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#### Abstract

A regime-switching geometric Brownian motion is used to model a geometric Brownian motion with its coefficients changing randomly according to a Markov chain. In this work, the author gives a complete characterization of the recurrent property of this process. The long time behavior of this process such as its $p$-th moment is also studied. Moreover, the quantitative properties of the regime-switching geometric Brownian motion with two-state switching are investigated to show the difference between geometric Brownian motion with switching and without switching. At last, some estimates of its first passage probability are established.
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## 1 Introduction

In the study of mathematical finance, geometric Brownian motion (GBM for short) is used to model stock prices in the Black-Scholes model and is the most widely used model of stock price behavior. Let $\left(Z_{t}\right)$ be the solution of following stochastic differential equation (SDE for short):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d} Z_{t}=\mu Z_{t} \mathrm{~d} t+\sigma Z_{t} \mathrm{~d} B_{t} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $Z_{0}=a>0$, where $\mu, \sigma$ are constants, and $\left(B_{t}\right)$ is a one-dimensional Brownian motion. But GBM is not a completely realistic model, and there are several kinds of modifications of (1.1) to make it more realistic. For instance, the local volatility model and the stochastic volatility model are well-studied models in place of GBM (see [3]).

In this work, we shall study another type of modification. Consider the following regimeswitching diffusion process $\left(X_{t}, \Lambda_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}$, where $X_{t}$ satisfies the SDE:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d} X_{t}=\mu_{\Lambda_{t}} X_{t} \mathrm{~d} t+\sigma_{\Lambda_{t}} X_{t} \mathrm{~d} B_{t} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $X_{0}=x_{0}>0, \mu: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \sigma: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow(0,+\infty), \mathcal{S}=\{0,1, \cdots, N\}$. Here $\left(\Lambda_{t}\right)$ is a continuous time Markov chain on the finite state space $\mathcal{S}$ with $Q$-matrix $\left(q_{i j}\right)_{i, j \in \mathcal{S}}$. Throughout this work,

[^0]we assume that $\left(q_{i j}\right)_{i, j \in \mathcal{S}}$ is irreducible and the process $\left(\Lambda_{t}\right)$ is independent of the Brownian motion $\left(B_{t}\right)$. We call $\left(X_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}$ a regime-switching geometric Brownian motion (SGBM for short) in the state space $\mathcal{S}$. The process $\left(X_{t}\right)$ defined by (1.2) can be viewed as a geometric Brownian motion living in a random environment which is characterized by a continuous time Markov chain $\left(\Lambda_{t}\right)$. When the process $\left(\Lambda_{t}\right)$ takes different value in $\mathcal{S}$, it means that the environment is in different state. For example, if one uses the process (1.2) to model a stock price process living in a market which is divided into two kinds of different periods: "bull" market and "bear" market, one can take $\mathcal{S}=\{0,1\}$ and use the state 0 to represent the "bull" market, and the state 1 to represent the "bear" market. The stock market oscillates randomly between the bull market and the bear market, and it is conceivable that the drift $\mu$ in (1.1) in a bull market takes different value from that in a bear market. Therefore, it is more practical to use (1.2) instead of (1.1) to model stock price. Refer to [5, 8] for more background on this model. Refer to $[6,9]$ and references therein for its application in option pricing.

The existence, uniqueness and non-explosiveness of $\left(X_{t}, \Lambda_{t}\right)$ are guaranteed by the general theory of regime-switching diffusion processes (see [19, 28]). In particular, according to [28, Lemma 7.1], similar to the geometric Brownian motion without switching, it still holds that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{P}\left(X_{t} \neq 0, \forall t \geq 0\right)=1, \quad \text { if } X_{0}=x_{0}>0 \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The process $\left(X_{t}\right)$ can also be expressed explicitly in the following form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{t}=x_{0} \exp \left[\int_{0}^{t}\left(\mu_{\Lambda_{s}}-\frac{1}{2} \sigma_{\Lambda_{s}}^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} s+\int_{0}^{t} \sigma_{\Lambda_{s}} \mathrm{~d} B_{s}\right], \quad t>0 \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this work, we shall first study the ergodic property and the long time behavior of the SGBM. Although the SGBM has been widely used in mathematical finance, its ergodic properties have not been well studied yet. The SGBM is a simple example of regime-switching diffusion processes. It has been known that the ergodic properties of regime-switching diffusion processes are more complicated than that of diffusion processes (see, for instance, [15]). Our present work presents quantitatively how the coefficients of diffusion process and the switching rate of environment work together to impact the recurrent property of $\left(X_{t}, \Lambda_{t}\right)$. Moreover, we refer the readers to $[2,4,13,15,17-22,27]$ and references therein for the recent study on the recurrence, ergodicity, strong ergodicity, stability, and numerical approximation of regime-switching diffusion processes in a more general framework.

Let $\left(\pi_{i}\right)_{i \in \mathcal{S}}$ be the invariant probability measure of $\left(\Lambda_{t}\right)$. Set

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta_{i} & =\mu_{i}-\frac{1}{2} \sigma_{i}^{2}, \lambda_{i}(p)=p \mu_{i}+\frac{1}{2} p(p-1) \sigma_{i}^{2}, \quad p>0, i \in \mathcal{S} \\
A_{p} & =Q+\operatorname{diag}\left(\lambda_{0}(p), \cdots, \lambda_{N}(p)\right)  \tag{1.5}\\
\eta_{p} & =-\max _{\gamma \in \operatorname{Spec}\left(A_{p}\right)} \operatorname{Re} \gamma
\end{align*}
$$

where $\operatorname{diag}\left(\xi_{0}, \cdots, \xi_{N}\right)$ denotes the diagonal matrix generated by the vector $\left(\xi_{0}, \cdots, \xi_{N}\right)$, and $\operatorname{Spec}\left(A_{p}\right)$ stands for the spectrum of $A_{p}$. Our main results on the long time behavior and recurrence of SGBM are as follows.

Theorem 1.1 (i) If $\sum_{i \in \mathcal{S}} \pi_{i} \Delta_{i}>0$, then $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} X_{t}=+\infty$ a.s. If $\sum_{i \in \mathcal{S}} \pi_{i} \Delta_{i}<0$, then $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} X_{t}=$ 0 a.s.
(ii) For $p>0$, it holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln \mathbb{E}\left[X_{t}^{p}\right]}{t}=-\eta_{p} \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\eta_{p}$ is defined by (1.5).
Theorem 1.2 (i) If $\sum_{i \in \mathcal{S}} \pi_{i} \Delta_{i} \neq 0$, then $\left(X_{t}, \Lambda_{t}\right)$ is transient.
(ii) If $\sum_{i \in \mathcal{S}} \pi_{i} \Delta_{i}=0$, then $\left(X_{t}, \Lambda_{t}\right)$ is null recurrent.

The argument of Theorem 1.2 relies heavily on the Fredholm alternative. We recall some basic facts on the Fredholm alternative. Recall that $Q=\left(q_{i j}\right)$ denotes the $Q$-matrix of the Markov chain $\left(\Lambda_{t}\right)$. The equation $Q u=v$ is solvable if and only if $\sum_{i \in \mathcal{S}} \pi_{i} v_{i}=0$, in which case $u=$ $Q^{-1} v$ is unique up to the addition of a multiple of the vector $\mathbf{1}$. Moreover, $\sum_{i \in \mathcal{S}} \pi_{i} v_{i}\left(Q^{-1} v\right)(i) \leq 0$ for all $v$ satisfying $\sum_{i \in \mathcal{S}} \pi_{i} v_{i}=0$ and equality holds if and only if $v . \equiv 0$.

Secondly, we provide some quantitative description on the SGBM. The aim of this part is twofold: One is due to the requirement of the application of the SGBM; the other is that we want to find the complexity of the regime-switching diffusion processes via this simple linear model. In this part, we focus on the case that $\mathcal{S}=\{0,1\}$. Indeed, the formulas obtained in this part show that although the model of SGBM is simple, its quantitative properties are rather complicated. Here, we calculate the moments of $\ln X_{t}$ and estimate the first passage probability of $X_{t}$. We give out the first and second order moments of $\ln X_{t}$, and every $n$-th order moment of $\ln X_{t}$ can be calculated by our method. Then we provide an estimate of the first passage probability of the process $\left(X_{t}\right)$. The first passage probability plays an important role in many research subjects such as in the option pricing and credit risk. Although the SGBM is rather simple from the point of view of stochastic differential equation, the calculation of its moments and its first passage probability is far from trivial. We need to overcome some new difficulties which do not occur in the study of the first passage probability of diffusion processes. Moreover, our results are analytic, and they are not expressed in terms of the Laplace transform. In [7, 10] the distribution of the first passage time of SGBM in terms of its Laplace transform was studied; in [11] numerical approximation of the first passage probability for regime-switching processes was studied.

