1)

where

$$\mathcal{D}_s := \left\{ u : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{R} : \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} |u(m)| (1+|m|)^{-(1+2s)} < \infty \right\},\,$$

and the kernel

$$K_s(m) := \frac{4^s \Gamma(1/2+s)}{\sqrt{\pi} |\Gamma(-s)|} \cdot \frac{\Gamma(|m|-s)}{\Gamma(|m|+1+s)}, \quad m \in \mathbb{Z},$$

with the convention that $K_s(0) := 0$; moreover, there exists a constant $c_s \in [1, \infty)$, depending on s, such that

$$\frac{c_s^{-1}}{|m|^{1+2s}} \le K_s(m) \le \frac{c_s}{|m|^{1+2s}}, \quad m \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}.$$
 (2.2)

We remark that $l^1 \subset \mathcal{D}_s$ for every $s \in (0, 1)$.

In the present situation, the operator -L generates a Markov chain with state space \mathbb{Z} and L can be also written in the following way: for any $u \in \mathcal{D}_s$,

$$Lu(i) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \|K_s\|_{l^1} \big(\mathbb{1}_{i,j} - p_{i,j}\big) u(j), \quad i \in \mathbb{Z},$$

where $\mathbb{1}_{i,j} = 1$ if i = j and $\mathbb{1}_{i,j} = 0$ otherwise, and

$$p_{i,j} := \frac{1}{\|K_s\|_{I_1}} K_s(i-j), \quad i, j \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Note that the one-step transition probabilities of the Markov chain is given by the infinite matrix $(p_{i,j})_{i,j\in\mathbb{Z}}$ such that, for each $(i,j)\in\mathbb{Z}^2$, $p_{i,j}$ is the probability of jumping from the point i to the point j in the next step. See also [7, Remark 1.2].



As we have mentioned before that the graphs underlying the Markov chain generated by -L with the state space \mathbb{Z} are not locally finite.

Remark 2.1 Let $s \in (0, 1)$ and let the triple (V, E, w) be the undirected weighted graph such that $V = \mathbb{Z}$ is the set of vertices and E is the set of edges with edge weight $w_{i,j} := K_s(i-j)$ for every $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$. Assume that the weight on the vertices is constant. Then the graph Laplacian on (V, E, w) is expressed as (2.1). Note that the Markov chain $(p_{i,j})_{i,j\in\mathbb{Z}}$ above has arbitrarily long jumps. Indeed, for any $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $i \neq j$, the probability to jump from i to j is $p_{i,j}$ which is comparable to $|i-j|^{-(1+2s)}$ by (2.2). Hence, the graph (V, E, w) is locally infinite (equivalently, K_s has unbounded support), which is in contrast to Dungey's setting in [13]. For some basics on the graph theory, see e.g. [15].

In the discrete space, it seems that the most natural gradient operator is the difference operator D, defined as

$$D f(x) = f(x+1) - f(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{Z},$$

for every function $f: \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{R}$. However, we also introduce the modulus of gradient $|\nabla \cdot|$ and the modulus of the modified gradient $|\widetilde{\nabla} \cdot|$ as candidates for the "gradient" operator. For every $f \in \mathcal{D}_s$ and every $x \in \mathbb{Z}$, define

$$|\nabla f|(x) = \left(\sum_{y \in \mathbb{Z}} K_s(y - x)[f(x) - f(y)]^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

$$|\widetilde{\nabla} f|(x) = \left(\sum_{\{y \in \mathbb{Z}: |f(x)| > |f(y)|\}} K_s(y - x)[f(x) - f(y)]^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

In the present work, we are interested in Littlewood–Paley–Stein square functions associated with $|D \cdot|$, $|\nabla \cdot|$ and $|\widetilde{\nabla} \cdot|$, respectively.

Let $s \in (0, 1)$ and let $(e^{-tL})_{t \ge 0}$ be the semigroup corresponding to L. The "vertical" Littlewood–Paley–Stein square functions that we consider are defined as follows: for any $f \in l^1$ and any $x \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$G(f)(x) := \left(\int_0^\infty |\nabla e^{-tL} f|^2(x) \, \mathrm{d}t\right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

$$\widetilde{G}(f)(x) := \left(\int_0^\infty |\widetilde{\nabla} e^{-tL} f|^2(x) \, \mathrm{d}t\right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

$$H(f)(x) := \left(\int_0^\infty |\mathrm{D} e^{-tL} f|^2(x) \, \mathrm{d}t\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Let $q \in [1, \infty]$. Our aim is to establish the boundedness of the above square functions in l^q . We say that G is bounded in l^q if G extends to l^q and there exists a



constant $c_q > 0$, depending only on q, such that

$$||G(f)||_q \le c_q ||f||_q, \quad f \in l^q.$$

The same for \widetilde{G} and H. The main result is presented in the following theorem.

Theorem 2.2 For every $q \in (1, 2]$, the square functions \widetilde{G} and H are bounded in l^q . For every $q \in [2, \infty)$, the square functions G, \widetilde{G} and H are bounded in l^q .

Some remarks on Theorem 2.2 are in order.

Remark 2.3 (1) For $q \in (1, 2)$, the square function G may not be bounded; see the counterexample given in Section 3. So, in this case, considering the modified version \widetilde{G} is necessary.

- (2) For $q \in (1, 2]$, in order to highlight the flexibility of our method, we may consider the Littlewood–Paley–Stein square function associated with a class of nonlocal Schrödinger operators and prove the boundedness in l^q by adapting the approach employed for the proof of Theorem 2.2; see Corollary 2.4.
- (3) Consider the discrete Laplacian Δ and its semigroup $(e^{t\Delta})_{t\geq 0}$. Define the "horizontal" Littlewood–Paley–Stein square function or g-function as

$$g(f) = \left(\int_0^\infty t \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial t} e^{t\Delta} f \right|^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

It is well known that, by the general result [30, Corollary 1 on page 120] for Markov semigroups on metric measure spaces, g is bounded in l^q for all $1 < q < \infty$. In the recent paper [6], it is proved that g is bounded in the weighted l^q space for all $q \in (1, \infty)$, where the weight is of Muckenhoupt type.

Let U be a non-negative function defined on \mathbb{Z} and $s \in (0, 1)$. Consider the nonlocal Schrödinger operator on \mathbb{Z} , i.e.,

$$L_{\mathrm{U}} := (-\Delta)^{s} + \mathrm{U},$$

which is understood in the sense of quadratic forms. Assume that $L_{\rm U}$ generates a strongly continuous, contractive, symmetric and sub-Markovian semigroup on l^2 , denoted by $(e^{-tL_{\rm U}})_{t\geq 0}$. Note that $(e^{-tL_{\rm U}})_{t\geq 0}$ is the so-called "symmetric diffusion semigroup" without the conservation property in the sense of the second paragraph on page 65 in [30]. As a consequence, $(e^{-tL_{\rm U}})_{t\geq 0}$ may be extended to a strongly continuous, positive and contractive semigroup on l^q for all $q\in [1,\infty)$. For simplicity, we keep using the same notation. Then, for each $q\in [1,\infty)$, we have the semigroup domination, i.e.,

$$0 \le e^{-tL_{\rm U}} f \le e^{-tL} f, \quad t \ge 0, \ f \in l_+^q,$$

where l_+^q stands for the cone of nonnegative functions in l^q . We refer to [11, 12] for a comprehensive study on Schrödinger operators.



For a suitable function $f: \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{R}$, define the Littlewood–Paley–Stein square function in this case as

$$\widetilde{G}_{\mathrm{U}}(f) = \left(\int_{0}^{\infty} \left(|\widetilde{\nabla} e^{-tL_{\mathrm{U}}} f|^{2} + |\sqrt{\mathrm{U}} e^{-tL_{\mathrm{U}}} f|^{2} \right) \mathrm{d}t \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

Then we have the following result.

Corollary 2.4 For every $q \in (1, 2]$, the square functions \widetilde{G}_U is bounded in l^q , i.e., there exists a constant $c_q > 0$, depending only on q, such that

$$\|\widetilde{G}_{\mathbf{U}}(f)\|_q \le c_q \|f\|_q, \quad f \in l^q.$$

In Section 3, we construct a concrete counterexample to show that G is not always bounded in l^q for all $q \in (1, 2)$. In Section 4, we present the proofs for Theorem 2.2 when $q \in (1, 2]$ and Corollary 2.4. In Section 5, we prove Theorem 2.2 when $q \in [2, \infty)$.

In what follows, for convenience, we also use P_t (resp. $P_t^{\rm U}$) to denote e^{-tL} (resp. $e^{-tL_{\rm U}}$) for every t > 0.

3 A counterexample

Let $(h_t)_{t>0}$ be the heat kernel corresponding to Δ . It is known that

$$h_t(x, y) = \frac{e^{-2t}}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} e^{2t \cos(u)} \cos(|x - y|u) du, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{Z}, \ t > 0;$$

see e.g. [6].

Let $s \in (0, 1)$ and t > 0. We use i to denote the imaginary unit. Set

$$f_{s,t}(\lambda) := \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{a-i\infty}^{a+i\infty} e^{z\lambda - tz^s} dz, & \lambda \ge 0, \ a > 0, \\ 0, & \lambda < 0. \end{cases}$$

Then $f_{s,t}(\lambda) \ge 0$ for every $\lambda \ge 0$. Let $q \in [1, \infty]$. For every $u \in l^q$, we have

$$P_t u(x) = \int_0^\infty f_{s,t}(\lambda) e^{\lambda \Delta} u(x) \, d\lambda$$

=
$$\int_0^\infty f_{s,t}(\lambda) \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} h_t(x, m) u(m) \, d\lambda, \quad x \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

In addition, we have the formula

$$e^{-ta^s} = \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda a} f_{s,t}(\lambda) \, d\lambda, \quad t > 0, \ a > 0.$$
 (3.1)



For these facts, refer to [33, Section 9, Chapter IX] and [25] for instance. Now take

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & x \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{1\}, \\ 1, & x = 1. \end{cases}$$

It is clear that $f \in l^q$ for all $q \in [1, \infty]$, and

$$P_t f(x) = \int_0^\infty f_{s,t}(\lambda) \frac{e^{-2\lambda}}{\pi} \int_0^\pi e^{2\lambda \cos(u)} \cos(|x - 1|u) \, \mathrm{d}u \, \mathrm{d}\lambda, \quad x \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (3.2)$$

Assume that $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ and x > 1. Then, by (3.2) and the first inequality in (2.2), we have

$$\begin{split} &G(f)^{2}(x) \\ &= \int_{0}^{\infty} \sum_{y \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} [P_{t}f(x+y) - P_{t}f(x)]^{2}K_{s}(y) \, \mathrm{d}t \\ &\geq \int_{0}^{\infty} c_{s}^{-1} \sum_{y \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} \frac{[P_{t}f(x+y) - P_{t}f(x)]^{2}}{|y|^{1+2s}} \, \mathrm{d}t \\ &= \int_{0}^{\infty} \sum_{y \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}} \frac{c_{s}^{-1}}{|y|^{1+2s}} \\ &\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} f_{s,t}(\lambda) \frac{e^{-2\lambda}}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} e^{2\lambda \cos(u)} [\cos(|x+y-1|u) - \cos(|x-1|u)] \, \mathrm{d}u \, \mathrm{d}\lambda \right)^{2} \, \mathrm{d}t \\ &\geq \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{c_{s}^{-1}}{|1-x|^{1+2s}} \left(\int_{0}^{\infty} f_{s,t}(\lambda) \frac{e^{-2\lambda}}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} e^{2\lambda \cos(u)} [1 - \cos(|x-1|u)] \, \mathrm{d}u \, \mathrm{d}\lambda \right)^{2} \, \mathrm{d}t \\ &\geq \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{c_{s}^{-1}}{|1-x|^{1+2s}} \left(\int_{0}^{\infty} f_{s,t}(\lambda) e^{-4\lambda} \, \mathrm{d}\lambda \right)^{2} \, \mathrm{d}t \\ &= \frac{c_{s}^{-1}}{|1-x|^{1+2s}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \left(e^{-t4^{s}} \right)^{2} \, \mathrm{d}t, \end{split}$$

where we employed (3.1) in the last equality.