This work is organized as follows. In Section 2, we first study the long time behavior and recurrent properties of $\left(X_{t}, \Lambda_{t}\right)$ in a finite state space. The method depends on the criteria established in [18] and [14]. The proofs of Theorems 1.1-1.2 are provided in this section. In Section 3, we calculate the moments of $\ln X_{t}$ when $\left(\Lambda_{t}\right)$ is a Markov chain on a two-state space $\mathcal{S}=\{0,1\}$. The reason to focus on two-state space is that more explicit formula could be derived in this case. There we provide explicit formula for the first and second moment of $\ln X_{t}$, and all its higher moments can be calculated using the same method. Then we provide some upper and lower bounds on the probability $\mathbb{P}\left(\tau_{a}^{(x)}>T\right)$ when $\sigma_{0}=\sigma_{1}$, where $\tau_{a}^{(x)}=\inf \left\{t>0 ; X_{t}=a, X_{0}=x\right\}$ for $0<a<x=X_{0}$. Via Slepian's lemma, we can transform the study of the first passage probability in the case $\sigma_{0} \neq \sigma_{1}$ into the case $\sigma_{0}=\sigma_{1}$, and this can provide us an upper estimate of $\mathbb{P}\left(\tau_{a}^{(x)}>T\right)$ when $\sigma_{0} \neq \sigma_{1}$.

## 2 Long Time Behavior and Recurrence of SGBM

In this section, we shall study the long time behavior of $\left(X_{t}, \Lambda_{t}\right)$ and provide a complete characterization of the recurrent property of $\left(X_{t}, \Lambda_{t}\right)$ by the method of Lyapunov functions.

Let us recall some basic definitions. For $x \in(0, \infty), i \in S$, define

$$
\tau_{x, i}=\inf \left\{t \geq 0 ;\left(X_{t}, \Lambda_{t}\right)=(x, i)\right\}
$$

For every $x, y \in(0, \infty)$ and $i, j \in S$, if $\mathbb{P}_{x, i}\left(\tau_{y, j}<\infty\right)=1$, then the process $\left(X_{t}, \Lambda_{t}\right)$ is called recurrent; if $\mathbb{P}_{x, i}\left(\tau_{y, j}<\infty\right)<1$, then it is called transient; if $\mathbb{E}_{x, i}\left[\tau_{y, j}\right]<\infty$, then it is called positive recurrent. If $\left(X_{t}, \Lambda_{t}\right)$ is recurrent, but not positive recurrent, it is called null recurrent. The process $\left(X_{t}, \Lambda_{t}\right)$ is called ergodic, if there exists a probability measure $\widetilde{\pi}$ on $(0, \infty) \times \mathcal{S}$ such that for every $x \in(0, \infty)$ and $i \in \mathcal{S}$,

$$
\left\|P_{t}((x, i), \cdot)-\widetilde{\pi}\right\|_{\mathrm{var}} \rightarrow 0, \quad \text { as } t \rightarrow \infty
$$

Here $\|\cdot\|_{\text {var }}$ denotes the total variation norm. Moreover, if there exist constants $\alpha, C(x, i)>0$ such that for every $(x, i) \in(0, \infty) \times \mathcal{S}$, and $t>0$,

$$
\left\|P_{t}((x, i), \cdot)-\widetilde{\pi}\right\|_{\mathrm{var}} \leq C(x, i) \mathrm{e}^{-\alpha t}, \quad \text { as } t \rightarrow \infty
$$

then the process $\left(X_{t}, \Lambda_{t}\right)$ is called exponentially ergodic. These are usual definitions of recurrence for regime-switching processes, and we refer the reader to [28, Chapter 3] for more related discussion.

Let

$$
L^{(i)} f(x)=\frac{1}{2} \sigma_{i}^{2} x^{2} \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{2} f}{\mathrm{~d} x^{2}}+\mu_{i} x \frac{\mathrm{~d} f}{\mathrm{~d} x}, \quad i \in \mathcal{S}, f \in C^{2}(\mathbb{R})
$$

For every function $g$ on $\mathcal{S}$, define the operator

$$
Q g(i)=\sum_{j \neq i} q_{i j}\left(g_{j}-g_{i}\right), \quad i \in \mathcal{S}
$$

Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{A} f(x, i)=L^{(i)} f(\cdot, i)(x)+Q f(x, \cdot)(i) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $f \in C^{2}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{S})$. Then $\mathscr{A}$ is the infinitesimal generator of $\left(X_{t}, \Lambda_{t}\right)$ (see [24, Chapter II]).
Proof of Theorem 1.1 (i) As $X_{t}>0, t \geq 0$ almost surely, we set $Y_{t}=\ln X_{t}$ and apply Itô's formula to yield that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d} Y_{t}=\mathrm{d} \ln X_{t}=\Delta_{\Lambda_{t}} \mathrm{~d} t+\sigma_{\Lambda_{t}} \mathrm{~d} B_{t}, \quad Y_{0}=\ln x_{0} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Delta_{i}$ is given by (1.5). By the ergodic theorem of Markov chains, we obtain that

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln X_{t}}{t}=\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \int_{0}^{t} \Delta_{\Lambda_{s}} \mathrm{~d} s=\sum_{i \in \mathcal{S}} \pi_{i} \Delta_{i} \quad \text { a.s. }
$$

which yields immediately the assertion (i) of Theorem 1.1.
(ii) To make the idea clear, we provide a concise construction of the probability space. Let $\left(\Omega_{1}, \mathscr{F}^{1}, \mathbb{P}_{1}\right)$ be a probability space such that $\left(B_{t}\right)$ is a Brownian motion with respect to a
given filtration $\left(\mathscr{F}_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}$ on $\left(\Omega_{1}, \mathscr{F}^{1}, \mathbb{P}_{1}\right)$. Let $\left(\Omega_{2}, \mathscr{F}^{2}, \mathbb{P}_{2}\right)$ be a probability space, and $\left(\Lambda_{t}\right)$ be a Markov chain on it with the $Q$-matrix $\left(q_{i j}\right)_{i, j \in \mathcal{S}}$. Define

$$
(\Omega, \mathscr{F}, \mathbb{P})=\left(\Omega_{1} \times \Omega_{2}, \mathscr{F}^{1} \times \mathscr{F}^{2}, \mathbb{P}_{1} \times \mathbb{P}_{2}\right)
$$

Then in the following we let $\left(X_{t}\right)$ be a solution of (1.2) with respect to $\left(B_{t}\right)$ and $\left(\Lambda_{t}\right)$ defined on the probability measure $(\Omega, \mathscr{F}, \mathbb{P})$. Let $\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}_{1}}$ denote taking expectation with respect to $\mathbb{P}_{1}$ and similarly define $\mathbb{E}=\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}}=\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}_{1} \times \mathbb{P}_{2}}$.

According to Itô's formula, for $p>0$,

$$
\mathrm{d} X_{t}^{p}=\left(p \mu_{\Lambda_{t}}+\frac{1}{2} p(p-1) \sigma_{\Lambda_{t}}^{2}\right) X_{t}^{p} \mathrm{~d} t+p \sigma_{\Lambda_{t}} X_{t}^{p} \mathrm{~d} B_{t}
$$

For any $0 \leq s<t$,

$$
X_{t}^{p}=X_{s}^{p}+\int_{s}^{t}\left(p \mu_{\Lambda_{r}}+\frac{1}{2} p(p-1) \sigma_{\Lambda_{r}}^{2}\right) X_{r}^{p} \mathrm{~d} r+\int_{s}^{t} p \sigma_{\Lambda_{r}} X_{r}^{p} \mathrm{~d} B_{r} .
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}_{1}} X_{t}^{p}=\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}_{1}} X_{s}^{p}+\int_{s}^{t}\left(p \mu_{\Lambda_{r}}+\frac{1}{2} p(p-1) \sigma_{\Lambda_{r}}^{2}\right) \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}_{1}} X_{r}^{p} \mathrm{~d} r . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Fix time $t>0$, and let $\tau_{1}<\tau_{2}<\cdots, \tau_{M}$ be the jumping time of $\left(\Lambda_{t}\right)$ during the period $(0, t)$. Set $\tau_{M+1}=t, \tau_{0}=0$. Then $r \mapsto p \mu_{\Lambda_{r}}+\frac{1}{2} p(p-1) \sigma_{\Lambda_{r}}^{2}$ is continuous during $\left(\tau_{k}, \tau_{k+1}\right)$ for $k=0, \cdots, M$. Hence (2.3) implies that

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}_{1}} X_{r}^{p}}{\mathrm{~d} r}=\left(p \mu_{\Lambda_{r}}+\frac{1}{2} p(p-1) \sigma_{\Lambda_{r}}^{2}\right) \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}_{1}} X_{r}^{p}, \quad r \in\left(\tau_{k}, \tau_{k+1}\right),
$$

and further

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}_{1}} X_{\tau_{k+1}-}^{p}=\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}_{1}} X_{\tau_{k}+}^{p} \exp \left(\int_{\tau_{k}}^{\tau_{k+1}} p \mu_{\Lambda_{r}}+\frac{1}{2} p(p-1) \sigma_{\Lambda_{r}}^{2} \mathrm{~d} r\right) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $k=0, \cdots, M$. Due to the continuity of $r \mapsto \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}_{1}} X_{r}^{p}$, we obtain that

$$
\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}_{1}} X_{t}^{p}=x_{0}^{p} \exp \left(\int_{0}^{t}\left(p \mu_{\Lambda_{r}}+\frac{1}{2} p(p-1) \sigma_{\Lambda_{r}}^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} r\right)
$$

and hence

$$
\mathbb{E} X_{t}^{p}=x_{0}^{p} \mathbb{E} \exp \left(\int_{0}^{t}\left(p \mu_{\Lambda_{r}}+\frac{1}{2} p(p-1) \sigma_{\Lambda_{r}}^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} r\right)=x_{0}^{p} \mathbb{E} \exp \left(\int_{0}^{t} \lambda_{\Lambda_{r}}(p) \mathrm{d} r\right)
$$

According to [1, Proposition 4.1], there exist constants $0<C_{1}(p)<C_{2}(p)<\infty$ such that

$$
C_{1}(p) \mathrm{e}^{-\eta_{p} t} \leq \mathbb{E} \exp \left(\int_{0}^{t} \lambda_{\Lambda_{r}}(p) \mathrm{d} r\right) \leq C_{2}(p) \mathrm{e}^{-\eta_{p} t} .
$$

Consequently,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \ln x_{0}^{p}+\ln C_{1}(p)-\eta_{p} t \leq \ln \mathbb{E} X_{t}^{p} \leq \ln x_{0}^{p}+\ln C_{2}(p)-\eta_{p} t, \\
& \lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln \mathbb{E} X_{t}^{p}}{t}=-\eta_{p},
\end{aligned}
$$

which is the desired conclusion.
Now we proceed to study the recurrent property of $\left(X_{t}, \Lambda_{t}\right)$. Due to (1.3), $Y_{t}=\ln X_{t}$ is well-defined for $t \geq 0$ a.s. and satisfies the $\operatorname{SDE}$ (2.2). It is obvious that the recurrent property of $\left(X_{t}, \Lambda_{t}\right)$ is equivalent to that of $\left(Y_{t}, \Lambda_{t}\right)$. We shall use the Lyapunov method to justify the recurrent property of $\left(Y_{t}, \Lambda_{t}\right)$.

Proof of Theorem 1.2 (i) We shall use the method of [18, Section 3]. Set $h(x)=|x|^{-2}$ and $g(x)=|x|^{-3}$ for $|x| \geq 1$. Define

$$
\widetilde{L}^{(i)} \rho(x)=\Delta_{i} \rho^{\prime}(x)+\frac{1}{2} \sigma_{i}^{2} \rho^{\prime \prime}(x), \quad \rho \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}), i \in \mathcal{S}
$$

Then

$$
\widetilde{L}^{(i)} h(x)=\left(-2 \Delta_{i} \frac{x}{|x|}+\frac{3 \sigma_{i}^{2}}{|x|}\right) g(x), \quad|x|>1 .
$$

Let us first consider the case $\sum_{i \in \mathcal{S}} \pi_{i} \Delta_{i}>0$. As $\lim _{x \rightarrow+\infty}-2 \Delta_{i} \frac{x}{|x|}+\frac{3 \sigma_{i}^{2}}{|x|}=-2 \Delta_{i}$, there exist $\varepsilon>0, r_{1}>1$ such that $\sum_{i \in \mathcal{S}} \pi_{i}\left(-2 \Delta_{i}+\varepsilon\right)<0$, and

$$
-2 \Delta_{i} \frac{x}{|x|}+\frac{3 \sigma_{i}^{2}}{|x|} \leq-2 \Delta_{i}+\varepsilon, \quad \forall x>r_{1}
$$

According to the Fredholm alternative, there exists a constant $\kappa>0$ and a vector $\left(\xi_{i}\right)_{i \in \mathcal{S}}$ so that

$$
Q \xi(i)=-\kappa+2 \Delta_{i}-\varepsilon, \quad i \in \mathcal{S} .
$$

Setting $f(x, i)=h(x)+\xi_{i} g(x)$ for $x>0$, we derive that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{\mathscr{A}} f(x, i) & =\widetilde{L}^{(i)} h(x)+\xi_{i} \widetilde{L}^{(i)} g(x)+Q \xi(i) g(x) \\
& \leq\left(-2 \Delta_{i}+\varepsilon+\xi_{i} \frac{\widetilde{L}^{(i)} g(x)}{g(x)}+Q \xi(i)\right) g(x) \\
& =\left(-\kappa+\xi_{i} \frac{\widetilde{L}^{(i)} g(x)}{g(x)}\right) g(x), \quad x>r_{1}, i \in \mathcal{S} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here the operator $\widetilde{\mathscr{A}}$ denotes the generator of the process $\left(Y_{t}, \Lambda_{t}\right)$. As $\lim _{x \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{\widetilde{L}^{(i)} g(x)}{g(x)}=0$, there exists $r_{2}>r_{1}>1$ so that

$$
-\kappa+\xi_{i} \frac{\widetilde{L}^{(i)} g(x)}{g(x)}<0, \quad x>r_{2}, i \in \mathcal{S}
$$

and $x \mapsto h(x)+\xi_{\min } g(x)$ is a decreasing function on $\left[r_{2},+\infty\right)$, where $\xi_{\min }=\min _{i \in \mathcal{S}} \xi_{i}$.
Take $Y_{0}=y>r_{2}$ and $\Lambda_{0}=i_{0}$ so that $f\left(y, i_{0}\right)<h\left(r_{2}\right)+\xi_{\min } g\left(r_{2}\right)$. Set $\tau_{K}=\inf \left\{t>0 ; Y_{t}=\right.$ $K\}, K>r_{2}, \tau=\inf \left\{t>0 ; Y_{t}=r_{2}\right\}$. By Dynkin's formula,

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[f\left(Y_{t \wedge \tau_{K} \wedge \tau}, \Lambda_{t \wedge \tau_{K} \wedge \tau}\right)\right]=f\left(y, i_{0}\right)+\mathbb{E} \int_{0}^{t \wedge \tau_{K} \wedge \tau} \widetilde{\mathscr{A}} f\left(Y_{s}, \Lambda_{s}\right) \mathrm{d} s \leq f\left(y, i_{0}\right)
$$

Letting $t \rightarrow+\infty$, we get

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[f\left(K, \Lambda_{\tau_{K}}\right) \mathbf{1}_{\tau \geq \tau_{K}}\right]+\mathbb{E}\left[f\left(r_{2}, \Lambda_{\tau}\right) \mathbf{1}_{\tau, \tau_{K}}\right] \leq f\left(y, i_{0}\right),
$$

which yields further that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{P}\left(\tau>\tau_{K}\right) \geq \frac{f\left(y, i_{0}\right)-h\left(r_{2}\right)-\xi_{\min } g\left(r_{2}\right)}{h(K)+\xi_{\min } g(K)-h\left(r_{2}\right)-\xi_{\min } g\left(r_{2}\right)}>0 \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the last step of which we have used the decreasing property of the function $h(x)+\xi_{\min } g(x)$ on $\left[r_{2},+\infty\right)$. Invoking the fact $\tau_{K} \rightarrow+\infty$ as $K \uparrow+\infty$ a.s., (2.5) yields that

$$
\mathbb{P}(\tau=+\infty)>0
$$

which implies that $\left(Y_{t}, \Lambda_{t}\right)$ is transient.
Analogously, we can prove that $\left(Y_{t}, \Lambda_{t}\right)$ is also transient when $\sum_{i \in \mathcal{S}} \pi_{i} \Delta_{i}<0$ by using the same Lyapunov function $f(x, i)$ but studying its behavior on $x<0$.
(ii) Now we consider the case $\sum_{i \in \mathcal{S}} \pi_{i} \Delta_{i}=0$. We shall apply the technique used in [14]. Set $\Theta=-\sum_{i \in \mathcal{S}} \pi_{i}\left(\Delta_{i}\left(Q^{-1} \Delta\right)(i)-\frac{1}{2} \sigma_{i}^{2}\right)$ and