In particular, take $s=\frac{1}{4}$. Then, $4\int_0^\infty e^{-2\sqrt{2}t}\,\mathrm{d}t=\sqrt{2}$, and we immediately have

$$|G(f)(x)| \ge \frac{\sqrt[4]{2}c_{1/4}^{-1}}{2|1 - x|^{3/4}}, \quad x \in \mathbb{Z}, \ x > 1.$$



Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \|G(f)\|_q^q &= \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}} |G(f)(x)|^q \\ &\geq \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} |G(f)(m)|^q \\ &\geq \left(\frac{\sqrt[4]{2}c_{1/4}^{-1}}{2}\right)^q \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m^{3q/4}}. \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that, for every $q \in (1, \frac{4}{3}]$, we have $||G(f)||_q = \infty$.

Let us note in passing that the above example also shows that the "local doubling" property is necessary in the paper [13].

4 The case $1 < q \le 2$

In this section, we aim to prove the boundedness of \widetilde{G} and H in l_q for all $q \in (1, 2]$, as well as Corollary 2.4. At first, let us give a brief description of the idea of proof.

Let \mathbb{M} be a Riemannian manifold, $\Delta_{\mathbb{M}}$ be the Laplace–Beltrami operator, $\nabla_{\mathbb{M}}$ be the gradient operator, and $|\cdot|$ be the length in the tangent space. Let $q \in (1, 2]$. The classic argument used by Stein (see [29, 30]) depends on the following chain rule

$$\Delta_{\mathbb{M}} f^q = q(q-1)f^{q-2}|\nabla_{\mathbb{M}} f|^2 - qf^{q-1}\Delta_{\mathbb{M}} f,$$

for every $0 < f \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{M})$. However, this chain rule is no longer valid for fractional discrete Laplacian $(-\Delta)^s$. Following the idea of Dungey [13] (in the setting of locally finite graphs), we introduce the pseudo-gradient operator Γ_q , i.e.,

$$\Gamma_q(f) = qfLf - f^{2-q}Lf^q,$$

for suitable nonnegative functions f defined on \mathbb{Z} , and consider

$$\mathcal{H}_q(f) = \left(\int_0^\infty \Gamma_q \left(e^{-tL}f\right) dt\right)^{1/2}, \quad 0 \le f \in l^1.$$

By adapting Stein's argument, we may prove the l^q boundedness of \mathcal{H}_q ; see Proposition 4.2. Hence, in order to show the l^q boundedness of \widetilde{G} , and H, the problem left is to compare Γ_q and $|\widetilde{\nabla} \cdot|$, $|\nabla \cdot|$ and $|D \cdot|$, which hinges on a deep understanding of Γ_q . Given $f \in l^q$, define the semigroup maximal function f^* by

$$f^*(x) := \sup_{t>0} |e^{-tL} f|(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Then we have the following lemma which is proved in [30, p. 73] for much more general contractive, symmetric sub-Markovian semigroups.



Lemma 4.1 For every $q \in (1, \infty]$, there exists a constant $c_q > 0$ depending only on q such that

$$||f^*||_q \le c_q ||f||_q, \quad q \in (1, \infty].$$

We have the following boundedness property for \mathcal{H}_q .

Proposition 4.2 Let $q \in (1, 2]$. There exists a constant $c_q > 0$ depending only on q such that

$$\|\mathcal{H}_q(f)\|_q \le c_q \|f\|_q, \quad 0 \le f \in l^1.$$

Proof Let $q \in (1, 2]$ and $0 \le f \in l^1$. Set $u_t := P_t f$ for every $t \ge 0$. We have

$$u_t^{q-2} \Gamma_q(u_t) = q u_t^{q-1} L u_t - L u_t^q$$

= $q u_t^{q-1} (\partial_t + L) u_t - (\partial_t + L) (u_t^q)$.

Since $(\partial_t + L)u_t = 0$, we immediately get

$$\Gamma_q(u_t) = -u_t^{2-q} (\partial_t + L)(u_t^q).$$

Then

$$(\mathcal{H}_q f)^2 = \int_0^\infty \Gamma_q(u_t) dt$$

$$= -\int_0^\infty u_t^{2-q} (\partial_t + L)(u_t^q) dt$$

$$\leq (f^*)^{2-q} J,$$

where

$$J(x) := -\int_0^\infty (\partial_t + L) u_t^q(x) dt \ge 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

According to the Hölder's inequality and Lemma 4.1, there exists a constant $c_q^\prime>0$ such that

$$\begin{split} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\mathcal{H}_q f(x) \right)^q &\leq \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}} f^*(x)^{q(2-q)/2} J(x)^{q/2} \\ &\leq \left[\sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}} f^*(x)^q \right]^{(2-q)/2} \left[\sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}} J(x) \right]^{q/2} \\ &\leq c_q' \|f\|_q^{q(2-q)/2} \left[\sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}} J(x) \right]^{q/2} \,. \end{split}$$



By (2.1), for each $g \in l^1$,

$$\sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}} (Lg)(x) = 0.$$

Hence

$$\sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}} J(x) = -\int_0^\infty \left(\sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}} \partial_t u_t^q(x) \right) dt$$
$$= -\int_0^\infty \partial_t \left(\sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}} u_t^q(x) \right) dt$$
$$\leq \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}} f(x)^q = \|f\|_q^q.$$

Combining the above estimates together, we finally arrive at

$$\sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}} (\mathcal{H}_q f(x))^q \le c_q \|f\|_q^q, \quad 0 \le f \in l^1,$$

for some constant $c_q > 0$ depending only on q.