$$
f_{0}(x)=\ln |x| .
$$

Then, $\Theta>0$ due to the Fredholm alternative, and $\Theta f_{0}^{\prime \prime}(x)<0$ for $x \neq 0$ by direct calculation. Define $f_{1}(x, i), f_{2}(x, i)$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{1}(x, i)=-\left(Q^{-1} \Delta\right)(i) f_{0}^{\prime}(x) \\
& f_{2}(x, i)=Q^{-1}\left(\Delta \cdot\left(Q^{-1} \Delta\right)(\cdot)-\frac{1}{2} \sigma_{\cdot}^{2}+\Theta\right)(i) f_{0}^{\prime \prime}(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

which are well-defined due to the fact $\sum_{i \in \mathcal{S}} \pi_{i} \Delta_{i}=0$ and

$$
\sum_{i \in \mathcal{S}} \pi_{i}\left(\Delta_{i}\left(Q^{-1} \Delta\right)(i)-\frac{1}{2} \sigma_{i}^{2}+\Theta\right)=0
$$

Take $f(x, i)=f_{0}(x)+f_{1}(x, i)+f_{2}(x, i)$ for $|x| \neq 0, i \in \mathcal{S}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{\mathscr{A}} f(x, i)= & \Delta_{i} f_{0}^{\prime}(x)+\Delta_{i} f_{1}^{\prime}(x, i)+\Delta_{i} f_{2}^{\prime}(x, i)+\frac{1}{2} \sigma_{i}^{2} f_{0}^{\prime \prime}(x) \\
& +\frac{1}{2} \sigma_{i}^{2} f_{1}^{\prime \prime}(x, i)+\frac{1}{2} \sigma_{i}^{2} f_{2}^{\prime \prime}(x, i)+Q f_{1}(x, i)+Q f_{2}(x, i) \\
= & -\Delta_{i}\left(Q^{-1} \Delta\right)(i) f_{0}^{\prime \prime}(x)+\frac{1}{2} \sigma_{i}^{2} f_{0}^{\prime \prime}(x)+\Delta_{i} f_{2}^{\prime}(x, i) \\
& +\frac{1}{2} \sigma_{i}^{2} f_{2}^{\prime \prime}(x, i)+Q f_{2}(x, i) \\
= & \Theta f_{0}^{\prime \prime}(x)-\frac{1}{2} \sigma_{i}^{2}\left(Q^{-1} \Delta\right)(i) f_{0}^{\prime \prime \prime}(x)+\Delta_{i} f_{2}^{\prime}(x, i)+\frac{1}{2} \sigma_{i}^{2} f_{2}^{\prime \prime}(x, i)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $f_{0}^{\prime \prime}(x) \rightarrow 0$ as $|x| \rightarrow+\infty$, it is easy to see that there exists $r_{3}>0$ such that

$$
\widetilde{\mathscr{A}} f(x, i) \leq 0, \quad \forall|x|>r_{3}, i \in \mathcal{S} .
$$

Moreover, $\lim _{|x| \rightarrow \infty} f(x, i)=\lim _{|x| \rightarrow \infty} f_{0}(x)+f_{1}(x, i)+f_{2}(x, i)=+\infty$, which yields that $\left(Y_{t}, \Lambda_{t}\right)$, and hence $\left(X_{t}, \Lambda_{t}\right)$, is recurrent.

Next, we shall show further that when $\sum_{i \in \mathcal{S}} \pi_{i} \Delta_{i}=0,\left(X_{t}, \Lambda_{t}\right)$, or equivalently $\left(Y_{t}, \Lambda_{t}\right)$, is null recurrent. To this aim, we take $1<q<p<2$ and set

$$
h(x, i)=x^{p}+p \xi_{i} x^{p-1}, \quad g(x, i)=x^{q}+q \xi_{i} x^{q-1}, \quad x>0, i \in \mathcal{S},
$$

where $\xi_{i}$ is determined by $Q \xi(i)=-\Delta_{i}$ for each $i \in \mathcal{S}$ satisfying further $\xi_{i}>0, i \in \mathcal{S}$. Direct calculation yields that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \widetilde{\mathscr{A} h}(x, i)=\left(\frac{1}{2} \sigma_{i}^{2}+\xi_{i}\right) p(p-1) x^{p-2}+\frac{1}{2} p(p-1)(p-2) \xi_{i} x^{p-3} \\
& \widetilde{\mathscr{A}} g(x, i)=\left(\frac{1}{2} \sigma_{i}^{2}+\xi_{i}\right) q(q-1) x^{q-2}+\frac{1}{2} q(q-1)(q-2) \xi_{i} x^{q-3}
\end{aligned}
$$

for $x>0, i \in \mathcal{S}$. As $p, q \in(1,2)$, it is easy to obtain that there exist constants $r_{1}, K>0$ such that

$$
\widetilde{\mathscr{A} g}(x, i) \geq 0, \quad 0 \leq \widetilde{\mathscr{A} h}(x, i) \leq K \quad \text { for } x>r_{1}, i \in \mathcal{S}
$$

Let

$$
u(x, i)=g(x, i)-C \quad \text { for } x>0
$$

where $C>0$ satisfying $u\left(r_{1}, i\right)=g\left(r_{1}, i\right)-C<0$ for all $i \in \mathcal{S}$. Set

$$
R_{n}=\frac{\min _{i \in \mathcal{S}} h(n, i)}{\max _{i \in \mathcal{S}} u(n, i)}
$$

Then it is obvious that $\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} R_{n}=+\infty$. Denote $D=\left(0, r_{1}\right)$ and $\tau_{D}=\inf \left\{t>0 ; Y_{t} \in D\right\}$. Set $\tau_{m}=\inf \left\{t>0 ; Y_{t} \geq m\right\}$, then $\lim _{m \rightarrow+\infty} \tau_{m}=+\infty$ a.s. Taking $Y_{0}=y>r_{1}, \Lambda_{0}=i_{0} \in \mathcal{S}$ so that $u\left(y, i_{0}\right)>0$, Dynkin's formula yields that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left[\left(h-R_{m} u\right)\left(Y_{\tau_{D} \wedge \tau_{m}}, \Lambda_{\tau_{D} \wedge \tau_{m}}\right)\right]-\left(h-R_{m} u\right)\left(y, i_{0}\right) & =\mathbb{E} \int_{0}^{\tau_{D} \wedge \tau_{m}} \widetilde{\mathscr{A}}\left(h-R_{m} u\right)\left(Y_{r}, \Lambda_{r}\right) \mathrm{d} r \\
& \leq K \mathbb{E}\left[\tau_{D} \wedge \tau_{m}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $h(m, i)-R_{m} u(m, i) \geq 0$, and $h\left(r_{1}, i\right)-R_{m} u\left(r_{1}, i\right) \geq 0$ for every $i \in \mathcal{S}$ and $m$ large enough, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}\left[\tau_{D} \wedge \tau_{m}\right] \geq \frac{1}{K}\left(R_{m} u\left(y, i_{0}\right)-h\left(y, i_{0}\right)\right) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Letting $m \rightarrow+\infty,(2.6)$ yields that

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[\tau_{D}\right]=+\infty
$$

Hence, $\left(Y_{t}, \Lambda_{t}\right)$ is not positive recurrent. However, we have shown $\left(Y_{t}, \Lambda_{t}\right)$ is recurrent. In all, $\left(Y_{t}, \Lambda_{t}\right)$ is null recurrent. The proof of Theorem 1.2 is complete.

## 3 Some Quantitative Properties of SGBM

In this section, we shall exploit the quantitative properties of the process $\left(X_{t}, \Lambda_{t}\right)$. Throughout this section, we only consider the case that $\left(\Lambda_{t}\right)$ is a Markov chain on $\mathcal{S}=\{0,1\}$. To emphasize this fact, in this section we denote the $Q$-matrix of $\left(\Lambda_{t}\right)$ by

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-\lambda_{0} & \lambda_{0} \\
\lambda_{1} & -\lambda_{1}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $\lambda_{0}, \lambda_{1}$ are two positive constants. We calculate the moments of $\ln X_{t}$ in Subsection 3.1, and provide the estimate of the first passage probability of $\left(X_{t}\right)$ in Subsection 3.2.