In order to control the modulus of difference operator $|D \cdot|$ and the modulus of modified gradient $|\widetilde{\nabla} \cdot|$ by $\Gamma_q(\cdot)$ pointwise, we need the following lemma which provides an explicit expression for Γ_q .

Lemma 4.3 Let $q \in (1, 2]$. For every $0 \le f \in l^1$ and every $x \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\Gamma_{q}(f)(x) = \sum_{y \in \mathbb{Z}} K_{s}(x - y) \Big[qf(x)(f(x) - f(y)) - f(x)^{2-q} \Big(f(x)^{q} - f(y)^{q} \Big) \Big]
= q(q - 1) \sum_{y \in \mathbb{Z}} K_{s}(x - y) \Big(f(x) - f(y) \Big)^{2} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{(1 - u)f(x)^{2-q}}{\Big[(1 - u)f(x) + uf(y) \Big]^{2-q}} du.$$
(4.1)

Proof According to the definition of Γ_q , the first equation in (4.1) can be obtained by simple calculation, and we are left to prove the second equation.

Consider the Taylor expansion of the function $t \mapsto t^q$. For any $s, t \ge 0$ with $s \ne t$, we have

$$\begin{split} t^q - s^q &= q s^{q-1}(t-s) + q(q-1) \int_s^t (t-\tau) \tau^{q-2} \, \mathrm{d}\tau \\ &= q s^{q-1}(t-s) + q(q-1)(t-s)^2 \int_0^1 \frac{1-u}{[(1-u)s+ut]^{2-q}} \, \mathrm{d}u. \end{split}$$



Let $0 \le f \in l^1$ such that $f(x) \ne f(y)$. Taking s = f(x) and t = f(y) in the above equality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} qf(x)(f(x) - f(y)) - f(x)^{2-q} (f(x)^q - f(y)^q) \\ &= f(x)^{2-q} [f(y)^q - f(x)^q - qf(x)^{q-1} (f(y) - f(x))] \\ &= f(x)^{2-q} q(q-1) (f(y) - f(x))^2 \int_0^1 \frac{1 - u}{[(1 - u)f(x) + uf(y)]^{2-q}} \, \mathrm{d}u \\ &= q(q-1) (f(x) - f(y))^2 \int_0^1 \frac{(1 - u)f(x)^{2-q}}{[(1 - u)f(x) + uf(y)]^{2-q}} \, \mathrm{d}u. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by the first equality of (4.1), we obtain

$$\Gamma_q(f)(x) = q(q-1) \sum_{y \in \mathbb{Z}} K_s(x-y) [f(x) - f(y)]^2 \int_0^1 \frac{(1-u)f(x)^{2-q}}{((1-u)f(x) + uf(y))^{2-q}} du.$$

The next lemma shows that we can bound |Df| by $\Gamma_q(f)$ in the pointwise sense.

Lemma 4.4 *For any* $q \in (1, 2]$,

$$0 \le |\widetilde{\nabla} f|^2(x) \le \frac{2}{a(a-1)} \Gamma_q(f)(x), \quad 0 \le f \in l^1, \ x \in \mathbb{Z}, \tag{4.2}$$

and there exists a constant $c_q > 0$ such that

$$|Df|^2(x) \le c_q \left[\Gamma_q(f)(x+1) + \Gamma_q(f)(x) \right], \quad 0 \le f \in l^1, \ x \in \mathbb{Z}.$$
 (4.3)

Proof We divide the proof into two parts. Let $0 \le f \in l^1$ and $x \in \mathbb{Z}$.

(i) Proof of (4.2). For $f(x) \ge f(y)$, we have $(1-u)f(x) + uf(y) \le f(x)$, $u \in [0, 1]$. Then

$$\int_0^1 \frac{(1-u)f(x)^{2-q}}{((1-u)f(x)+uf(y))^{2-q}} \, \mathrm{d}u \ge \int_0^1 \frac{(1-u)f(x)^{2-q}}{f(x)^{2-q}} \, \mathrm{d}u = \frac{1}{2},$$

and hence, according to Lemma 4.3,

$$\begin{split} \Gamma_q(f)(x) &\geq \frac{q(q-1)}{2} \sum_{\{y \in \mathbb{Z}: \ f(x) \geq f(y)\}} K_s(x-y) (f(x) - f(y))^2 \\ &= \frac{q(q-1)}{2} |\widetilde{\nabla} f|^2(x). \end{split}$$



(ii) Proof of (4.3). If $f(x+1) \ge f(x)$, then $(1-u)f(x) + uf(x) \le f(x+1)$ for every $u \in [0, 1]$, and hence,

$$\int_0^1 \frac{(1-u)f(x+1)^{2-q}}{[(1-u)f(x+1)+uf(x)]^{2-q}} \, \mathrm{d}u \ge \int_0^1 (1-u) \, \mathrm{d}u = \frac{1}{2}.$$

Thus, by (2.2), we obtain

$$|Df|^{2}(x) = [f(x+1) - f(x)]^{2}$$

$$\leq 2[f(x+1) - f(x)]^{2} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{(1-u)f(x+1)^{2-q}}{[(1-u)f(x+1) + uf(x)]^{2-q}} du$$

$$\leq c'_{q} \Gamma_{q}(f)(x+1), \tag{4.4}$$

for some constant $c'_q > 0$.