### 3.1 Moments of $\ln X_{t}$

Let us introduce some notations used in the sequel. Assume that $\Lambda_{0}=i$ for $i=0$ or 1 . Set

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \zeta_{1}=\inf \left\{t>0 ; \Lambda_{t}=1-i\right\}, \quad \zeta_{2}=\inf \left\{t>\zeta_{1} ; \Lambda_{t}=i\right\}, \cdots, \\
& \zeta_{2 k-1}=\inf \left\{t>\zeta_{2 k-2} ; \Lambda_{t}=1-i\right\}, \quad \zeta_{2 k}=\inf \left\{t>\zeta_{2 k-1} ; \Lambda_{t}=i\right\}, \quad k \geq 2
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\tau_{k}=\zeta_{k}-\zeta_{k-1}$ for $k \geq 1$ with $\zeta_{0}:=0$, then $\left(\tau_{k}\right)_{k \geq 1}$ are mutually independent random variables, and $\tau_{2 k}$ and $\tau_{2 k-1}$ are both exponentially distributed with parameters $\lambda_{1-i}$ and $\lambda_{i}$, respectively. Let $\alpha(t)$ and $\beta(t)$ denote respectively the time spent by the process $\left(\Lambda_{t}\right)$ at the states $\Lambda_{0}$ and $1-\Lambda_{0}$ up to the time $t$. Let $N(t)$ be the total number of transition between state 0 and 1 happened during $(0, t)$.

According to [16], the distribution of the sojourn time $\alpha(t)$ when $\Lambda_{0}=0$ is

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbb{P}(\alpha(t) \in \mathrm{d} s)= & \delta_{t}(s) \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda_{0} t}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left[\frac{\lambda_{0}^{k} \lambda_{1}^{k-1}}{\Gamma(k)^{2}} s^{k-1}(t-s)^{k-1} \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda_{0} s} \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda_{1}(t-s)}\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{\lambda_{0}^{k} \lambda_{1}^{k}}{\Gamma(k) \Gamma(k+1)} s^{k}(t-s)^{k-1} \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda_{0} s} \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda_{1}(t-s)}\right] \mathrm{d} s, \quad s \in[0, t] . \tag{3.1}
\end{align*}
$$

Now we consider the geometric mean of the process $\left(X_{t}\right)$. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{t}=\ln \left(\frac{X_{t}}{X_{0}}\right)=\int_{0}^{t} \Delta_{\Lambda_{s}} \mathrm{~d} s+\int_{0}^{t} \sigma_{\Lambda_{s}} \mathrm{~d} B_{s} . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recall that $\Delta_{0}$ and $\Delta_{1}$ are defined by (1.5). Due to the independent increasing property of Brownian motion, we can rewrite $Y_{t}$ in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{t}=\Delta_{0} \alpha(t)+\Delta_{1} \beta(t)+\sigma_{0} \xi(\alpha(t))+\sigma_{1} \eta(\beta(t)), \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\xi(u)$ and $\eta(u)$ (for $u>0$ ) are mutually independent normally distributed random variables with mean 0 and variance $u$, and $\xi(u), \eta(u)$ are independent of the process $\left(\Lambda_{t}\right)$.

Proposition 3.1 Assume $\Lambda_{0}=0$. For $t>0$, it holds

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbb{E}\left[Y_{t}\right]= & \left(\Delta_{0}-\Delta_{1}\right) \mathbb{E}[\alpha(t)]+t \Delta_{1} \\
= & t \Delta_{1}+\left(\Delta_{0}-\Delta_{1}\right) \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda_{1} t} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left\{\frac{\lambda_{0}^{k} \lambda_{1}^{k-1} t^{2 k}}{\Gamma(k)^{2}} \int_{0}^{1} u^{k}(1-u)^{k-1} \mathrm{e}^{\left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{0}\right) t u} \mathrm{~d} u\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{\lambda_{0}^{k} \lambda_{1}^{k} t^{2 k+1}}{\Gamma(k) \Gamma(k+1)} \int_{0}^{1} u^{k+1}(1-u)^{k-1} \mathrm{e}^{\left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{0}\right) t u} \mathrm{~d} u\right\}+\left(\Delta_{0}-\Delta_{1}\right) t \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda_{0} t} \tag{3.4}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbb{E}\left[Y_{t}^{2}\right]= & \left(\Delta_{0}^{2} t^{2}+\sigma_{0}^{2} t\right) \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda_{0} t} \\
& +\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_{0}^{k} \lambda_{1}^{k-1} t^{2 k-1}}{\Gamma(k)^{2}} \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda_{1} t} \int_{0}^{1}\left(t^{2}\left(\Delta_{0} u+\Delta_{1}(1-u)\right)^{2}+\sigma_{0}^{2} t u+\sigma_{1}^{2} t(1-u)\right) \\
& \cdot u^{k-1}(1-u)^{k-1} \mathrm{e}^{\left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{0}\right) t u} \mathrm{~d} u \\
& +\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_{0}^{k} \lambda_{1}^{k} t^{2 k}}{\Gamma(k) \Gamma(k+1)} \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda_{1} t} \int_{0}^{1}\left(t^{2}\left(\Delta_{0} u+\Delta_{1}(1-u)\right)^{2}+\sigma_{0}^{2} t u+\sigma_{1}^{2} t(1-u)\right) \\
& \cdot u^{k}(1-u)^{k-1} \mathrm{e}^{\left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{0}\right) t u} \mathrm{~d} u . \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

In particular, when $\lambda_{0}=\lambda_{1}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left[Y_{t}\right]= & t \Delta_{1}+\left(\Delta_{0}-\Delta_{1}\right) \frac{t \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda_{0} t}}{2}\left[\cosh \left(\lambda_{0} t\right)+\left(1+\frac{1}{\lambda_{0} t}\right) \sinh \left(\lambda_{0} t\right)\right] \\
\mathbb{E}\left[Y_{t}^{2}\right]= & \left(\Delta_{0}^{2} t^{2}+\sigma_{0}^{2} t\right) \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda_{0} t} \\
& +\mathrm{e}^{-\lambda_{0} t}\left\{\frac{1}{4}\left(\Delta_{0}^{2}+\Delta_{1}^{2}\right) t^{2}\left(\frac{\cosh \left(\lambda_{0} t\right)}{\lambda_{0} t}+\sinh \left(\lambda_{0} t\right)-\frac{\sinh \left(\lambda_{0} t\right)}{\left(\lambda_{0} t\right)^{2}}\right)\right. \\
& +\frac{1}{2}\left(\sigma_{0}^{2}+\sigma_{1}^{2}\right) t\left(-\frac{2}{\lambda_{0} t}+\frac{\cosh \left(\lambda_{0} t\right)}{\left(\lambda_{0} t\right)^{2}}+\frac{\sinh \left(\lambda_{0} t\right)}{\left(\lambda_{0} t\right)^{2}}\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{2} \Delta_{0} \Delta_{1} t^{2}\left(\cosh \left(\lambda_{0} t\right)+\sinh \left(\lambda_{0} t\right)-\frac{\sinh \left(\lambda_{0} t\right)}{\lambda_{0} t}-\frac{\cosh \left(\lambda_{0} t\right)}{\lambda_{0} t}+\frac{\sinh \left(\lambda_{0} t\right)}{\left(\lambda_{0} t\right)^{2}}\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{2} \sigma_{1}^{2} t\left(\cosh \left(\lambda_{0} t\right)-\frac{\sinh \left(\lambda_{0} t\right)}{\lambda_{0} t}\right)+\frac{1}{4} \Delta_{1}^{2} t^{2}\left(\cosh \left(\lambda_{0} t\right)-\frac{\sinh \left(\lambda_{0} t\right)}{\lambda_{0} t}\right) \\
& \left.+\frac{1}{2} \sigma_{0}^{2} t\left(-2+\cosh \left(\lambda_{0} t\right)+\frac{\sinh \left(\lambda_{0} t\right)}{\lambda_{0} t}\right)+\frac{1}{4} \Delta_{0}^{2} t^{2}\left(-4+\cosh \left(\lambda_{0} t\right)+\frac{3 \sinh \left(\lambda_{0} t\right)}{\lambda_{0} t}\right)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof By the distribution of $\alpha(t), \xi(u), \eta(u)$, we get (3.4) by direct calculation. By the formula (3.3), due to the independence of $\xi(u)$ and $\eta(u)$, we get

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[Y_{t}^{2}\right]=\mathbb{E}\left[\Delta_{0}^{2} \alpha(t)^{2}+\Delta_{1}^{2} \beta(t)^{2}+2 \Delta_{0} \Delta_{1} \alpha(t) \beta(t)+\sigma_{0}^{2} \alpha(t)+\sigma_{1}^{2} \beta(t)\right]
$$

Then we obtain (3.5) according to the distribution of $\alpha(t)$. Using the Taylor expansion of functions $\cosh (x)$ and $\sinh (x)$ the formulas of $\mathbb{E}\left[Y_{t}\right]$ and $\mathbb{E}\left[Y_{t}^{2}\right]$ in the case $\lambda_{0}=\lambda_{1}$ can be obtained.