If $f(x) \ge f(x+1)$, then $(1-u)f(x+1) + uf(x) \le f(x)$ for every $u \in [0, 1]$, and hence,

$$\int_0^1 \frac{(1-u)f(x)^{2-q}}{[(1-u)f(x) + uf(x+1)]^{2-q}} \, \mathrm{d}u \ge \int_0^1 (1-u) \, \mathrm{d}u = \frac{1}{2}.$$

Thus, by (2.2) again, we get

$$|Df|^{2}(x) = (f(x+1) - f(x))^{2}$$

$$\leq 2(f(x+1) - f(x))^{2} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{(1-u)f(x)^{2-q}}{[(1-u)f(x) + uf(x+1)]^{2-q}} du$$

$$\leq c_{a}^{"}\Gamma_{a}(f)(x), \tag{4.5}$$

for some constant $c_q'' > 0$.

Putting (4.4) and (4.5) together, we finally have

$$|\mathbf{D}f|^2(x) \le c_q(\Gamma_q(f)(x+1) + \Gamma_q(f)(x)), \quad x \in \mathbb{Z},$$

for some constant $c_q > 0$.

Now we are ready to prove the Littlewood–Paley–Stein estimate for \widetilde{G} and H. Proof of Theorem 2.2 on \widetilde{G} and H.

Let $q \in (1, 2]$. Since l^1 is dense in l^q , by standard approximation, it suffices to assume $f \in l^1$.

(1) <u>Boundedness for \widetilde{G} </u>. Similar as the proof of [18, Proposition 2.6], we may assume that $0 < f \in l^1$. Applying (4.2) and Proposition 4.2, we deduce that

$$\|\widetilde{G}(f)(x)\|_{q}^{q} = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\int_{0}^{\infty} |\widetilde{\nabla} P_{t} f(x)|^{2} dt \right)^{q/2}$$

$$\leq \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\frac{2}{q(q-1)} \int_{0}^{\infty} \Gamma_{q}(P_{t} f)(y) dt \right)^{q/2}$$



$$\leq c_1 \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}} (\mathcal{H}_q f(x))^q$$

$$\leq c_2 ||f||_q^q, \quad 0 \leq f \in l^1,$$

for some constants $c_1, c_2 > 0$ depending only on q, which finish the proof of boundedness for \widetilde{G} in l^q .

(2) <u>Boundedness for H</u>. By the sublinear property of H, it is enough to prove the case when $0 \le f \in l^1$. Since $q \in (1, 2]$, by (4.3) and Proposition 4.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|H(f)\|_q^q &= \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\int_0^\infty |\mathrm{D}P_t f|^2(x) \, \mathrm{d}t \right)^{\frac{q}{2}} \\ &\leq c_3 \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\int_0^\infty \left[\Gamma_q(P_t f)(x+1) + \Gamma_q(P_t f)(x) \right] \mathrm{d}t \right)^{\frac{q}{2}} \\ &\leq c_3 \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}} \left[\left(\int_0^\infty \Gamma_q(P_t f)(x+1) \, \mathrm{d}t \right)^{\frac{q}{2}} + \left(\int_0^\infty \Gamma_q(P_t f)(x) \, \mathrm{d}t \right)^{\frac{q}{2}} \right] \\ &\leq c_4 \|f\|_q^q, \quad 0 \leq f \in l^1, \end{aligned}$$

for some constants c_3 , $c_4 > 0$ depending only on q, which completes the proof of boundedness for H in l^q .

Now we turn to consider the boundedness of \widetilde{G}_U . The idea of proof is similar to the null potential case tackled above. So we give a brief description on the proof with necessary adaption.

Let $q \in (1, 2]$. We introduce the pseudo-gradient associated with $L_U = (-\Delta)^s + U$. For any suitable function $f \ge 0$, let

$$\Gamma_{q,U}(f) = qfL_Uf - f^{2-q}L_Uf^q.$$

Let $P_t^{\rm U}=e^{-tL_{\rm U}},\,t\geq 0.$ For any $f\in l^q,$ define the semigroup maximal function $f_{\rm U}^*$ by

$$f_{\mathbf{U}}^* = \sup_{t>0} |P_t^{\mathbf{U}} f|.$$

Since $(P_t^U)_{t\geq 0}$ is a contractive, symmetric sub-Markovian semigroup, we also have

$$||f_{\mathbf{U}}^*||_q \le c_q ||f||_q, \quad f \in l^q,$$

for some constant $c_q > 0$ depending only on q.

Consider

$$(\mathcal{H}_{q,\mathbf{U}}f)(x) = \left(\int_0^\infty \Gamma_{q,\mathbf{U}}(P_t^{\mathbf{U}}f)(x) \, \mathrm{d}t\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad x \in \mathbb{Z}, \ 0 \le f \in l^1.$$



Then, similar as the proof of Proposition 4.2, there exists a constant $c_q > 0$, depending only on q, such that

$$\|\mathcal{H}_{q,U}f\|_q \le c_q \|f\|_q, \quad 0 \le f \in l^1.$$
 (4.6)

Now we begin the proof of Corollary 2.4.

Proof of Corollary 2.4 Let $q \in (1, 2]$. By standard approximation, it suffices to assume that $f \in l^1$. Due to the lack of sublinear property of \widetilde{G}_U , we may consider |f| instead of f as the proof presented in [17, Section 3]. So we assume in addition that $f \geq 0$. By the nonnegativity of U and (4.2), we have

$$0 \le |\widetilde{\nabla} f|^2(x) + \mathrm{U}(x)f^2(x)$$

$$\le \frac{2}{q(q-1)}\Gamma_q(f)(x) + \mathrm{U}(x)f^2(x)$$

$$\le \frac{2}{q(q-1)}\Gamma_{q,\mathrm{U}}(f)(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Combining this with (4.6), we derive that

$$\begin{split} \|\widetilde{G}_{\mathbf{U}}(f)\|_{q}^{q} &= \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\int_{0}^{\infty} \left(|\widetilde{\nabla} P_{t}^{\mathbf{U}} f|^{2}(x) + |\sqrt{\mathbf{U}} P_{t}^{\mathbf{U}} f|^{2}(x) \right) \mathrm{d}t \right)^{q/2} \\ &\leq \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\frac{2}{q(q-1)} \int_{0}^{\infty} \Gamma_{q,\mathbf{U}}(P_{t}^{\mathbf{U}} f)(x) \, \mathrm{d}t \right)^{q/2} \\ &= \left(\frac{2}{q(q-1)} \right)^{q/2} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\mathcal{H}_{q,\mathbf{U}} f \right)^{q}(x) \\ &\leq c_{q} \|f\|_{q}^{q}, \quad 0 \leq f \in l^{1}, \end{split}$$

for some constant $c_q > 0$ depending only on q.

5 The case $2 \le q < \infty$

In this section, we turn to prove the Littlewood–Paley–Stein estimate for G in l^q for all $q \in [2, \infty)$. The idea of proof is motivated by [3] for \mathbb{R}^d -valued Lévy processes (see also the recent [19] where the Markov jump process allows to be not a Lévy process). Refer to [1, 24] for more details on Lévy processes.

Let $(X_t)_{t\geq 0}$ be the discrete Markov process generated by -L with state space \mathbb{Z} . Denote by $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t\geq 0}$ the natural filtration of the process $(X_t)_{t\geq 0}$. Fix $f\in l^1$ and T>0. Consider

$$M_t := P_{T-t} f(X_t) - P_T f(X_0), \quad 0 \le t \le T.$$



Then, as in [3, 17], we have the following result. For each $x \in \mathbb{Z}$, let \mathbb{E}_x be the expectation of the process $(X_t)_{t\geq 0}$ with initial distribution δ_x , where δ . stands for the Dirac measure.

Lemma 5.1 $(M_t, \mathcal{F}_t)_{0 \le t \le T}$ is a square integrable martingale with $M_0 = 0$, and

$$\langle M \rangle_t = \int_0^t \sum_{y \in \mathbb{Z}} \left[P_{T-s} f(X_s + y) - P_{T-s} f(X_s) \right]^2 K_s(y) \, \mathrm{d}s, \quad t \in [0, T],$$

where $\langle M \rangle_t$ stands for the predictable quadratic variation of M_t .

Proof The first assertion is clear. Let $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $0 \le t \le T$. Then

$$\mathbb{E}_{x}\left(M_{t}^{2}\right) = \mathbb{E}_{x}\left[\left(P_{T-t}f\left(X_{t}\right) - P_{T}f\left(X_{0}\right)\right)^{2}\right]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{x}\left[\left(P_{T-t}f\right)^{2}(X_{t})\right] - 2P_{T}f(x)\mathbb{E}_{x}\left[P_{T-t}f(X_{t})\right] + \left(P_{T}f\right)^{2}(x)$$

$$= P_{t}\left(P_{T-t}f\right)^{2}(x) - \left(P_{T}f\right)^{2}(x).$$

Hence, for any $0 \le s < t \le T$, we have

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E}_{x}(M_{t}^{2} - M_{s}^{2}) &= P_{t}(P_{T-t}f)^{2}(x) - P_{s}(P_{T-s}f)^{2}(x) \\ &= \int_{s}^{t} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}u} P_{u}(P_{T-u}f)^{2}(x) \, \mathrm{d}u \\ &= \int_{s}^{t} \left(-LP_{u}(P_{T-u}f)^{2}(x) + P_{u}[2P_{T-u}f(LP_{T-u}f)](x) \right) \mathrm{d}u \\ &= \int_{s}^{t} P_{u} \left(-L(P_{T-u}f)^{2} + 2P_{T-u}fLP_{T-u}f \right) (x) \, \mathrm{d}u \\ &= \int_{s}^{t} P_{u} |\nabla P_{T-u}f(x)|^{2} \, \mathrm{d}u \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{x} \left(\int_{s}^{t} |\nabla P_{T-u}f(X_{u})|^{2} \, \mathrm{d}u \right). \end{split}$$

Thus, $\left\{M_t^2 - \int_0^t |\nabla P_{T-s} f(X_s)|^2 ds, \mathcal{F}_t\right\}_{t\geq 0}$ is a martingale, and

$$\langle M \rangle_t = \int_0^t |\nabla P_{T-s} f(X_s)|^2 \, ds$$

= $\int_0^t \sum_{y \in \mathbb{Z}} [P_{T-s} f(X_s + y) - P_{T-s} f(X_s)]^2 K_s(y) \, ds.$



Let $(p_t)_{t>0}$ be the heat kernel of $(P_t)_{t>0}$. For every $f \in l^1$ and every $x \in \mathbb{Z}$, set

$$G_*(f)(x) := \left(\int_0^\infty \sum_{y,z \in \mathbb{Z}} |P_t f(z+y) - P_t f(z)|^2 p_t(x,z) K_s(y) \, \mathrm{d}t \right)^{1/2},$$

and

$$G_{*,T}(f)(x) := \left(\int_0^T \sum_{y,z \in \mathbb{Z}} |P_t f(z+y) - P_t f(z)|^2 p_t(x,z) K_s(y) \, \mathrm{d}t \right)^{1/2}.$$

Note that, for every $x \in \mathbb{Z}$, as $T \to \infty$, we have $G_{*,T}(f)(x)$ increases to $G_*(f)(x)$. Indeed, we have following crucial formula for $G_{*,T}(f)$ which, loosely speaking, expresses $G_{*,T}(f)$ as the conditional expectation of the predictable quadratic variation of the martingale M_t introduced above.