Using the same method, every $n$-th order moments of $Y_{t}$ can be calculated.
Proposition 3.2 Assume $\Lambda_{0}=0$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathbb{E}\left[Y_{t}\right]}{t}=\frac{\lambda_{1} \Delta_{0}+\lambda_{0} \Delta_{1}}{\lambda_{0}+\lambda_{1}} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathbb{E}\left[Y_{t}^{2}\right]}{t^{2}}=\frac{\left(\lambda_{1} \Delta_{0}+\lambda_{0} \Delta_{1}\right)^{2}}{\left(\lambda_{0}+\lambda_{1}\right)^{2}} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof According to the results [25, Theorems 6-7], it holds that

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathbb{E}[\beta(t)]}{t}=\frac{\lambda_{0}}{\lambda_{0}+\lambda_{1}}, \quad \lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\operatorname{Var}[\beta(t)]}{t}=\frac{2 \lambda_{0} \lambda_{1}}{\left(\lambda_{0}+\lambda_{1}\right)^{3}} .
$$

Hence we have

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathbb{E}[\alpha(t)]}{t}=\frac{\lambda_{1}}{\lambda_{0}+\lambda_{1}}, \quad \lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathbb{E}\left[\alpha(t)^{2}\right]}{t^{2}}=\left(\frac{\lambda_{1}}{\lambda_{0}+\lambda_{1}}\right)^{2} .
$$

Then by the formula (3.3), we can get the desired results by direct calculation.

### 3.2 First passage probability of $\left(X_{t}\right)$

For fixed $x>a>0$, let

$$
\tau_{a}^{(x)}=\inf \left\{t>0 ; X_{t}=a, X_{0}=x\right\}
$$

What we are interested in is the probability

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(\tau_{a}^{(x)} \leq t\right) \quad \text { or } \quad \mathbb{P}\left(\tau_{a}^{(x)}>t\right) .
$$

Although the first passage probability is very useful, the calculating of it is very difficult. Only for several simple cases, explicit formulas exist. For example, explicit formula exists for one-dimensional Brownian motion and piecewise monotone functionals of Brownian motion (see [26]). To deal with general diffusion processes, one has to rely on some numerical approximation schemes. The regime-switching geometric Brownian motion provides us a simple example to see the difference between the study of first passage probability for diffusion processes and the study of the first passage probability for regime-switching diffusion processes. Below, one can easily find that the switching of $\left(\Lambda_{t}\right)$ causes new difficulty in calculating the first passage probability.

In this subsection, we consider first the case $\sigma_{0}=\sigma_{1}=\sigma>0$, then the case $\sigma_{0} \neq \sigma_{1}$. When $\sigma_{0}=\sigma_{1}=\sigma$, one gets by (1.4) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{P}\left(\tau_{a}^{(x)}>t\right)=\mathbb{P}\left(\min _{0 \leq r \leq t}\left\{\sigma B_{r}+\Delta_{0} \alpha(r)+\Delta_{1} \beta(r)+\widetilde{a}\right\}>0\right), \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\widetilde{a}=-\ln \left(\frac{a}{x}\right)>0$. In order to provide upper and lower bounds of $\mathbb{P}\left(\tau_{a}^{(x)}>t\right)$, we introduce two auxiliary processes depending on $\left(\Lambda_{t}\right)$. To simplify the notation, we assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{0} \leq \Delta_{1} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

For fixed $T>0$, let

$$
\begin{aligned}
g(t) & =\widetilde{a}+\Delta_{0} \alpha(t)+\Delta_{1} \beta(t), \\
g_{u}(t) & =\widetilde{a}+\Delta_{1} \min \{t, \beta(T)\}+\Delta_{0}(t-\beta(T)) \mathbf{1}_{\beta(T)<t \leq T}, \\
g_{l}(t) & =\widetilde{a}+\Delta_{0} \min \{t, \alpha(T)\}+\Delta_{1}(t-\alpha(T)) \mathbf{1}_{\alpha(T)<t \leq T} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{l}(t) \leq g(t) \leq g_{u}(t), \quad 0 \leq t \leq T \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, by (3.9), we get, when $t \leq \beta(T)$,

$$
g_{u}(t)-g(t)=\Delta_{1} t-\Delta_{0} \alpha(t)-\Delta_{1} \beta(t)=\left(\Delta_{1}-\Delta_{0}\right) \alpha(t) \geq 0 ;
$$

and when $\beta(T)<t \leq T$,

$$
g_{u}(t)-g(t)=\left(\Delta_{1}-\Delta_{0}\right)(\beta(T)-\beta(t)) \geq 0
$$

Similarly, we can prove the first inequality of (3.10).
Set $\Phi(z)=\int_{-\infty}^{z} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{y^{2}}{2}} \mathrm{~d} y$,

$$
\left.\left.\begin{array}{rl}
F_{u}(t)= & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi(T-t)}} \int_{-\infty}^{0}\left(1-\mathrm{e}^{\left.\frac{2 \tilde{a} y}{\sigma(T-t)}\right)}\left[\Phi\left(\frac{\frac{\Delta_{0} t}{\sigma}-y}{\sqrt{t}}\right)\right.\right. \\
& -\mathrm{e}^{2 \frac{\Delta_{0} y}{\sigma}} \Phi\left(\frac{\Delta_{0} t}{\sigma}+y\right. \\
\sqrt{t}
\end{array}\right)\right] \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{\left(y+\frac{\Delta_{1}(T-t)}{\sigma}+\frac{\tilde{a}}{\sigma}\right)^{2}}{2(T-t)}} \mathrm{d} y, \quad 0<t<T
$$

and

$$
\left.\left.\begin{array}{rl}
F_{l}(t)= & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi t}} \int_{-\infty}^{0}\left(1-\mathrm{e}^{\frac{2 \tilde{a} y}{\sigma t}}\right)\left[\Phi\left(\frac{\frac{\Delta_{1}(T-t)}{\sigma}-y}{\sqrt{T-t}}\right)\right. \\
& -\mathrm{e}^{2 \frac{\Delta_{1} y}{\sigma}} \Phi\left(\frac{\Delta_{1}(T-t)}{\sigma}+y\right. \\
\sqrt{T-t}
\end{array}\right)\right] \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{\left(y+\frac{\Delta_{0} t}{\sigma}+\frac{\tilde{\alpha}}{\sigma}\right)^{2}}{2 t}} \mathrm{~d} y, \quad 0<t<T . \quad .
$$

Theorem 3.1 Assume $\sigma_{0}=\sigma_{1}=\sigma>0, \Delta_{1} \geq \Delta_{0}$. Suppose that the process $\left(\Lambda_{t}\right)$ starts from 0, i.e., $\Lambda_{0}=0$ a.s. For every $T>0$, it holds

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbb{P}\left(\tau_{a}^{(x)}>T\right) \leq & \left(\Phi\left(\frac{\Delta_{0} T+\widetilde{a}}{\sigma \sqrt{T}}\right)-\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{2 \Delta_{0} \tilde{a}}{\sigma^{2}}} \Phi\left(\frac{\Delta_{0} T-\widetilde{a}}{\sigma \sqrt{T}}\right)\right) \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda_{0} T} \\
& +\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_{0}^{k-1} \lambda_{1}^{k-1}}{\Gamma(k)^{2}} \int_{0}^{T} F_{u}(t) t^{k-1}(T-t)^{k-1} \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda_{0} t} \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda_{1}(T-t)} \mathrm{d} t \\
& +\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_{0}^{k} \lambda_{1}^{k}}{\Gamma(k) \Gamma(k+1)} \int_{0}^{T} F_{u}(t) t^{k}(T-t)^{k-1} \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda_{0} t} \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda_{1}(T-t)} \mathrm{d} t \tag{3.11}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbb{P}\left(\tau_{a}^{(x)}>T\right) \geq & \left(\Phi\left(\frac{\Delta_{0} T+\widetilde{a}}{\sigma \sqrt{T}}\right)-\mathrm{e}^{-\frac{2 \Delta_{0} \tilde{a}}{\sigma^{2}}} \Phi\left(\frac{\Delta_{0} T-\widetilde{a}}{\sigma \sqrt{T}}\right)\right) \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda_{0} T} \\
& +\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_{0}^{k-1} \lambda_{1}^{k-1}}{\Gamma(k)^{2}} \int_{0}^{T} F_{l}(t) t^{k-1}(T-t)^{k-1} \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda_{0} t} \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda_{1}(T-t)} \mathrm{d} t \\
& +\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_{0}^{k} \lambda_{1}^{k}}{\Gamma(k) \Gamma(k+1)} \int_{0}^{T} F_{l}(t) t^{k}(T-t)^{k-1} \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda_{0} t} \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda_{1}(T-t)} \mathrm{d} t . \tag{3.12}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof By (3.8) and (3.10), we get