Lemma 5.2 *Let* T > 0. *For every* $f \in l^1$,

$$G_{*,T}(f)^2(x) = \sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{E}_z \big(\langle M \rangle_T | X_T = x \big) p_T(z,x), \quad x \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Proof Indeed, by Lemma 5.1, we have

$$\begin{split} & \sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{E}_{z} \left(\langle M \rangle_{T} | X_{T} = x \right) p_{T}(z, x) \\ & = \sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{E}_{z} \left(\int_{0}^{T} \sum_{y \in \mathbb{Z}} | P_{T-s} f \left(X_{s} + y \right) - P_{T-s} f \left(X_{s} \right) |^{2} K_{s}(y) \, \mathrm{d}s \, \middle| X_{T} = x \right) p_{T}(z, x) \\ & = \sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\sum_{w \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{p_{s}(z, w) p_{T-s}(w, x)}{p_{T}(z, x)} \int_{0}^{T} \sum_{y \in \mathbb{Z}} | P_{T-s} f(w + y) - P_{T-s} f(w) |^{2} K_{s}(y) \, \mathrm{d}s \right) p_{T}(z, x) \\ & = \int_{0}^{T} \sum_{w \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{y \in \mathbb{Z}} | P_{s} f(w + y) - P_{s} f(w) |^{2} p_{s}(w, x) K_{s}(y) \, \mathrm{d}s \\ & = G_{*,T}(f)^{2}(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{Z}. \end{split}$$

Now we are ready to complete the proof of Theorem 2.2.

Proof of Theorem 2.2 on G Let $q \in [2, \infty)$, T > 0 and $f \in l^1$. Clearly, $\|\widetilde{G}\|_q$ and $\|H\|_q$ is bounded by $\|G\|_q$. Hence, it suffices to prove that G is bounded in l^q .

Denote the quadratic variation of M by [M]. Then, by [8, Remark 11.5.8] (see also Lemma 6 on page 75 of [20] for the general case where T is a stopping time), there exists some constant $C_q > 0$ depending only on q such that

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\langle M\rangle_T^{\frac{q}{2}}\right) \le C_q \mathbb{E}\left([M]_T^{\frac{q}{2}}\right). \tag{5.1}$$



According to Lemma 5.2, Jensen's inequality, (5.1) and the Burkholder–Davis–Gundy inequality (see e.g. [8, Theorem 11.5.5]), we obtain

$$\sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}} G_{*,T}(f)^{q}(x) = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{E}_{z}(\langle M \rangle_{T} | X_{T} = x) p_{T}(z, x) \right)^{\frac{q}{2}}$$

$$\leq \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{E}_{z} \left(\langle M \rangle_{T}^{\frac{q}{2}} | X_{T} = x \right) p_{T}(z, x)$$

$$= \sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{E}_{z} \left(\langle M \rangle_{T}^{\frac{q}{2}} \right)$$

$$\leq C_{q} \sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{E}_{z} \left([M]_{T}^{\frac{q}{2}} \right)$$

$$\leq C_{q}' \sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{E}_{z} (|M_{T}|^{q})$$

$$\leq C_{q}'' \sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}} |f(z)|^{q},$$

$$\leq 2C_{q}'' \sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}} |f(z)|^{q},$$

where in the last two inequalities we applied the elementary inequality, i.e., $(a+b)^q \le C_q'''(a^q+b^q)$ for every $a,b\ge 0$, and the contraction property of P_T in l^q , where C_q',C_q'',C_q''' are positive constants depending only on q. Taking $T\to\infty$, by the monotone convergence theorem, we have

$$\sum_{x\in\mathbb{Z}}G_*(f)^q(x)\leq 2C_q''\sum_{x\in\mathbb{Z}}|f(x)|^q.$$

We claim that $G(f)(x) \le \sqrt{2}G_*(f)(x), x \in \mathbb{Z}$. Indeed,

$$G^{2}(f)(x) = \int_{0}^{\infty} \sum_{y \in \mathbb{Z}} |P_{t} f(x+y) - P_{t} f(x)|^{2} K_{s}(y) dt$$

$$\leq \int_{0}^{\infty} \sum_{y \in \mathbb{Z}} P_{\frac{t}{2}} |P_{\frac{t}{2}} f(x+y) - P_{\frac{t}{2}} f(x)|^{2} K_{s}(y) dt$$

$$= \int_{0}^{\infty} \sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{y \in \mathbb{Z}} |P_{\frac{t}{2}} f(z+y) - P_{\frac{t}{2}} f(z)|^{2} p_{\frac{t}{2}}(x, z) K_{s}(y) dt$$

$$= 2 \int_{0}^{\infty} \sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{y \in \mathbb{Z}} |P_{t} f(z+y) - P_{t} f(z)|^{2} p_{t}(x, z) K_{s}(y) dt$$

$$= 2 G_{*}^{2}(f)(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{Z},$$



where the inequality is due to that

$$\begin{aligned} |P_t(x+y) - P_t f(x)| &= |P_{t/2} [P_{t/2} f(\cdot + y)](x) - P_{t/2} [P_{t/2} f](x)| \\ &= |P_{t/2} \{P_{t/2} f(\cdot + y) - P_{t/2} f(\cdot)\}(x)| \le P_{t/2} |P_{t/2} f(\cdot + y) - P_{t/2} f(\cdot)|(x). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we arrive at

$$||G(f)||_q \le c_q ||f||_q, \quad f \in l^1,$$

for some constant $c_q > 0$ depending only on q.

Finally, for every $f \in l^q$, by the density and Fatou's lemma, we finish the proof of the boundedness of G in l^q for all $q \in [2, \infty)$.

Acknowledgements The authors would like to express their appreciation to the anonymous referee for his/her in-depth comments, suggestions and corrections, which have greatly improved the manuscript. The second named author would like to thank Prof. Jianhai Bao and Prof. Feng-Yu Wang for helpful comments.