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(\tau_{a}^{(x)}>T\right) \leq \mathbb{P}\left(\min _{0 \leq t \leq T}\left\{\sigma B_{t}+g_{u}(t)\right\}>0\right)=\mathbb{P}\left(\max _{0 \leq t \leq T}\left\{\sigma B_{t}-g_{u}(t)\right\}<0\right) .
$$

Due to the independence of $\left(\Lambda_{t}\right)$ and $\left(B_{t}\right)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{P}\left(\max _{0 \leq t \leq T}\left\{\sigma B_{t}-g_{u}(t)\right\}<0\right)=\mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{E}\left[\mathbf{1}_{0 \leq t \leq T} \max _{\left.0 \leq B_{t}-g_{u}(t)\right\}<0} \mid \mathscr{F}_{\Lambda}\right]\right] \\
= & \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{E}\left[\mathbf{1}_{0 \leq t \leq \beta(T)}\left\{\sigma B_{t}-\widetilde{a}-\Delta_{1} t\right\}<0, \max _{\beta(T)<t \leq T}\left\{\sigma B_{t}-\widetilde{a}-\Delta_{1} \beta(T)-\Delta_{0}(t-\beta(T))\right\}<0 \mid \mathscr{F}_{\Lambda}\right]\right] \\
= & \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{E}\left[\mathbf{1}_{0 \leq t \leq \beta(T)}\left\{\sigma B_{t}-\widetilde{a}-\Delta_{1} t\right\}<0, \max _{0<t \leq \alpha(T)}\left\{\sigma B_{t}-\widetilde{a}-\Delta_{1} \beta(T)+\sigma B(\beta(T))-\Delta_{0} t\right\}<0 \mid \mathscr{F}_{\Lambda}\right]\right],
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mathscr{F}_{\Lambda}=\sigma\left(\Lambda_{t} ; t \leq T\right)$. According to the well-known results on the first passage probability of Brownian motion (see for example, [23, p. 375]),

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(\max _{0 \leq t \leq \beta(T)}\left\{\sigma B_{t}-\widetilde{a}-\Delta_{1} t\right\}<0 \mid B_{\beta(T)}=y\right)=1-\exp \left(-2 \frac{\widetilde{a}\left(\Delta_{1} \beta(T)+\widetilde{a}-\sigma y\right)}{\sigma^{2} \beta(T)}\right)
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{P}\left(\max _{0 \leq t \leq \alpha(T)}\left\{\sigma B_{t}+\sigma y-\widetilde{a}-\Delta_{1} \beta(T)-\Delta_{0} t\right\}<0\right) \\
= & \Phi\left(\frac{\Delta_{0} \alpha(T)+\Delta_{1} \beta(T)+\widetilde{a}-\sigma y}{\sigma \sqrt{\alpha(T)}}\right)-\mathrm{e}^{-2 \frac{\Delta_{0}\left(\tilde{a}+\Delta_{1} \beta(T)-\sigma y\right)}{\sigma^{2}}} \Phi\left(\frac{\Delta_{0} \alpha(T)-\Delta_{1} \beta(T)-\widetilde{a}+\sigma y}{\sigma \sqrt{\alpha(T)}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently, if $\alpha(T)=T$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{P}\left(\max _{0 \leq t \leq T}\left\{\sigma B_{t}-g_{u}(t)\right\}<0 \mid \alpha(T)=T\right)=\mathbb{P}\left(\max _{0 \leq t \leq T}\left\{\sigma B_{t}-\Delta_{0} t-\widetilde{a}\right\}<0\right) \\
= & \Phi\left(\frac{\Delta_{0} T+\widetilde{a}}{\sigma \sqrt{T}}\right)-\mathrm{e}^{-2 \frac{\Delta_{0} \tilde{a}}{\sigma^{2}}} \Phi\left(\frac{\Delta_{0} T-\widetilde{a}}{\sigma \sqrt{T}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $0<\alpha(T)<T$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{P}\left(\max _{0 \leq t \leq T}\left\{\sigma B_{t}-g_{u}(t)\right\}<0 \mid 0<\alpha(T)<T\right) \\
\leq & \mathbb{E}\left[\int_{-\infty}^{\frac{\Delta_{1} \beta(T)+\widetilde{a}}{\sigma}}\left(1-\exp \left(-2 \frac{\widetilde{a}\left(\Delta_{1} \beta(T)+\widetilde{a}-\sigma y\right)}{\sigma^{2} \beta(T)}\right)\right)\right. \\
& \cdot\left(\Phi\left(\frac{\Delta_{0} \alpha(T)+\Delta_{1} \beta(T)+\widetilde{a}-\sigma y}{\sigma \sqrt{\alpha(T)}}\right)-\mathrm{e}^{-2 \frac{\Delta_{0}\left(\tilde{a}+\Delta_{1} \beta(T)-\sigma y\right)}{\sigma^{2}}} \Phi\left(\frac{\Delta_{0} \alpha(T)-\Delta_{1} \beta(T)-\widetilde{a}+\sigma y}{\sigma \sqrt{\alpha(T)}}\right)\right) \\
& \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi \beta(T)}} \mathrm{e}^{\left.\left.\left.-\frac{y^{2}}{2 \beta(T)} \mathrm{d} y \right\rvert\, 0<\alpha(T)<T\right]\right]} \\
= & \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb { E } \left[\int_{-\infty}^{0}\left(1-\mathrm{e}^{\frac{2 \tilde{a} z}{\sigma \beta(T)}}\right)\left(\Phi\left(\frac{\Delta_{0} \alpha(T)-\sigma z}{\sigma \sqrt{\alpha(T)}}\right)-\mathrm{e}^{2 \frac{\Delta_{0} z}{\sigma}} \Phi\left(\frac{\Delta_{0} \alpha(T)+\sigma z}{\sigma \sqrt{\alpha(T)}}\right)\right)\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.\left.\cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi \beta(T)}} \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{\left(\sigma z+\Delta_{1} \beta(T)+\widetilde{a}\right)^{2}}{2 \sigma^{2} \beta(T)}} \mathrm{d} z \right\rvert\, 0<\alpha(T)<T\right]\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Under the assumption $\Lambda_{0}=0$, one has $\mathbb{P}(\alpha(T)>0)=1$. Then, invoking the distribution of $\alpha(T)$ and the definition of $F_{u}(t)$, we can get (3.11). By a similar argument, we can get (3.12), and the proof is completed.

Now we consider the first passage probability of $\left(X_{t}\right)$ when $\sigma_{0} \neq \sigma_{1}$. Note that $\sigma_{0}, \sigma_{1}$ stand for the volatility, so it is not restrictable to assume that $\sigma_{0}, \sigma_{1}>0$.

Proposition 3.3 Assume that $\sigma_{0}>\sigma_{1}>0$. For each $0<a<x=X_{0}$ and $T>0$, it holds

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbb{P}\left(\tau_{a}^{(x)}>T \mid \mathscr{F}_{\Lambda}\right) \\
= & \mathbb{P}\left(\left.\min _{0 \leq t \leq T}\left\{\int_{0}^{t} \sigma_{\Lambda_{s}} \mathrm{~d} B_{s}+\int_{0}^{t} \Delta_{\Lambda_{s}} \mathrm{~d} s-\ln \left(\frac{a}{x}\right)\right\}>0 \right\rvert\, \mathscr{F}_{\Lambda}\right) \\
\leq & \mathbb{P}\left(\left.\min _{0 \leq t \leq T}\left\{\sqrt{\sigma_{0}^{2}-\sigma_{1}^{2}} B_{\alpha(t)}+\sqrt{t} \sigma_{1} \eta_{0}+\Delta_{0} \alpha(t)+\Delta_{1} \beta(t)-\ln \left(\frac{a}{x}\right)\right\}>0 \right\rvert\, \mathscr{F}_{\Lambda}\right), \tag{3.13}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\eta_{0}$ is a standard normally distributed random variable, and is independent of $\left(B_{t}, \Lambda_{t}\right)$.
Proof For clarity of the idea, let us introduce a probability space $(\Omega, \mathbb{P})$. Let $\Omega=\Omega_{1} \times \Omega_{2}=$ $C([0, \infty) ; \mathbb{R} \times S)$. Then there exists a probability measure $\mathbb{P}=\mathbb{P}_{1} \times \mathbb{P}_{2}$ on $\Omega$ such that $\omega=\left(\omega_{1}(\cdot), \omega_{2}(\cdot)\right)$ satisfying that $\left(\omega_{1}(t)\right)$ is a Brownian motion under $\mathbb{P}_{1}$ on $\Omega_{1}$ and $\left(\omega_{2}(t)\right)$ is a $Q$-process with $Q$-matrix $\left(\begin{array}{cc}-\lambda_{0} & \lambda_{0} \\ \lambda_{1} & -\lambda_{1}\end{array}\right)$ under $\mathbb{P}_{2}$ on $\Omega_{2}$. Set $B_{t}(\omega)=\omega_{1}(t)$ and $\Lambda_{t}(\omega)=\omega_{2}(t)$. Then under $\mathbb{P},\left(B_{t}\right)$ and $\left(\Lambda_{t}\right)$ satisfy the condition used in the definition of the process $\left(X_{t}, \Lambda_{t}\right)$. Moreover,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{P}\left(\left.\min _{t \leq T}\left\{\int_{0}^{t} \sigma_{\Lambda_{s}} \mathrm{~d} B_{s}+\Delta_{0} \alpha(t)+\Delta_{1} \beta(t)-\ln \left(\frac{a}{x}\right)\right\}>0 \right\rvert\, \sigma\left(\Lambda_{t} ; t \leq T\right)\right) \\
= & \mathbb{P}_{1}\left(\max _{t \leq T}\left\{\int_{0}^{t} \sigma_{\Lambda_{s}} \mathrm{~d} B_{s}-\Delta_{0} \alpha(t)+\Delta_{1} \beta(t)+\ln \left(\frac{a}{x}\right)\right\}<0\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Recall the definition of $\zeta_{k}$ in the beginning of Subsection 3.1. For $t \in\left(\zeta_{2 k}, \zeta_{2 k+1}\right]$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{t} \sigma_{\Lambda_{s}} \mathrm{~d} B_{s}=\sigma_{0} B_{\zeta_{1}}+\sigma_{1}\left(B_{\zeta_{2}}-B_{\zeta_{1}}\right)+\cdots+\sigma_{0}\left(B_{t}-B_{\zeta_{2 k}}\right) \\
= & \sigma_{0} B^{(1)}(\alpha(t))+\sigma_{1} B^{(2)}(\beta(t)),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $B^{(1)}(t)$ and $B^{(2)}(t)$ are independent normally distributed random variables for each $t>0$, which satisfies

$$
\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}_{1}}\left[B^{(i)}(t)\right]=0, \quad \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}_{1}}\left[B^{(i)}(t)^{2}\right]=t, \quad i=1,2
$$

For $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\frac{k}{N} \leq T$, set

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{k}=\int_{0}^{\frac{k}{N}} \sigma_{\Lambda_{s}} \mathrm{~d} B_{s}=\sigma_{0} B^{(1)}\left(\alpha\left(\frac{k}{N}\right)\right)+\sigma_{1} B^{(2)}\left(\frac{k}{N}\right) \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $\left(Y_{k}\right)_{k}$ are Gaussian random variables satisfying

$$
\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}_{1}}\left[Y_{k}\right]=0, \quad \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}_{1}}\left[Y_{k}^{2}\right]=\sigma_{0}^{2} \alpha\left(\frac{k}{N}\right)+\sigma_{1}^{2} \beta\left(\frac{k}{N}\right) .
$$

Moreover, for every $k<j$ with $\frac{j}{N} \leq T$,

$$
Y_{j}=\int_{0}^{\frac{j}{N}} \sigma_{\Lambda_{s}} \mathrm{~d} B_{s}=Y_{k}+\int_{\frac{k}{N}}^{\frac{j}{N}} \sigma_{\Lambda_{s}} \mathrm{~d} B_{s}
$$

Hence

$$
\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}_{1}}\left[Y_{k} Y_{j}\right]=\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}_{1}}\left[Y_{k}^{2}\right]=\sigma_{0}^{2} \alpha\left(\frac{k}{N}\right)+\sigma_{1}^{2} \beta\left(\frac{k}{N}\right)
$$

Let $\left(\widetilde{B}_{t}\right)$ be a Brownian motion, and $\eta_{0}$ be a standard normally distributed random variable under $\mathbb{P}_{1}$ on $\Omega_{1}$, which is independent of $\left(\widetilde{B}_{t}\right)$. Set

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{k}=\sqrt{\sigma_{0}^{2}-\sigma_{1}^{2}} \widetilde{B}_{\alpha\left(\frac{k}{N}\right)}+\sqrt{\frac{k}{N}} \sigma_{1} \eta_{0}, \quad \frac{k}{N} \leq T \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $\left(Z_{k}\right)_{k}$ are Gaussian random variables with

$$
\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}_{1}}\left[Z_{k}\right]=0, \quad \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}_{1}}\left[Z_{k}^{2}\right]=\sigma_{0}^{2} \alpha\left(\frac{k}{N}\right)+\sigma_{1}^{2} \beta\left(\frac{k}{N}\right)=\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}_{1}}\left[Y_{k}^{2}\right]
$$

and for $k<j$ with $\frac{j}{N} \leq T$,

$$
\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}_{1}}\left[Z_{k} Z_{j}\right]=\left(\sigma_{0}^{2}-\sigma_{1}^{2}\right) \alpha\left(\frac{k}{N}\right)+\sigma_{1}^{2} \sqrt{\frac{k j}{N^{2}}}>\left(\sigma_{0}^{2}-\sigma_{1}^{2}\right) \alpha\left(\frac{k}{N}\right)+\frac{\sigma_{1}^{2} k}{N}=\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}_{1}}\left[Y_{k} Y_{j}\right]
$$

Therefore, according to Slepian's lemma (see [12, p. 74]), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{P}_{1}\left(\max _{k ; \frac{k}{N} \leq T}\left\{Y_{k}-\Delta_{0} \alpha\left(\frac{k}{N}\right)-\Delta_{1} \beta\left(\frac{k}{N}\right)+\ln \left(\frac{a}{x}\right)\right\}<0\right) \\
\leq & \mathbb{P}_{1}\left(\max _{k ; \frac{k}{N} \leq T}\left\{Z_{k}-\Delta_{0} \alpha\left(\frac{k}{N}\right)-\Delta_{1} \beta\left(\frac{k}{N}\right)+\ln \left(\frac{a}{x}\right)\right\}<0\right) \\
= & \mathbb{P}_{1}\left(\max _{k ; \frac{k}{N} \leq T}\left\{\sqrt{\sigma_{0}^{2}-\sigma_{1}^{2}} \widetilde{B}_{\alpha\left(\frac{k}{N}\right)}+\sqrt{\frac{k}{N}} \sigma_{1} \eta_{0}-\Delta_{0} \alpha\left(\frac{k}{N}\right)-\Delta_{1} \beta\left(\frac{k}{N}\right)+\ln \left(\frac{a}{x}\right)\right\}<0\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Letting $N \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{P}_{1}\left(\max _{t \leq T}\left\{\int_{0}^{t} \sigma_{\Lambda_{s}} \mathrm{~d} B_{s}-\Delta_{0} \alpha(t)-\Delta_{1} \beta(t)+\ln \left(\frac{a}{x}\right)\right\}<0\right) \\
\leq & \mathbb{P}_{1}\left(\max _{t \leq T}\left\{\sqrt{\sigma_{0}^{2}-\sigma_{1}^{2}} \widetilde{B}_{\alpha(t)}+\sqrt{t} \sigma_{1} \eta_{0}-\Delta_{0} \alpha(t)-\Delta_{1} \beta(t)+\ln \left(\frac{a}{x}\right)\right\}<0\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then applying the symmetry of the Brownian motion, we get the desired conclusion.
Remark 3.1 According to this proposition, we can transform the situation $\sigma_{0} \neq \sigma_{1}$ to the situation $\sigma_{0}=\sigma_{1}$ via Slepian's lemma. Combining with Theorem 3.1, we can obtain an upper bound of $\mathbb{P}\left(\tau_{a}^{(x)}>T\right)$ when $\sigma_{0} \neq \sigma_{1}$.
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