Declarations

Conflict of interest The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in the present paper.

References

- Applebaum, D.: Lévy Processes and Stochastic Calculus, 2nd edn. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2009)
- Bendikov, A., Saloff-Coste, L.: Random walks on groups and discrete subordination. Math. Nachr. 285, 580–605 (2012)
- Bañuelos, R., Bogdan, K., Luks, T.: Hardy–Stein identities and square functions for semigroups. J. Lond. Math. Soc. 94, 462–478 (2016)
- 4. Bañuelos, R.: Brownian motion and area functions. Indiana Univ. Math. J. 35, 643-668 (1986)
- Bennett, A.G.: Probabilistic square functions and a priori estimates. Trans. Am. Math. Soc. 291, 159–166 (1985)
- Ciaurri, Ó., Gillespie, T.A., Roncal, L., Torrea, J.L., Varona, J.L.: Harmonic analysis associated with a discrete Laplacian. J. Anal. Math. 132, 109–131 (2017)
- Ciaurri, Ó., Roncal, L., Stinga, P.R., Torrea, J.L., Varona, J.L.: Nonlocal discrete diffusion equations and the fractional discrete Laplacian, regularity and applications. Adv. Math. 330, 688–738 (2018)
- Cohen, S.N., Elliott, R.J.: Stochastic Calculus and Applications. Probability and Its Applications, 2nd edn. Springer, Berlin (2015)
- Coulhon, T., Duong, X.T., Li, X.D.: Littlewood–Paley–Stein functions on complete Riemannian manifolds for 1 ≤ p ≤ 2. Stud. Math. 154, 37–57 (2003)
- Cygan, W., Kaleta, K., Śliwiński, M.: Decay of harmonic functions for discrete time Feynman–Kac operators with confining potentials ALEA Lat. Am. J. Probab. Math. Stat. 19(1), 1071–1101 (2022)
- Davies, E.B.: Heat Kernels and Spectral Theory. Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, vol. 92. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1989)
- Demuth, M., van Casteren, J.A.: Stochastic Spectral Theory for Self-adjoint Feller Operators. A Functional Integration Approach. Probability and Its Applications. Birkhäser Verlag, Basel (2000)
- Dungey, N.: A Littlewood-Paley-Stein estimate on graphs and group. Stud. Math. 189, 113–129 (2008)
- Garofalo, N.: Fractional thoughts. In: New Developments in the Analysis of Nonlocal Operators, Contemporary Mathematics, vol. 723, pp. 1–135. American Mathematical Society, Providence (2019)
- Grigor'yan, A.: Introduction to Analysis on Graphs. AMS University Lecture Series, vol. 71, AMS (2018)



- 16. Li, H.: Weak type estimates for square functions of Dunkl heat flows. arXiv:2101.04056v2 (2021)
- Li, H., Wang, J.: Littlewood–Paley–Stein functions for non-local Schrödinger operators. Positivity 24, 1293–1312 (2020)
- Li, H., Wang, J.: Littlewood–Paley–Stein estimates for non-local Dirichlet forms. J. Anal. Math. 143, 401–434 (2021)
- Li, H., Zhao, M.: Dimension-free square function estimates for Dunkl operators. Math. Nachr. 296, 1225–1243 (2023)
- Liptser, R.Sh., Shiryayev, A.N.: Theory of Martingales. Nauka, Moscow (in Russian); English translation: Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht (1989)
- Lohoué, N.: Estimation des fonctions de Littlewood-Paley-Stein sur les variétés riemanniennes à courbure non positive. Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup. 20, 505-544 (1987)
- Meyer, P.-A.: Démonstration probabiliste de certaines inégalitiés de Littlewood-Paley. Exposé I-IV. In: Séminaire de probabilités X. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 511, pp. 125–183. Springer, Berlin (1976)
- Meyer, P.-A.: Retour sur la théorie de Littlewood-Paley. In: Séminaire de Probabilités XV. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 850, pp. 151–166. Springer, Berlin (1981)
- Sato, K.I.: Lévy Processes and Infinitely Divisible Distributions. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics 68. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2013)
- 25. Schilling, R.L., Song, R., Vondraček, Z.: Bernstein Functions: Theory and Applications. De Gruyter Studies in Mathematics, 2nd edn, vol. 37, Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin (2012)
- Shigekawa, I.: Littlewood–Paley inequality for a diffusion satisfying the logarithmic Sobolev inequality and for the Brownian motion on a Riemannian manifold with boundary. Osaka J. Math. 39, 897–930 (2002)
- Shigekawa, I., Yoshida, N.: Littlewood–Paley–Stein inequality for a symmetric diffusion. J. Math. Soc. Jpn. 44, 251–280 (1992)
- Stein, E.M.: On the functions of Littlewood–Paley, Lusin, and Marcinkiewicz. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 88, 430–466 (1958)
- Stein, E.M.: Singular Integrals and Differentiability Properties of Functions. Princeton Mathematical Series, No. 30. Princeton University Press, Princeton (1970)
- 30. Stein, E.M.: Topics in Harmonic Analysis Related to the Littlewood–Paley Theory. Annals of Mathematics Studies, vol. 63. Princeton University Press, Princeton (1970)
- 31. Stinga, P.R., Torrea, J.L.: Extension problem and Harnack's inequality for some fractional operators. Commun. Partial Differ. Eq. 35, 2092–2122 (2010)
- 32. Varopoulos, N.T.: Aspects of probabilistic Littlewood-Paley theory. J. Funct. Anal. 38, 25-60 (1980)
- 33. Yosida, K.: Functional Analysis, 6th edn. Springer, Berlin (1980)

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Springer Nature or its licensor (e.g. a society or other partner) holds exclusive rights to this article under a publishing agreement with the author(s) or other rightsholder(s); author self-archiving of the accepted manuscript version of this article is solely governed by the terms of such publishing agreement and applicable law

